RATINGS: Standard & Poor's: A+ Moody's: A2 Fitch: A See "Ratings" herein.

In the opinion of Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP, Bond Counsel, under the existing statutes, interest on the Series 2017A Bonds is exempt from all taxation by the State and any county or any political subdivision thereof, except inheritance, transfer, estate and certain franchise taxes. Interest on the Series 2017A Bonds is not excludable from the gross income of owners thereof for federal income tax purposes. See "Tax MATTERS" herein.



\$249,805,000 **STATE OF HAWAII** AIRPORTS SYSTEM CUSTOMER FACILITY **CHARGE REVENUE BONDS** Series 2017A (Taxable)

Due: July 1 as shown on inside cover

The above referenced series of Airports System Customer Facility Charge Revenue Bonds (the "Series 2017 Bonds") are being issued for the purpose of funding: (i) the costs of design, development and construction of consolidated rental car facility projects at certain airports of the Airports System (defined herein) of the State of Hawaii (the "State"), (ii) the Rolling Coverage Fund Requirement and the Debt Service Reserve Fund Requirement for the Series 2017 Bonds, and (iii) certain costs of issuance relating to the Series 2017 Bonds. The Series 2017 Bonds are special limited obligations of the State, payable solely from and secured solely by the receipts from the collection of the Rental Motor Vehicle Customer Facility Charge imposed by the State on rental motor vehicle customers who use or benefit from rental car facilities at all airports in the Airports System.

See the inside cover for maturities, principal amounts, interest rates, and yields of the Series 2017 Bonds. The Series 2017 Bonds shall be dated as of their date of delivery and shall bear interest from the date of delivery payable each July 1 and January 1, commencing January 1, 2018. The Series 2017 Bonds are subject to optional and mandatory redemption prior to maturity thereof upon the terms and conditions and at the price as described herein.

The Series 2017 Bonds are issuable in fully registered form and when issued will be registered initially in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee of The Depository Trust Company ("DTC"), New York, New York. Purchases of the Series 2017 Bonds will be made in book-entry form only, through brokers and dealers who are, or who act through, DTC participants. Purchases of the Series 2017 Bonds will initially be made in denominations of \$5,000 or integral multiples thereof. Beneficial owners of the Series 2017 Bonds will not receive physical delivery of Series 2017 Bond certificates so long as DTC or a successor securities depository acts as the securities depository with respect to the Series 2017 Bonds. So long as DTC or its nominee is the registered owner of the Series 2017 Bonds, payment of the principal of, and premium, if any, and interest on, the Series 2017 Bonds will be made directly to DTC or its nominee. Disbursement of such payments to DTC participants is the responsibility of DTC and disbursement of such payments to the beneficial owners is the responsibility of DTC participants (See "DESCRIPTION OF THE SERIES 2017 BONDS - Book-Entry Only System").

The Series 2017 Bonds do not constitute a general or moral obligation of the State nor a charge upon the general fund of the State. The full faith and credit of neither the State nor any political subdivision of the State is pledged to the payment of or as security for the Series 2017 Bonds. Neither the real property nor the improvements comprising the Airports System nor the revenues derived from operation of the Airports System have been pledged or mortgaged to secure payment of the Series 2017 Bonds.

The Series 2017 Bonds are offered when, as and if issued, subject to the approval of legality by Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP, New York, New York, Bond Counsel. Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the Underwriters by their Counsel, Alston Hunt Floyd & Ing, Honolulu, Hawaii. It is expected that the Series 2017 Bonds in definitive form will be available for delivery on or about July 27, 2017.

BofA Merrill Lynch	J.P. Morgan	RBC Capital Markets
Barclays Capital	Morgan Stanley	Siebert Cisneros Shan

isneros Shank & Co., L.L.C.

Dated: Date of Delivery

\$249,805,000

STATE OF HAWAII AIRPORTS SYSTEM CUSTOMER FACILITY CHARGE REVENUE BONDS **SERIES 2017A (Taxable)**

Dated: Date of Delivery Due: July 1, as shown below

Due July 1	Principal Amount	Interest Rate	Yield	CUSIP Number (41978C) [†]
2018	\$5,030,000	1.701%	1.701%	AA3
2019	5,120,000	1.951%	1.951%	AB1
2020	5,225,000	2.090%	2.090%	AC9
2021	5,345,000	2.329%	2.329%	AD7
2022	5,475,000	2.529%	2.529%	AE5
2023	5,620,000	2.741%	2.741%	AF2
2024	5,780,000	2.941%	2.941%	AG0
2025	5,955,000	3.025%	3.025%	AH8
2026	6,145,000	3.125%	3.125%	AJ4
2027	6,340,000	3.225%	3.225%	AK1
2028	6,555,000	3.375%	3.375%	AL9
2029	6,785,000	3.475%	3.475%	AM7
2030	7,025,000	3.575%	3.575%	AN5
2031	7,285,000	3.675%	3.675%	AP0
2032	7,565,000	3.775%	3.775%	AQ8

\$116,015,000 4.144% Term Bonds due July 1, 2047, Price 100.00 CUSIP No. 41978C AS4

[†] CUSIP® is a registered trademark of the American Bankers Association. CUSIP Global Services ("CGS") is managed on behalf of the American Bankers Association by S&P Capital IQ. Copyright® 2017 CUSIP Global Services. All rights reserved. CUSIP® data herein is provided by CGS. This data is not intended to create a database and does not serve in any way as a substitute for the CGS database. CUSIP® numbers are provided for convenience of reference only. None of the State, the Underwriter or their agents or counsel assume responsibility for the accuracy of such numbers.

The information contained in this Official Statement has been obtained from the State of Hawaii and other sources deemed reliable. This Official Statement, which includes the cover page and appendices, does not constitute an offer to sell the Series 2017 Bonds in any state to any person to whom it is unlawful to make such offer in such state. No dealer, broker, salesman or other person has been authorized to give any information or to make any representations, other than those contained in this Official Statement, in connection with the offering of the Series 2017 Bonds, and, if given or made, such information or representation must not be relied upon. The information contained herein is subject to change without notice and neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale hereunder at any time implies that the information contained herein is correct as of any time subsequent to its date. The Underwriters have provided the following paragraphs for inclusion in this Official Statement.

THE SERIES 2017 BONDS HAVE NOT BEEN REGISTERED UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, AS AMENDED, IN RELIANCE UPON AN EXEMPTION CONTAINED IN SUCH ACT. THE SERIES 2017 BONDS HAVE NOT BEEN REGISTERED OR QUALIFIED UNDER THE SECURITIES LAWS OF ANY STATE.

THE UNDERWRITERS HAVE REVIEWED THE INFORMATION IN THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH, AND AS A PART OF, THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES TO INVESTORS UNDER THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS AS APPLIED TO THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS TRANSACTION, BUT THE UNDERWRITERS DO NOT GUARANTEE THE ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF SUCH INFORMATION.

IN CONNECTION WITH THE OFFERING OF THE SERIES 2017 BONDS, THE UNDERWRITERS MAY OVER-ALLOT OR EFFECT TRANSACTIONS THAT STABILIZE OR MAINTAIN THE MARKET PRICES OF THE SERIES 2017 BONDS AT LEVELS ABOVE THAT WHICH MIGHT OTHERWISE PREVAIL IN THE OPEN MARKET. SUCH STABILIZING, IF COMMENCED, MAY BE DISCONTINUED AT ANY TIME.

INFORMATION CONCERNING OFFERING RESTRICTIONS IN CERTAIN JURISDICTIONS OUTSIDE OF THE UNITED STATES

For information concerning offering restrictions in certain jurisdictions outside of the United States, see APPENDIX I – "INFORMATION CONCERNING OFFERING RESTRICTIONS IN CERTAIN JURISDICTIONS OUTSIDE OF THE UNITED STATES" attached.



STATE OF HAWAII

David Y. Ige, Governor

Shan S. Tsutsui, Lieutenant Governor

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Director Deputy Director, Airports Deputy Director, Harbors Deputy Director, Highways Deputy Director, Administration Ford N. Fuchigami Ross M. Higashi Darrell T. Young Edwin H. Sniffen Jade T. Butay

SPECIAL SERVICES

Trustee MUFJ Union Bank, N.A. San Francisco, California

Project Manager Ricondo & Associates, Inc. Chicago, Illinois

Consultant

ICF SH&E, Inc. San Francisco, California

Bond Counsel Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP New York, New York

Financial Advisor Public Financial Management, Inc. San Francisco, California

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION1
Prospective Financial Information2
THE SERIES 2017 BONDS2
General Provisions Regarding the Series 2017 Bonds 2
Redemption of the Series 2017 Bonds
Estimated Sources and Uses of Funds
Book-Entry Only System6
Transfer of Series 2017 Bonds7
Euroclear and Clearstream Banking7
Authority for Issuance
PLAN OF FINANCE9
Sources and Uses of Project Funds9
The EB-5 Bonds10
The Series 2017 Bonds10
Additional Bonds10
SECURITY FOR THE BONDS
General10
Rate Covenant; Pledge of Customer Facility Charge 11
Flow of Funds
Rolling Coverage Fund and Debt Service Reserve
Fund14
Additional Indebtedness14
CONRACS AND CONRAC SYSTEM14
CAR RENTAL FACILITIES CONCESSION
AGREEMENTS AND FACILITY LEASES17
RENTAL CAR OPERATORS
General
Rental Car Transaction Days
REPORT OF THE CONSULTANT
General
Forecast of Debt Service Coverage21
Debt Service Coverage for the Bonds21
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Department Organization23
Department Management
Management Personnel
Labor Relations
Employee Benefits
Customer Facility Charge Payments for Airports
Division Employees
THE AIRPORTS SYSTEM
General
Primary Airports
Airports Capital Improvement Program
Financial Covenants
Insurance
PASSENGER TRAFFIC AND AIRLINES
Airline Service and Passenger Activity Operations29
CERTAIN INVESTMENT CONSIDERATIONS
Rate Covenant Not a Guarantee; Failure to Meet
Projections
Considerations Concerning the Rental Car Industry .31
Considerations Concerning Tourism to Hawaii
Considerations Concerning the Airline Industry
Rental Car Company and Airline Information
Factors Affecting Construction of the ConRAC
System

Factors Affecting Customer Facility Charge
Transaction Days
Economic Conditions
Aviation Security Concerns
Geopolitical Shift in U.S. Foreign Policy and
International Relations
Public Health Concerns
Impact of Uncertainties of the Airline Industry on the
Airports System
Considerations Regarding Customer Facility Charge38
Limitation on Bondholders' Remedies
Climate Change Issues
Cybersecurity Risks
LITIGATION
TAX MATTERS
UNDERWRITING
LEGALITY FOR INVESTMENT
APPROVAL OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS43
RATINGS43
MUNICIPAL ADVISOR
CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS REGARDING
FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS IN THIS
OFFICIAL STATEMENT44
CONTINUING DISCLOSURE
MISCELLANEOUS45
Appendix A: Report of the Consultant

- Appendix A: Report of the Consumant Appendix B: Certain Economic Information About the State of Hawaii
- Appendix C: Certain Definitions in the Indenture
- Appendix D: Summary of Certain Provisions of the Indenture
- Appendix E: Summary of Certain Provisions of the ConRAC Leases
- Appendix F: Form of Bond Counsel Opinion
- Appendix G: Form of Continuing Disclosure Certificate
- Appendix H: Book-Entry Only System
- Appendix I: Information Concerning Restrictions in Certain Jurisdictions Outside of the United States

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

OFFICIAL STATEMENT \$249,805,000 STATE OF HAWAII AIRPORTS SYSTEM CUSTOMER FACILITY CHARGE REVENUE BONDS Series 2017A (Taxable)

INTRODUCTION

This Official Statement, which includes the cover page and appendices (the "Official Statement"), provides information on the sale and issuance of \$249,805,000 aggregate principal amount of State of Hawaii Airports System Customer Facility Charge Revenue Bonds, Series 2017A (Taxable) (the "Series 2017 Bonds"). See "DESCRIPTION OF THE SERIES 2017 BONDS" for a description of the principal terms of the Series 2017 Bonds. Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined in this Official Statement shall have the respective meanings given to such terms in the Indenture (as defined below) and Appendix C – Certain Definitions in the Indenture and Appendix D – Summary of Certain Provisions of the Indenture.

The State of Hawaii (the "State"), acting by and through its Department of Transportation Airports Division (the "Department"), will issue the Series 2017 Bonds pursuant to the State Constitution, the laws of the State and the Indenture of Trust dated as of August 14, 2014, as amended and supplemented (the "Indenture"), between the Department and MUFG Union Bank, N.A., as Trustee (the "Trustee"), including as supplemented by the Second Supplemental and First Amendatory Indenture, dated as of July 1, 2017 (the "Second Supplemental Indenture"). Pursuant to the Indenture, the State has previously issued \$76,000,000 of Statewide System of Airports of the State of Hawaii Rental Motor Vehicle Customer Facility Charge Revenue Bonds, Series EB-5 (the "EB-5 Bonds"). The Department borrowed the proceeds of the EB-5 Bonds in installments, and as of the date of this Official Statement, \$76,000,000 of the EB-5 Bonds are outstanding. The outstanding EB-5 Bonds, the Series 2017 Bonds and any additional parity bonds issued by the State under the Indenture (the "Additional Bonds") are collectively referred to as the "Bonds."

The Series 2017 Bonds are being issued: (i) to pay the costs of design, development and construction of consolidated rental motor vehicle facility projects (the "ConRACs") at certain airports of the State's airports system (the "Airports System") and comprising the Statewide Airports Rental Car Facilities System (the "ConRAC System"), (ii) to fund the Rolling Coverage Fund Requirement and the Debt Service Reserve Fund Requirement for the Series 2017 Bonds, and (iii) to pay certain costs of issuance relating to the Series 2017 Bonds. See "CONRACS AND CONRAC SYSTEM" and "DESCRIPTION OF THE SERIES 2017 BONDS" below.

The Bonds, including the Series 2017 Bonds, are special limited obligations of the State, payable solely from and secured solely by the receipts from the collection of the Rental Motor Vehicle Customer Facility Charge (the "Customer Facility Charge") imposed by the State on rental motor vehicle customers who use or benefit from rental car facilities at all airports in the Airports System, including the ConRACs, and certain payments to be made by the rental car operators (the "RACs"). The Bonds, including the Series 2017 Bonds, do not constitute a general or moral obligation of the State nor a charge upon the general fund of the State. The full faith and Credit of neither the State nor any political subdivision of the State is pledged to the payment of or as security for the Series 2017 Bonds. Neither the real property nor the improvements comprising the Airports System nor the revenues derived from operation of the Airports System have been pledged or mortgaged to secure payment of the Series 2017 Bonds. See "SECURITY FOR THE BONDS" for a description of the security for the Bonds and the Customer Facility Charge.

The Airports System is comprised of five primary airports and ten secondary airports. The primary airports consist of Daniel K. Inouye International Airport, formerly also, and referred to as, Honolulu International Airport ("Honolulu International" or "HNL"), Kahului Airport ("Kahului"), Hilo International Airport ("Hilo"), Ellison Onizuka Kona International Airport at Keahole ("Kona"), and Lihue Airport ("Lihue"). Honolulu International is the State's principal airport. See "THE AIRPORTS SYSTEM." The Department operates the Airports System as a single integrated system for management and financial purposes on behalf of the State. See "DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION."

In 2008, pursuant to Act 226, Session Laws of Hawaii 2008, the Legislature of the State authorized the Department to impose and collect the Customer Facility Charge from rental car customers at all airports in the

Airports System to pay the costs of design, development and construction of the ConRACs. The ConRAC System is presently comprised of ConRACs under construction at Honolulu International and Kahului, the cost of land acquisition for a ConRAC at Lihue and other program related expenses. The Department will operate the ConRAC System as a single integrated system for management and financial purposes. The Customer Facility Charge is intended to be sufficient to pay debt service on the Bonds and for operation and maintenance of the ConRACs. The Department is authorized to increase the rate of the Customer Facility Charge and to require payment of an additional Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency by the rental car operators ("RACs") that will be sufficient to pay debt service on the Bonds and to pay the costs of operation, maintenance and repair of the ConRAC System. See "CONRACS AND CONRAC SYSTEM" below and Appendix A – Report of the Consultant, for a description of ConRACs projects and the ConRAC System.

The cover page of this Official Statement and this Introduction contain certain information for general reference only. Investors are advised to read this entire Official Statement to obtain information essential to the making of an informed investment decision. This Official Statement contains summary descriptions of the Series 2017 Bonds, the security for the Bonds, the ConRAC System, the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities Concession Agreements and Facilities Leases between the Department and the RACs (the "ConRAC Leases"), the RACs, the Department, the Airports System and certain provisions of the Indenture. All references to agreements and documents are qualified in their entirety by the definitive forms of the agreements and documents. All references to the Indenture and to the Series 2017 Bonds are qualified by the definitive forms of the Indenture and the Series 2017 Bonds. Copies of the Indenture are available for examination at the offices of the Department's Airports Division (the "Airports Division"). Any statement or information involving matters of opinion or estimates are represented as opinions or estimates made in good faith, but no assurance can be given that facts will materialize as so opined or estimated. The following appendices are included as part of this Official Statement: Appendix A - Report of the Consultant on the Proposed Issuance of State of Hawaii, Airports System Customer Facility Charge Revenue Bonds, Series 2017, dated June 28, 2017 (the "Report of the Consultant"), prepared by ICF SH&E, Inc. (the "Consultant"); Appendix B - Certain Economic Information about the State of Hawaii; Appendix C - Certain Definitions in the Indenture; Appendix D - Summary of Certain Provisions of the Indenture; Appendix E – Summary of Certain Provisions of the ConRAC Leases; Appendix F – Form of Bond Counsel Opinion; Appendix G - Form of Continuing Disclosure Certificate; Appendix H - Book-Entry Only System, and Appendix I – Information Concerning Restrictions in Certain Jurisdictions Outside of the United States.

Prospective Financial Information

Prospective financial information in this Official Statement was not prepared with a view toward compliance with the guidelines established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants for preparation and presentation of prospective financial information. Prospective financial information included in this Official Statement, including projected debt service and debt service coverage from the Report of the Consultant, has been prepared by, and is the responsibility of, the Department.

THE SERIES 2017 BONDS

General Provisions Regarding the Series 2017 Bonds

The Series 2017 Bonds will be issued as fully registered bonds in the aggregate principal amount as set forth on the inside cover, will be dated the date of initial delivery and will bear interest from that date to their respective maturities as set forth on the inside cover, subject to redemption prior to maturity as described below. Ownership interests in the Series 2017 Bonds will be available in denominations of \$5,000 and integral multiples thereof. Interest on the Series 2017 Bonds will be payable on January 1, 2018, and on each January 1 and July 1 thereafter.

So long as Cede & Co. is the registered owner of the Series 2017 Bonds, all payments of principal, premium, if any, and interest on the Series 2017 Bonds are payable by wire transfer by the Trustee to Cede & Co., as nominee for DTC, which will, in turn, remit such amounts to the DTC Participants for subsequent disposition to beneficial owners. See "THE SERIES 2017A BONDS - Book-Entry Only System" below and Appendix H – Book-Entry Only System.

Redemption of the Series 2017 Bonds

Optional Redemption. The Series 2017 Bonds maturing on or after July 1, 2028, will be subject to redemption at the option of the State, in the order of maturity as directed by the State, on or after July 1, 2027, in whole or in part on any date, by lot within any single maturity, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount to be redeemed, together with accrued interest to the purchase or redemption date.

Make-Whole Optional Redemption. In addition to the foregoing, the Series 2017 Bonds are subject to redemption prior to July 1, 2027, at the option of the Department, in whole or in part (and if in part on a pro rata basis), on any date, at a redemption price (the "Make-Whole Price") equal to the greater of:

(1) 100% of the principal amount of the Series 2017 Bonds to be redeemed; or

(2) the sum of the present value of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest to the maturity date of such Series 2017 Bonds to be redeemed, not including any portion of those payments of interest accrued and unpaid as of the date on which such Series 2017 Bonds are to be redeemed on a semi-annual basis, assuming a 360-day year consisting of twelve 30-day months, at the Comparable Treasury Yield (defined below) plus 25 basis points with respect to the Series 2017 Bonds;

plus, in each case, accrued interest on such Series 2017 Bonds to be redeemed to the redemption date.

For purposes of calculating the Make-Whole Price with respect to the optional make-whole redemption of the Series 2017 Bonds, the following terms shall have the following meanings:

"Calculation Agent" means a commercial bank or an investment banking institution of national standing that is a primary dealer of United States government securities in the United States and designated by the State (which may be one of the institutions that served as an underwriter for the Series 2017 Bonds).

"Comparable Treasury Issue" means the United States Treasury security selected by the Calculation Agent as having a maturity comparable to the remaining term to maturity of the Series 2017 Bonds being redeemed that would be utilized, at the time of selection and in accordance with customary financial practice, in pricing new issues of corporate debt securities of comparable maturity to the remaining term to maturity of the Series 2017 Bonds being redeemed.

"Comparable Treasury Price" means, with respect to any date on which a Series 2017 Bond or portion thereof is being redeemed, either: (a) the average of five Reference Treasury Dealer quotations for the date fixed for redemption, after excluding the highest and lowest such quotations or (b) if the Calculation Agent is unable to obtain five such quotations, the average of the quotations that are obtained. The quotations will be the average, as determined by the Calculation Agent, of the bid and asked prices for the Comparable Treasury Issue (expressed in each case as a percentage of principal amount) quoted in writing to the Calculation Agent, at 5:00 p.m. New York City time at least three business days but no more than 20 business days preceding the date fixed for redemption, as selected by the Department.

"Comparable Treasury Yield" means the yield that represents the weekly average yield to maturity for the preceding week appearing in the most recently published statistical release designated "H.15(519) Selected Interest Rates" under the heading "Treasury Constant Maturities," or any successor publication selected by the Calculation Agent that is published weekly by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and that establishes yields on actively traded United States Treasury securities adjusted to constant maturity, for the maturity corresponding to the remaining term to maturity of the Series 2017 Bond being redeemed. The Comparable Treasury Yield will be determined at least three business days but no more than 20 business days preceding the date fixed for redemption, as selected by the Department. If the H.15(519) statistical release sets forth a weekly average yield for United States Treasury Securities that have a constant maturity that is the same as the remaining term to maturity of the Series 2017 Bonds being redeemed, then the Comparable Treasury Yield will be equal to such weekly average yield. In all other cases, the Comparable Treasury Yield will be calculated by interpolation on a straight-line basis, between the weekly average yields on the United States Treasury securities that have a constant maturity of the Series 2017 Bonds being redeemed; and (ii) closest to and greater than the remaining term to maturity of the Series 2017 Bonds being redeemed; and (ii) closest to and less than the remaining term to maturity of the Series 2017 Bonds being redeemed. Any weekly average yields calculated by interpolation will be rounded to the nearest 1/100th of 1%, with any figure of 1/200th of 1% or above

being rounded upward. If, and only if, weekly average yields for United States Treasury securities for the preceding week are not available in the H.15(519) statistical release or any successor publication, then the Comparable Treasury Yield will be the rate of interest per annum equal to the semiannual equivalent yield to maturity of the Comparable Treasury Issue (expressed as a percentage of its principal amount) assuming a price for the Comparable Treasury Issue equal to the Comparable Treasury Price (each as defined herein) as of the date fixed for redemption.

"Reference Treasury Dealer" means a primary dealer of United States Government securities in the United States (which may be one of the institutions that served as underwriters for the Taxable Bonds) appointed by the State and reasonably acceptable to the Calculation Agent.

Sinking Fund Account Redemption of the Series 2017 Bonds. The Series 2017 Bonds maturing on July 1, 2037 and July 1, 2047, are subject to Sinking Fund redemption by operation of the Sinking Fund Account at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof on July 1, of the years and in the respective principal amounts set forth below:

\$42,540,000 Series 2017A Bonds Maturing July 1, 2037*

Year	Principal Amount
2033	\$ 7,860,000
2034	8,170,000
2035	8,495,000
2036	8,830,000
2037	9,185,000
	\$42,540,000

\$116,015,000 Series 2017A Bonds Maturing July 1, 2047*

Year	Principal Amount	
2038	\$ 9,560,000	
2039	9,965,000	
2040	10,385,000	
2041	10,825,000	
2042	11,285,000	
2043	11,760,000	
2044	12,260,000	
2045	12,775,000	
2046	13,320,000	
2047	13,880,000	
	\$116,015,000	

*Stated maturity.

Selection of Series 2017 Bonds for Redemption. If less than all of the Series 2017 Bonds of a series are called for redemption, the Trustee will designate the maturities from which the Series 2017 Bonds of such series are to be redeemed. For so long as the Series 2017 Bonds are registered in book-entry form and DTC or a successor securities depository is the sole registered owner of such Series 2017 Bonds, if fewer than all of the Series 2017 Bonds to be redeemed shall be selected on a pro rata pass-through distribution of principal basis in accordance with DTC procedures, in the case of the Series 2017 Bonds; provided that, so long as the Series 2017 Bonds are held in book-entry form, the selection for redemption of the Series 2017 Bonds will be made in accordance with the operational arrangements of DTC then in effect, and if the DTC operational arrangements do not allow for redemption on a pro rata pass-through distribution of principal basis, all Series 2017 Bonds will be selected for redemption in accordance with DTC procedures by lot; provided further that any such redemption must be performed such that all Bonds remaining outstanding will be in authorized denominations. See Appendix H - Book-Entry Only System.

In connection with any repayment of principal of the Series 2017 Bonds, including payments of scheduled mandatory sinking fund payments, the Trustee will direct DTC to make a pass-through distribution of principal to the owners of the Series 2017 Bonds. A form of Pro Rata Pass-Through Distribution of Principal Notice will be provided to the Trustee that includes a table of factors reflecting the relevant scheduled redemption payments, based on the current schedule of mandatory sinking fund payments, which is subject to change upon certain optional redemptions, and DTC's currently applicable procedures, which are subject to change.

For purposes of calculating pro rata pass-through distributions of principal, "pro rata" means, for any amount of principal or interest to be paid, the application of a fraction to such amounts where: (a) the numerator is equal to the amount due to the owners of the Series 2017 Bonds on a payment date and (b) the denominator is equal to the total original par amount of the Series 2017 Bonds.

It is the Department's intent that redemption allocations made by DTC with respect to the Series 2017 Bonds be made on a pro rata pass-through distribution of principal basis as described above. However, neither the State nor the Underwriters can provide any assurance that DTC, DTC's direct and indirect participants, or any other intermediary will allocate the redemption of these Bonds on such basis.

If the Series 2017 Bonds are not registered in book-entry form and if fewer than all of the Series 2017 Bonds of the same maturity and bearing the same interest rate are to be redeemed, the particular Series 2017 Bonds of such maturity and bearing such interest rate to be redeemed will be selected on a pro rata basis provided that any such redemption must be performed such that all Series 2017 Bonds remaining outstanding will be in authorized denominations.

General Redemption Provisions. Except as described above, if any Series 2017 Bond is of a denomination in excess of \$5,000, portions of the principal sum thereof in installments of \$5,000 or any integral multiple thereof may be redeemed.

If any Series 2017 Bond (or any portion of the principal sum thereof) shall be redeemable and shall have been duly called for redemption and notice of such redemption shall have been duly given as provided in the Indenture, and if on or before the date fixed for such redemption the Department shall have duly made or provided for the payment of the principal sum thereof to be redeemed, the premium, if any, payable upon such redemption and the interest accrued on the principal sum to be redeemed to the date fixed for such redemption, and unless such notice is conditioned upon satisfaction of any other condition or the occurrence of any other event and such condition is not satisfied or such event has not occurred, then such Series 2017 Bond (or the portion of the principal sum thereof to be redeemed) shall become due and payable upon such date fixed for redemption and interest shall cease to accrue and become payable from and after the date fixed for such redemption on the principal sum thereof to be redeemed.

Notice of redemption will be mailed, not fewer than 30 days nor more than 60 days prior to the fixed date for redemption, to each Holder of a Series 2017 Bond in whose name such Series 2017 Bond is registered upon the Bond Register maintained by the Trustee (the "Bond Register") at such Holder's address as shown on such Bond Register. Failure of the Holder of a Series 2017 Bond to receive such notice by mail or any defect in such notice will not affect the sufficiency of the proceedings for the redemption of any Series 2017 Bond. The Trustee shall send a second notice of redemption by certified mail return receipt requested to any registered holder who has not submitted Bonds called for redemption 30 days after the redemption date, provided, however, that the failure to give any second notice by mailing, or any defect in such notice, shall not affect the validity of any proceedings for the redemption of any failure by the Trustee to send any second notice.

Any notice of any optional redemption of Series 2017 Bonds may state that it is conditional upon receipt by the Trustee of money sufficient to pay the redemption price of such Series 2017 Bonds or upon the satisfaction of any other condition, or that it may be rescinded upon the occurrence of any other event, and any conditional notice so given may be rescinded at any time before payment of such redemption price if any such condition so specified is not satisfied or if any such other event occurs. The Department shall provide notice of any rescission or failure to meet any such condition or other such event as promptly as practicable after the failure of such condition or the occurrence of such other event, in the same manner as the notice of redemption.

For so long as the Book-Entry System is in effect with respect to the Series 2017 Bonds, the Trustee will mail notice of redemption to DTC or its nominee or its successor. Any failure of DTC or its successor, or of a Direct DTC Participant or Indirect DTC Participant, to notify a Beneficial Owner of a Series 2017 Bond of any such redemption will not affect the sufficiency or the validity of the redemption of such Bond. See Appendix H — "Book-Entry Only System."

Effect of Redemption. If a Series 2017 Bond is subject by its terms to redemption and has been duly called for redemption in accordance with the Indenture, and if sufficient moneys available for the payment of the redemption price and interest to accrue to the redemption date on such Series 2017 Bond are held for such purpose by the Trustee, such Series 2017 Bond so called for redemption shall become due and payable, and interest on such Series 2017 Bond shall cease to accrue on the redemption date designated in such notice.

Upon surrender of any Series 2017 Bond to be redeemed in part only, the Department will execute and the Trustee shall authenticate and deliver to the holder a new Series 2017 Bond or Bonds representing the unredeemed principal amount of the Series 2017 Bond surrendered.

Estimated Sources and Uses of Funds

The following table sets forth the estimated sources and uses of the proceeds of the Series 2017 Bonds:

SOURCES	Series 2017 Bonds
Par Amount	\$249,805,000.00
Total Sources	\$249,805,000.00
USES:	
Deposit for Project Costs	\$230,000,000.00
Deposit to Debt Service Reserve Fund	14,171,999.73
Deposit to Rolling Coverage Fund	3,542,999.93
Issuance Expenses (including underwriters'	2,086,149.79
discount fees and other costs of issuance)	
Additional Proceeds	3,850.55
Total Uses	\$249,805,000

Book-Entry Only System

The Series 2017 Bonds will be issued as fully registered bonds without coupons and are initially to be registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee for DTC, as securities depository for the Series 2017 Bonds. Purchases by beneficial owners are to be made in book-entry form. If at any time the book-entry only system is discontinued for the Series 2017 Bonds, the Series 2017 Bonds will be exchangeable for other fully registered certificated Series 2017 Bonds of the same series in any authorized denomination, maturity and interest rate. See Appendix H – Book-Entry Only System. Interest will be payable by check or draft mailed to the holder as of the record date. The Trustee may impose a charge sufficient to reimburse the Department or the Trustee for any tax, fee or other governmental charge required to be paid with respect to such exchange or any transfer of a Bond. The cost, if any, of preparing each new Bond issued upon such exchange or transfer, and any other expenses of the Department or the Director of Finance as the Trustee incurred in connection therewith, will be paid by the person requesting such exchange or transfer. At the request of any holder of at least \$1,000,000 principal amount of the Series 2017 Bonds, payment of interest will be made by wire transfer as directed by such holder. Payment of principal of the Series 2017 Bonds will be made upon presentation and surrender of such Series 2017 Bonds at the office of the Trustee.

NEITHER THE DEPARTMENT NOR MUFG UNION BANK, N.A., AS THE TRUSTEE, WILL HAVE ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR OBLIGATION TO DTC PARTICIPANTS, INDIRECT PARTICIPANTS, OR ANY BENEFICIAL OWNER WITH RESPECT TO (I) THE ACCURACY OF ANY RECORDS MAINTAINED BY DTC, CEDE & CO., ANY DTC PARTICIPANT, OR ANY INDIRECT PARTICIPANT; (II) ANY NOTICE THAT IS PERMITTED OR REQUIRED TO BE GIVEN TO THE OWNERS OF THE BONDS; (III) THE SELECTION BY DTC OR ANY DTC PARTICIPANT OR INDIRECT PARTICIPANT OF ANY PERSON TO RECEIVE PAYMENT IN THE EVENT OF A PARTIAL REDEMPTION OF ANY BONDS; (IV) THE PAYMENT BY DTC OR ANY DTC PARTICIPANT OR INDIRECT PARTICIPANT OF ANY AMOUNT WITH RESPECT TO THE PRINCIPAL OR REDEMPTION PREMIUM, IF ANY, OR INTEREST DUE WITH RESPECT TO ANY BONDS; (V) ANY CONSENT GIVEN OR OTHER ACTION TAKEN BY DTC AS THE OWNER OF THE BONDS; OR (VI) ANY OTHER MATTER RELATING TO DTC OR THE BOOK-ENTRY ONLY SYSTEM.

Transfer of Series 2017 Bonds

So long as Cede & Co., as nominee for DTC (or other nominee of DTC), is the Bondholder of record of the Series 2017 Bonds, beneficial ownership interests in the Series 2017 Bonds may be transferred only through a direct or indirect participant and recorded on the book-entry system operated by DTC. In the event the book-entry-only system is discontinued, Series 2017 Bond certificates will be delivered to the beneficial owners as described in the Indenture. Thereafter, the Series 2017 Bonds, upon surrender thereof at the principal office of the Trustee with a written instrument of transfer satisfactory to the Trustee, duly executed by the holder thereof or such holder's duly authorized attorney, may be exchanged for an equal aggregate principal amount of Series 2017 Bonds of the same maturity and of any authorized denominations.

In all cases in which the privilege of exchanging or transferring Series 2017 Bonds is exercised, the Department shall execute and authenticate and deliver the Series 2017 Bonds in accordance with the provisions of the Indenture. For every such exchange or transfer of Series 2017 Bonds, the Department may make a charge sufficient to reimburse it for any tax, fee or other governmental charge required to be paid with respect to such exchange or transfer but may impose no other charge therefor.

Euroclear and Clearstream Banking

Euroclear and Clearstream Banking have advised the Department as follows:

Euroclear and Clearstream Banking each hold securities for their customers and facilitate the clearance and settlement of securities transactions by electronic book-entry transfer between their respective account holders. Euroclear and Clearstream Banking provide various services including safekeeping, administration, clearance and settlement of internationally traded securities and securities lending and borrowing. Euroclear and Clearstream Banking also deal with domestic securities markets in several countries through established depositary and custodial relationships. Euroclear and Clearstream Banking have established an electronic bridge between their two systems across which their respective participants may settle trades with each other.

Euroclear and Clearstream Banking customers are worldwide financial institutions, including underwriters, securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies and clearing corporations. Indirect access to Euroclear and Clearstream Banking is available to other institutions that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with an account holder of either system, either directly or indirectly.

Clearing and Settlement Procedures. Any Series 2017 Bonds sold in offshore transactions will be initially issued to investors through the book-entry facilities of DTC, for the account of its participants, including but not limited to Euroclear and Clearstream Banking. If the investors are participants in Clearstream Banking and Euroclear in Europe, or indirectly through organizations that are participants in the Clearing Systems, Clearstream Banking and Euroclear will hold omnibus positions on behalf of their participants through customers' securities accounts in Clearstream Banking's and Euroclear's names on the books of their respective depositories. In all cases, the record holder of the Series 2017 Bonds will be DTC's nominee and not Euroclear or Clearstream Banking. The depositories, in turn, will hold positions in customers' securities accounts in the depositories' names on the books of DTC. Because of time zone differences, the securities account of a Clearstream Banking or Euroclear participant as a result of a transaction with a participant, other than a depository holding on behalf of Clearstream Banking or Euroclear, will be credited during the securities settlement processing day, which must be a business day for Clearstream Banking or Euroclear, as the case may be, immediately following the DTC settlement date. These credits or any transactions in the securities settled during the processing will be reported to the relevant Euroclear participant or Clearstream Banking participant on that business day. Cash received in Clearstream Banking or Euroclear as a result of sales of securities by or through a Clearstream Banking participant or Euroclear participant to a DTC Participant, other than the depository for Clearstream Banking or Euroclear, will be received with value on the DTC settlement date but will be available in the relevant Clearstream Banking or Euroclear cash account only as of the business day following settlement in DTC.

Transfers between participants will occur in accordance with DTC rules. Transfers between Clearstream Banking participants or Euroclear participants will occur in accordance with their respective rules and operating procedures. Cross-market transfers between persons holding directly or indirectly through DTC, on the one hand, and directly or indirectly through Clearstream Banking participants or Euroclear participants, on the other, will be effected in DTC in accordance with DTC rules on behalf of the relevant European international clearing system by the relevant depositories; however, cross-market transactions will require delivery of instructions to the relevant European international clearing system by the counterparty in the system in accordance with its rules and procedures and within its established deadlines in European time. The relevant European international clearing system will, if the transaction meets its settlement requirements, deliver instructions to its depository to take action to effect final settlement on its behalf by delivering or receiving securities in DTC, and making or receiving payment in accordance with normal procedures for same day funds settlement applicable to DTC. Clearstream Banking participants or Euroclear participants may not deliver instructions directly to the depositories.

The Department will not impose any fees in respect of holding the Series 2017 Bonds; however, holders of book-entry interests in the Series 2017 Bonds may incur fees normally payable in respect of the maintenance and operation of accounts in the Clearing Systems.

Initial Settlement. Interests in the Series 2017 Bonds will be in uncertified book-entry form. Purchasers electing to hold book-entry interests in the Series 2017 Bonds through Euroclear and Clearstream Banking accounts will follow the settlement procedures applicable thereto and applicable to DTC. Book-entry interests in the Series 2017 Bonds will be credited by DTC to Euroclear and Clearstream Banking participants' securities clearance accounts on the business day following the date of delivery of the Series 2017 Bonds against payment (value as on the date of delivery of the Series 2017 Bonds). DTC participants acting on behalf of purchasers electing to hold book-entry interests in the Series 2017 Bonds through DTC will follow the delivery practices applicable to securities eligible for DTC's Same Day Funds Settlement system. DTC participants' securities accounts will be credited with book-entry interests in the Series 2017 Bonds following confirmation of receipt of payment to the Department on the date of delivery of the Series 2017 Bonds.

Secondary Market Trading. Secondary market trades in the Series 2017 Bonds will he settled by transfer of title to book-entry interests in the Clearing Systems. Title to such book-entry interests will pass by registration of the transfer within the records of Euroclear, Clearstream Banking or DTC, as the case may be, in accordance with their respective procedures. Book-entry interests in the Series 2017 Bonds may be transferred within Euroclear and within Clearstream Banking and between Euroclear and Clearstream Banking. Book-entry interests in the Series 2017 Bonds may be transferred within procedures established for these purposes by Euroclear and Clearstream Banking. Book-entry interests in the Series 2017 Bonds may be transferred within DTC in accordance with procedures established for this purpose by DTC. Transfer of book-entry interests in the Series 2017 Bonds between Euroclear or Clearstream Banking and DTC shall be effected in accordance with procedures established for this purpose by Euroclear, Clearstream Banking and DTC.

Authority for Issuance

Article VII, Section 12 of the State Constitution and Part III, Chapter 39 of the Hawaii Revised Statutes ("HRS"), as amended (collectively the "General Revenue Bond Law"), permit the issuance of revenue bonds of the State payable from and secured by the Customer Facility Charge upon the approval of a majority of the members of each house of the State Legislature and pursuant to the Indenture and Second Supplemental Indenture, the latter of which becomes effective upon filing with the Director of Finance. The General Revenue Bond Law limits the maximum maturity of revenue bonds and also sets forth provisions for the sale, method of execution and other details of all revenue bonds. The State Legislature from time to time enacts laws (including the general appropriations act) authorizing the issuance of revenue bonds (without fixing any particular details), defining the purposes for which the bonds are to be issued and specifying the amount of the proceeds of such bonds which may be applied to such purposes. As of May 2017, the Department has legislative authorization to issue \$319.8 million in revenue bonds to pay the cost of ConRAC projects.

Pursuant to the General Revenue Bond Law, the Director has issued the Indenture, which, under State law, constitutes the security document pursuant to which all Bonds are issued and secured. Second Supplemental Indenture provides the terms of the Series 2017 Bonds including principal amounts, interest rates, maturities, redemption provisions and the covenants of the Department. Section 261-7(h), HRS authorizes the Department to establish, levy,

assess and collect the Customer Facility Charge to pay for or finance construction, operation, and maintenance costs of the ConRACs. The Series 2017 Bonds are being issued pursuant to the Indenture, Second Supplemental Indenture, Section 261-7(h), HRS and the General Revenue Bond Law.

Administrative Directive No. 00-01, issued by the Governor on July 18, 2000 (the "Directive"), requires all departments of the State, including the Department, to organize and coordinate all bond issues with the Department of Budget and Finance. The Directive requires the Director of Finance to approve the amount, timing, pricing and details of every issuance of State bonds. The Director of Finance also approves the method of sale, pricing advisors or consultants, underwriters in a negotiated sale and other participants deemed necessary for each State financing.

PLAN OF FINANCE

Sources and Uses of Project Funds

The following tables describe the Department's plan to finance construction of the \$901 million ConRAC System using a combination of Bond proceeds and collections of the Customer Facility Charge. In addition to the Series 2017 Bonds, the Department currently anticipates that a portion of such expenses will be funded with the proceeds of Additional Bonds anticipated to be issued pursuant to and in accordance with the Indenture in early 2019, and secured on a parity with the Series 2017 Bonds. The Department intends to use a portion of the Customer Facility Charge collections to pay capital costs of the ConRAC System and a portion of the Customer Facility Charge collections to pay debt service on the Bonds. The following tables describe the timing of expenditures to complete construction of the ConRAC System and the sources of funds to pay these expenditures.

Table 1

Project Costs and Funding Sources Hawaii Airports System (for the 12 months ending June 30; in thousands)

Projects		Total		nrough 2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		2023
HNL ConRAC Program																		
Consolidated Car Rental Facility-Design	\$	22,500	\$		\$	1,359	\$	1,359	\$	1,359	\$	1,359	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
HNL Roadway and Misc. Improvements		8,848		8,745		103		-		-		-		-		-		-
Consolidated Car Rental Facility-CM		24,500		2,913		5,397		5,397		5,397		5,397		-		-		-
HNL Interim Car Rental Facility		36,410		30,633		5,777						-				-		-
HNL Consolidated Car Rental Facility		329,900		-		73,930		102,249		80,013		71,507		2,200		-		-
HNL ConRAC Buses	_	16,000	_	-	_	16,000	_	-	_		_				_	-		
Total HNL	\$	438,158	\$	59,355	\$	102,566	\$	109,005	\$	86,769	\$	78,263	\$	2,200	\$	-	\$	-
OGG ConRAC Program																		
Airport Access Road To Hana Highway	\$	59,088	\$		\$	14,200	\$	6,944	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Roadway Improvements and ConRAC Facility		376,558		10,998		149,549		188,550		26,256		1,205		-		-		-
New Rental Car Storage Lot, Kahului	_	800	_	590	_	210	_	-	_		_	-		-	_	-		-
Total OGG	\$	436,446	\$	49,533	\$	163,958	\$	195,494	\$	26,256	\$	1,205	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
LIH ConRAC Program																		
Lihue Airport Land Acquisition. Phase 2	\$	21.300	\$	9,300	\$	12.000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Lihue Consolidated Rental Car Facility		-	•	-	•	-		-	•	-	•	-		-	•	-	•	-
Total LIH	\$	21,300	\$	9,300	\$	12,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Other Rental Car Projects																		
CONRAC Program Mgmt Support, Phase I	¢	1.760	\$	1,760	¢	0	¢		\$		¢		\$		\$	_	\$	_
CONRAC Program Mgmt Support, Phase II	Φ	2,000	Φ	1.320	Φ	680	Φ		Φ		Φ	_	Φ	_	Φ		Φ	-
Future Program Management Services		1.440		-,520				720		720		_		_		_		_
Statewide ConBAC Facilities Plan		292		292		-						-		-		-		-
KOA & ITO Studies						-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Total Other Projects	\$	5,492	\$	3,371	\$	680	\$	720	\$	720	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total Consolidated Rental Car Program	\$	901,395	\$	121,560	\$	279,204	\$	305,219	\$	113,745	\$	79,468	\$	2,200	\$	-	\$	-

Source: Airports Division records, as of May 2017.

Table 2

Sources and Uses of Funds Hawaii ConRAC System (in thousands)

	EB-5 Bonds	2017 CFC Bonds	2019 CFC Bonds	Others	Total
Sources of Funds					
Bond Proceeds	\$ 76,000	\$ 253,990	\$ 225,980	\$-	\$ 555,970
Premium	-	-	-	-	-
Interest Earnings	-	-	-	-	-
Cash and Grants	-	-	-	1,076	1,076
CFC Collected as of December 2016				342,515	342,515
Future CFC Collection	 -			124,804	 124,804
Total Sources of Funds	\$ 76,000	\$ 253,990	\$ 225,980	\$ 468,395	\$ 1,024,365
Uses of Funds					
Deposit to Project Fund	\$ 76,000	\$ 230,000	\$ 127,000	\$ 468,395	\$ 901,395
Refunding EB-5 Bonds	-		76,000	-	76,000
Capitalized Interest	-	-	-	-	-
Deposit to Debt Service Reserve Fund	-	17,364	16,623	-	33,987
Deposit to Rolling Coverage Fund	-	4,341	4,155	-	8,497
Costs of Issuance	 -	2,284	2,202		 4,486
Total Uses of Funds	\$ 76,000	\$ 253,990	\$ 225,980	\$ 468,395	\$ 1,024,365

Source: Public Financial Management, Inc., May 2017.

Note: Historical data used in these tables was based on a range of publicly available sources. The analysis and assumptions relied on this information, and were reviewed and approved by the Department. Source: Public Financial Management, Inc., May 2017.

The EB-5 Bonds

In August 2014, the Department entered into the Indenture, a Supplemental Indenture No.1 and a loan agreement with Hawaii Regional Center, LP I and Hawaii Regional Center, LP IA and their agent CanAM HI GPI, LLC under which agreements the Department issued \$76,000,000 in EB-5 Bonds under the EB-5 Immigration Investor Program. The proceeds of the EB-5 Bonds were used to finance a portion of the construction of the ConRAC at Honolulu International. The EB-5 Bonds were the first Bonds secured by a pledge and collections of the Customer Facility Charge, and will be secured on a parity basis with the Series 2017 Bonds under the Indenture. The Department intends to repay the EB-5 Bonds on or before their August 27, 2019 maturity date with receipts of the Customer Facility Charge and proceeds of Additional Bonds to be issued and secured under the Indenture.

The Series 2017 Bonds

The Series 2017 Bonds will constitute the "Initial Bonds" under the Indenture, as the first series of long term bonds to be issued under the Indenture. The Series 2017 Bonds are being issued on a parity with the EB-5 Bonds. The proceeds of the Series 2017 Bonds, together with the receipts of the Customer Facility Charge, are anticipated to be sufficient to cover the Department's requirements to pay construction costs associated with the ConRACs through December 2018.

Additional Bonds

The Department currently anticipates issuing approximately \$226 million of Additional Bonds in 2019 to refund the EB-5 Bonds and to pay additional costs to construct the ConRAC System. If and when issued, such Additional Bonds are contemplated to be secured on a parity basis with the Series 2017 Bonds.

SECURITY FOR THE BONDS

General

The Bonds, including the Series 2017 Bonds, are special limited obligations of the State, payable solely from and secured solely by the Customer Facility Charge and payment by the RACs of the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency. The Bonds, including the Series 2017 Bonds, are equally and ratably secured by a lien and charge on the Customer Facility Charge prior and paramount to the lien of any other bonds. The term "Customer Facility Charge" means and includes only the Customer Facility Charge authorized under Section 261-7(h), HRS, and does not include any income, revenues or moneys derived from the ownership by the State and operation and

management by the Department of the Airports System or the ConRAC System. See Appendix D – Summary of Certain Provisions of the Indenture, for a more complete description of the Customer Facility Charge.

The Bonds, including the Series 2017 Bonds, are special limited obligations of the State, payable solely from and secured solely by the receipts from the collection of the Customer Facility Charge by the RACs. The Bonds, including the Series 2017 Bonds, do not constitute a general or moral obligation of the State nor a charge upon the general fund of the State. The full faith and credit of neither the State nor any political subdivision of the State is pledged to the payment of or as security for the Bonds, including the Series 2017 Bonds. Neither the real property nor the improvements comprising the Airports System or the ConRAC System, nor the revenues derived from the operation thereof, have been pledged or mortgaged to secure payment of the Bonds, including the Series 2017 Bonds.

Rate Covenant; Pledge of Customer Facility Charge

Act 226, SLH 2008 authorized the Department to establish and collect a Customer Facility Charge of \$1.00 per rental motor vehicle per day, and appropriated \$10 million for planning, design and construction of ConRAC System improvements. The Department implemented the Customer Facility Charge beginning September 1, 2008, on all rental car transactions for rental cars picked up from and returned to or otherwise benefitting from an airport location at all airports in the Airports System. In 2010, pursuant to Act 204, SLH 2010, the Legislature raised the Customer Facility Charge to \$4.50 per rental motor vehicle per day. The Legislature suspended collection of the Customer Facility Charge for fiscal year 2012. This suspension expired at the beginning of fiscal year 2013, and the Department resumed collection of the \$4.50 per day Customer Facility Charge per transaction on July 1, 2012, at all airports in the Airports System. There is no expiration of the Customer Facility Charge. Under Section 261-7, HRS, the Department has the power to adjust the amount of the Customer Facility Charge when necessary, without rule-making or legislative approval.

The State separately collects a \$3.00 daily rental motor vehicle surcharge tax on all rental car transactions in the State. The daily rental motor vehicle surcharge tax is not part of the Customer Facility Charge.

Section 261-5.6, HRS, creates a special fund in the Treasury of the State designated as the Rental Motor Vehicle Customer Facility Charge Special Fund (the "CFC Special Fund"). The DOT has entered into a Trust Indenture under which the DOT authorizes the Trustee to receive the proceeds of the Customer Facility Charge. The Indenture provides that all receipts from the collection of the Customer Facility Charge and other related payments from the RACs shall be deposited in the CFC Revenue Fund. The Indenture provides that the CFC Revenue Fund shall be continued as long as any Bonds remain outstanding.

For so long as any Bond remains Outstanding, the Indenture provides that the Department shall require each RAC to charge, collect and remit the Customer Facility Charge directly to the Trustee for the benefit of the Department. To the extent necessary, the Department shall require each RAC to pay directly to the Trustee, for the benefit of the Department, Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments, in the aggregate, that the Department projects to be sufficient, together with Customer Facility Charge projected to be collected in each Fiscal Year or portion, to provide sufficient funds to meet the Annual CFC Target (as defined below) for such Fiscal Year and to provide additional funds equal to the difference between the Customer Facility Charge and Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments (if any) received in the prior Fiscal Year and the Minimum Annual Requirement for such prior Fiscal Year.

As long as any Bond is Outstanding, the Department shall, prior to the commencement of each Fiscal Year, review and may adjust the level of the Customer Facility Charge, to the extent permitted by Law, and the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency or both based upon such factors as: the projected Aggregate Debt Service for the coming Fiscal Year, amounts necessary to fund the other accounts provided for in the Indenture, any shortfalls in Customer Facility Charge revenue and the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency compared to the Annual CFC Target that may have occurred in the then-current Fiscal Year, projections of the level of demand for rental car services at the Airports System in the next Fiscal Year, and such other factors as the Department may determine in its sole discretion. The Department may also make unscheduled adjustments to the level of the Customer Facility Charge, to the extent permitted by Law. As long as any Bond remains Outstanding, the Department shall set the amount of the Customer Facility Charge, and the projected Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments at an annual level estimated to be sufficient to provide funds: (i) to pay principal of and interest on the Bonds due in such Fiscal Year, (ii) to reimburse the Rolling Coverage Fund, the Debt Service Reserve Fund or the Subordinate

Reserve Fund for any drawings upon such Funds over a period not to exceed twelve (12) months, as determined by the Department, (iii) to provide funds necessary to pay any "yield reduction payments" or rebate amounts due to the United States for which funds in the Rebate Fund or the CFC Stabilization Fund are not otherwise available, (iv) to maintain the balance of the CFC Stabilization Fund in an amount of no less than the CFC Stabilization Fund Minimum Requirement, if any, and to reimburse any drawings below the CFC Stabilization Fund Minimum Requirement over a period not to exceed twelve (12) months, as determined by the Department and (v) to maintain the balance of the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund in an amount of no less than the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement (collectively, the sum of the amounts required by (i) through (v) above, the "Annual CFC Target").

As long as any Bond remains Outstanding, the Indenture requires that the aggregate amount of Customer Facility Charge and Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments paid by the RACs in each Fiscal Year plus the amount on deposit in the Rolling Coverage Fund (up to an amount not to exceed 25% of the Aggregate Debt Service on the Bonds (other than Subordinate Bonds) in such Fiscal Year) to provide no less than 1.40 times the Aggregate Debt Service on the Bonds (other than Subordinate Bonds) (the "Rate Covenant"). In the event that the Rate Covenant is not satisfied in any Fiscal Year, the Department shall increase the Customer Facility Charge, to the extent permitted by law, and the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency or both for the next succeeding Fiscal Year to no less than an amount, in the aggregate, that the Department projects to be sufficient to satisfy the Rate Covenant.

The Indenture further provides that amounts deposited in the CFC Revenue Fund shall be used solely in the following order of priority (and as shown below under the heading "Flow of Funds") established by the Indenture: (1) transfers to each Account within the Debt Service Fund established for a Series of Bonds (other than Subordinate Bonds) to pay debt service on such Bonds; (2) transfers to the Rolling Coverage Fund up to the amount of the Monthly Rolling Coverage Requirement; (3) transfers to the Debt Service Reserve Fund up to the amount of the Monthly Debt Service Reserve Fund Requirement; (4) transfers to the Subordinate Debt Service Fund to pay debt service on Subordinate Bonds; (5) transfers to the Subordinate Reserve Fund to maintain a debt service reserve for the Subordinate Bonds; (6) transfers to the Rebate Fund in accordance with any arbitrage rebate calculation; (7) transfers to the Administrative Expense Fund amounts necessary to cause the amount on deposit in such Fund to equal the Administrative Expense Fund Requirement; (8) transfers to the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund amounts necessary to cause the amount on deposit in such Fund to equal the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund Requirement; (9) transfers to the Operation and Maintenance Fund amounts necessary to cause the amount on deposit in such Fund to equal the Operation and Maintenance Fund Requirement; (10) transfers to the CFC Stabilization Fund up to the amount of the Monthly CFC Stabilization Fund Minimum Requirement; (11) transfers to the Unreimbursed Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments and Operation and Maintenance Requests Fund amounts necessary to cause the amount on deposit in such Fund to equal the Unreimbursed Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments and Operation and Maintenance Requests Fund Requirement; and (12) transfers to the Discretionary Fund.

See "CAR RENTAL FACILITIES CONCESSION AGREEMENTS AND FACILITY LEASES" below and Appendix D – Summary of Certain Provisions of the Indenture – Application of Customer Facility Charge.

Flow of Funds

The following table illustrates the flow of funds in the Indenture:



Rolling Coverage Fund and Debt Service Reserve Fund

In order to provide a reserve for the payment of the principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Bonds, the Indenture creates a Rolling Coverage Fund and a Debt Service Reserve Fund in the CFC Revenue Fund. The Indenture requires the Department to make provision for the funding of the Rolling Coverage Fund Requirement in the Rolling Coverage Fund and the Debt Service Reserve Fund Requirement in the Debt Service Reserve Fund prior to the issuance of any Bonds. The Rolling Coverage Fund Requirement is equal to 25% of Maximum Annual Debt Service on the Bonds (other than Subordinate Bonds or the EB-5 Bonds), and, at the time of issuance of the Series 2017 Bonds, the Debt Service Reserve Fund Requirement will be equal to 100% of Maximum Annual Debt Service on the Bonds (expressly excluding Subordinate Bonds or the EB-5 Bonds) at the time outstanding. If at any time there are Tax-Exempt Bonds outstanding, the Debt Service Reserve Fund Requirement will be equal to the lowest of (i) one hundred percent (100%) of the Maximum Annual Debt Service on the Bonds (expressly excluding Subordinate Bonds and EB-5 Bonds) then outstanding, (ii) one hundred and twenty five percent (125%) of average annual debt service on the Bonds (expressly excluding Subordinate Bonds and EB-5 Bonds) then outstanding or (iii) ten percent (10%) of the stated principal amount of the Bonds (expressly excluding Subordinate Bonds and EB-5 Bonds) then outstanding. As of the date of the issuance and delivery of the Series 2017 Bonds, the Debt Service Reserve Fund Requirement will be \$14,171,999.73 and the Rolling Coverage Fund Requirement will be \$3,542,999.93. Moneys in the CFC Revenue Fund on credit to the Rolling Coverage Fund and the Debt Service Reserve Fund shall, except for the transfer to the CFC Revenue Fund of excess amounts as previously permitted in the Indenture, be used and applied solely for the purpose of paying the principal of and interest on the Series 2017 Bonds when due, whether at their maturity or upon the redemption or purchase, and shall be so used and applied whenever there are insufficient moneys in the CFC Revenue Fund on credit to the Debt Service Fund for such purposes.

Additional Indebtedness

Section 3.03 of the Indenture permits the issuance of additional bonds (the "Additional Bonds") payable from and secured by the Customer Facility Charge on parity with the Bonds (including the Series 2017 Bonds) for the purpose of paying or reimbursing the cost of acquiring, purchasing or constructing properties to constitute part of the ConRAC System or reconstructing, improving, bettering or extending the ConRAC System. In order to issue Additional Bonds, the Department must deliver either: (A) a report of a Consultant to the effect that for each of the three Fiscal Years following the date of issuance of such Additional Bonds or the date of final expenditure of capitalized interest funded from such Additional Bonds, whichever is later: (i) the Customer Facility Charge, at the then current level and taking into account any other level as has been approved and will be imposed during the forecast period, projected to be remitted to the Trustee (together with investment earnings on the Funds, excluding the Project Fund) are expected, as of the end of each such Fiscal Year, to be at least equal to 1.25 times the Maximum Annual Debt Service on all Bonds Outstanding (including such Additional Bonds), other than Subordinate Bonds, (ii) the Rate Covenant is expected to be satisfied, and (iii) the Customer Facility Charge are projected to be sufficient to meet the Annual CFC Target; or (B) a certificate of the Department to the effect that for any consecutive 12 months out of the immediately preceding 18 months: (i) the Customer Facility Charge received by the Trustee (together with investment earnings on the Funds, excluding the Project Fund) were at least equal to 1.25 times the Maximum Annual Debt Service due on all Bonds Outstanding (including such Additional Bonds), other than Subordinate Bonds, (ii) the Rate Covenant was satisfied, and (iii) the Customer Facility Charge met the Annual CFC Target.

CONRACS AND CONRAC SYSTEM

ConRACs. The Department's ConRAC System is a major component of the Department's Airports Modernization Program launched in 2007. The ConRAC System consists of ConRACs under construction at Honolulu International and Kahului and a ConRAC in the initial planning stages at Lihue. The ConRACs are in various stages of completion. Construction of the Phase 2A Interim ConRAC at Honolulu International was completed in November 2015. Construction of the Phase 2B Permanent ConRAC at Honolulu International commenced in July 2016. Construction of the Kahului ConRAC commenced in Fall 2016. Ricondo Associates, the Department's program manager is conducting a site location study for the Lihue ConRAC, but the Department has not resolved to construct a Lihue ConRAC or incorporate the Lihue ConRAC in the ConRAC System.

The total estimated cost of the ConRAC System is \$901.4 million. The Department has expended approximately \$205.1 million on the ConRAC System through December 2016. The Department estimates the remaining cost to complete the ConRAC System is \$696.3 million.

Honolulu ConRAC. The Honolulu International ConRAC is designed as a state-of-the-art facility for the consolidated operation of all on-airport rental car concessions doing business at Honolulu International. The Honolulu International ConRAC is designed to meet the current and future space needs and operating requirements of the entire Honolulu rental car market. The Honolulu International ConRAC is projected to cost \$438.2 million, of which amount \$72 million was expended as of December 2016. The Honolulu International ConRAC consists of three (3) phases.

Phase 1 – Enabling Projects. Phase 1 included demolition of the Miyazaki gas station, elevator upgrades in overseas parking garage and terminal, and airport roadway improvements. The cost to complete Phase I was \$8.8 million.

Phase 2A – Interim ConRAC. The location of the Phase 2B Permanent ConRAC is in the same area formerly occupied by five of the current rental car concessionaires. The operations of these five concessionaires were relocated to an interim location (the Phase 2A Interim ConRAC) to permit construction of the Phase 2B Permanent ConRAC. The Phase 2A Interim ConRAC consists of a consolidated customer service area on the ground level, and ready-to-rent vehicle parking spaces on four levels of the eastern portion of the existing Overseas Terminal Parking Garage. Adjacent to the Garage, at grade, are consolidated vehicle wash and fueling facilities for returned vehicles and overflow vehicle storage. The five concessionaires occupying the Interim ConRAC jointly operate a common busing system that transports their customers to and from the passenger terminals to the Interim ConRAC. The Interim ConRAC was opened in November 2015. When the Permanent ConRAC is fully operational, the Department will return the Interim ConRAC to use as public parking. The cost to complete Phase 2A was \$39.9 million.

Phase 2B – Permanent ConRAC. The Permanent ConRAC will be located north of the Overseas Terminal and east of the existing Overseas Terminal Parking Garage. The ConRAC will consist of a five (5) level reinforced concrete structure on approximately eight (8) acres of land. The construction contract for the Phase 2B Permanent ConRAC was awarded to Watts Constructors, Inc. Construction started in July 2016, and the estimated completion date is June 2020. The estimated cost to construct Phase 2B is \$389.5 million. The Phase 2B Permanent ConRAC is approximately 10% completed.

Components of the Honolulu Permanent ConRAC include:

1. Consolidated Customer Service Building (CSB), located on the second level.

All rental car transactions will take place at the CSB. Arriving customers will be directed to the CSB to initiate a rental contract agreement. The CSB will include customer service counters and administrative office space for each RAC operating in the CSB.

2. Ready/return vehicle area, located on the first, second, third, and fourth levels.

The ready/return area is a portion of the Permanent ConRAC containing both "ready" vehicle parking spaces (rental car vehicles that are "ready" for rental), and "return" vehicle parking spaces (rental car vehicles that have been returned by the customer). The ready/return areas will be allocated among the various rental car concessionaires operating in the facility.

3. Quick Turn-Around (QTA) area, located in a separate 4-level structure located directly east of, and connected by ramps to, the ready/return area floors.

The QTA area includes fueling stations, vacuum cleaning areas, car washes, parts storage, administrative offices and light maintenance bays.

4. Service Yard, located at grade.

The Service Yard consists of parking areas for service vehicles, trash and recyclable waste bins, common use fuel tanks and fuel delivery system and QTA support equipment.

5. Consolidated busing roadway and curb front at CSB.

A shuttle bus system will transport all rental car customers originating and departing from the Commuter Terminal, Interisland Terminal, and the Overseas Terminal (International Arrivals, Baggage Claims D, E, and F, and Ticketing Lobbies 4, 5 and 6), to and from the Permanent ConRAC. Because Baggage Claims G and H, and Ticketing Lobbies 7 and 8 in the Overseas Passenger Terminal are located across the street from the Permanent ConRAC, those passengers will be directed to walk to and from the Permanent ConRAC. Customers of any off-airport RAC will be required to be take the shuttle bus to and from the Permanent ConRAC, where they will be transported by the respective off-airport RACs to their off-airport facilities. To promote sustainability, the Permanent ConRAC project includes the Department's purchase of a \$16 million shuttle bus fleet.

6. Ground Transportation Center (GTC) located on the first level.

The GTC will provide pick-up and drop-off services for group tour vehicles and other pre-arranged ground transportation services for arriving and departing passengers from the eastern (Diamond Head) side of the Overseas Terminal.

7. Pedestrian underpass, located on the basement level.

All incoming and outgoing rental car and GTC customers from Baggage Claim G and H, and Ticketing Lobbies 7 and 8 will be directed to the pedestrian underpass, for passage to and from the Permanent ConRAC.

Kahului ConRAC. The Kahului ConRAC is a three (3) level structure on a 26 acre site east of the passenger terminal and the existing public parking lot planned to meet the current and future space needs and operating requirements of the entire Kahului rental car market. The proximity of the Kahului ConRAC to the passenger terminal will improve the overall customer experience at Kahului and will eliminate all rental car shuttle buses from airport roadways. The Department awarded the \$376 million construction contract for the Kahului ConRAC to Hawaiian Dredging Construction Company, Inc., and issued the notice-to-proceed in April 2016. The estimated completion date is October 2018. The Kahului ConRAC is projected to cost \$436.4 million, of which amount \$120.3 million was expended as of December 2016. The Kahului ConRAC is approximately 35% complete.

Access to the ConRAC will be via the new airport access road connecting Hana Highway to the existing airport terminal loop roadway. This new airport access road, which cost \$59.1 million, was completed in the summer of 2016 and is fully operational.

Components of the Kahului ConRAC include:

1. Consolidated Customer Service Building (CSB), located on the second level.

All rental car transactions will take place at the CSB. Arriving customers will be directed to the CSB to initiate a rental contract agreement. The CSB will include customer service counters and administrative office space for each RAC operating in the CSB. The Tram (described below) will connect to the ConRAC at the 2nd level providing direct access to the CSB.

2. Ready/return vehicle area, located on the second and third levels.

The ready/return area is a portion of the ConRAC, containing both "ready" vehicle parking spaces, and "return" vehicle parking spaces. The ready/return area will be allocated among the various RACs operating in the ConRAC.

3. Quick Turn-Around (QTA) Area.

The Quick Turn-around Area is located in a separate two-level structure located directly east of, and connected by ramps to, the ready/return area floors. The QTA area includes fueling stations, vacuum cleaning areas, car washes, parts storage, administrative offices and light maintenance bays.

4. Overflow vehicle storage areas are located on the top (uncovered) level of the QTA.

The RACs use these areas to store vehicles that are not immediately needed for customers during off-peak demand times.

5. Service Yard, located at grade level.

The Service Yard consists of parking areas for service vehicles, trash and recyclable waste bins, common use fuel tanks and fuel delivery system and QTA support equipment.

6. Airport Employee Parking.

Employee parking displaced by the need for additional public parking will be located on top level of ready/return garage. As rental car demand grows in the future, these employee parking spaces will relocated to other areas of the Airport.

7. Electric Powered Tram.

An electric powered tram will be built on a rail system to provide transport of rental car customers to and from the ConRAC and the passenger terminal. (There will be an intermediate stop for public parking customers.) Customers of any off-airport rental car companies will be required to pick and drop off their customers at the ConRAC. Those customers will then have the option of walking to the passenger terminal or taking the Tram.

Lihue ConRAC. The Department is considering development of a ConRAC at Lihue Airport (LIH). Currently, the Department leases to on-airport RACs customer service counters where arriving customers enter into their rental agreements and are transported via each RAC's shuttle buses to separate baseyard facilities to pick up their vehicles. All customers returning vehicles access the baseyards via the main airport entrance roadway. Customers are then transported to the passenger terminal by each RAC's shuttle buses.

Thus far the Lihue ConRAC project has accomplished the land acquisition and other program support tasks. Project cost for the purchase of Lihue land is \$21.3 million. \$9.3 million has been paid as of December 2016. The Department has not determined the exact location for the ConRAC, and has retained Ricondo & Associates, Inc. to conduct a site location study to evaluate potential sites.

CAR RENTAL FACILITIES CONCESSION AGREEMENTS AND FACILITY LEASES

The RACs' obligation to collect and remit the Customer Facility Charge is provided in a series of revocable permits and concession agreements.

The ConRAC Leases. Effective May 1, 2015, the Department and certain RACs operating in the Airports System executed Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities Concession Agreement and Facility Leases (collectively the "ConRAC Leases") covering the ConRACs under construction at Honolulu International and Kahului. Once the ConRACs are completed, all on-airport rental car concessions at Honolulu International and Kahului will operate from the ConRACs under terms of the ConRAC Leases for a period of 30 years. The ConRAC Leases, among other provisions, expanded the collection of the Customer Facility Charge to include all RACs signatory to the ConRAC Leases regardless of whether the RAC is presently operating on- or off-airport premises.

Section 5.E. of the ConRAC Leases requires each RAC to report and pay the Customer Facility Charge collected from customers to the Department on a monthly basis. The ConRAC Leases also require the Department annually to estimate the Minimum Annual Requirement, which is the sum of 115% of Debt Service on the Bonds, plus the amount necessary to increase the amount on deposit in the Rolling Coverage Fund, Debt Service Reserve Fund, Rebate Fund, Administrative Expense Fund, Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund and CFC Stabilization Fund to the minimum required amounts. If the Department's calculation projects a deficit, the Department shall present the RACs with a statement of required installment payments of a Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Estimate, which payment the RACs shall make together with their monthly payments of Ground Rent.

The Department must annually compare the actual Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency to the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Estimate. If the actual Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency exceeds the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Estimate, the RACs must pay the Additional Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency. If the actual Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Estimate the RACS shall have the right to claim a Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Estimate.

The obligation of the RACs to collect and pay the Customer Facility Charge and the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Charge is separate and apart from the RACs obligation to pay Ground Rent and the Minimum Annual Guaranteed Fee (the "MAG") under the ConRAC Leases. The Customer Facility Charge and Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Charge are intended to pay debt service on the Bonds, the capital cost of constructing the ConRACs as well as the Department's cost of maintaining the ConRACs. The Ground Rent and the MAG will be paid to the Airport Revenue Fund to compensate the State for use of land in the Airports System.

The Ground Rent under the ConRAC Leases is \$2.11 per square foot per year for the Kahului ConRAC, which has a leasable area of 1,061,976 square feet. The Ground Rent under the ConRAC Leases is \$5.43 per square foot per year for the Honolulu International ConRAC, which has a leasable area of 600,439 square feet.

The ConRAC Leases also require each RAC to pay a concession fee of ten percent (10%) of gross receipts per year, but not less than the MAG, payable at the rate of 1/12th of the MAG per month. The MAG for each succeeding year will be 85% of the concession fee paid in the previous year.

Other Car Rental Facilities Concession Agreements. Effective June 1, 2015, the Department issued revocable permits to eight RACs: Alamo, Avis, Budget, Dollar, Enterprise, Hertz, National and Thrifty, to operate at Honolulu International, Kahului, Hilo, Kona and Lihue Airport. The revocable permits require the RACs to pay the Department lease rent and a percentage of gross sales against a MAG. These concession fees are paid to the Airports Special Fund not the CFC Revenue Fund.

The revocable permits require the RACs to collect and remit the Customer Facility Charge to the Department. The RACs are required to pay the cost of operating and maintaining the Phase 2A Interim ConRAC at Honolulu International. Proceeds of the CFC Special Fund are not used to operate and maintain the Interim ConRAC.

Rental Car Concession Fees and Customer Facility Charge Revenues. The following table describes the Department's collection of rental car concession revenues and the Customer Facility Charge in fiscal years 2013 through 2016.

RAC CONCESSION FEES PAID AND CUSTOMER FACILITY CHARGE COLLECTIONS

	2013	2014	2015	2016
RAC Concession Fees	\$58,200,000	\$56,500,000	\$60,300,000	\$53,700,000
Customer Facility Charge	\$55,700,000	\$54,200,000	\$65,000,000	\$69,600,000
(including interest)				

Effective July 1, 2014, off-airport rental car operations that will occupy the ConRACs began collecting and remitting the Customer Facility Charge. These operators included Alamo, Dollar and Thrifty at Honolulu International and Advantage at Kahului, accounting for the increase in Customer Facility Charge collections in fiscal year 2015. Off-airport rental car operators must also pay fees in accordance with Chapter 19-20.1, Hawai'i Administrative Rules. The rules provide that an off-airport operator must pay an annual fee of \$20 for each rent-a-car vehicle in its fleet as of October 1 of each year, an annual administrative fee of \$100, and an annual registration fee of \$250 for each courtesy vehicle used to transport customers to and from the airport. The off-airport rent-a-car operators who will not occupy the ConRACs are not a significant source of airport revenue.

Customer Facility Charge Operations. As of June 30, 2016, the Department had a cash balance of \$221.9 million in the CFC Special Fund. Since June 30, 2016, the Department has drawn the full amount of \$76,000,000 under the EB-5 Bonds.

Customer Facility Charge financial activity for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016 is as follows:

Restricted assets – rental car customer facility charge, beginning of fiscal year Rental car customer facility charge collected during the fiscal year Interest earned on rental car customer facility charge during the fiscal year Capital expenditures during the fiscal year Interest paid on loan payable Interest received on loan payable from trustee	\$ 187,427,343 68,395,226 1,209,146 (34,623,020) (523,652) 8
Restricted assets – rental car customer facility charge, end of fiscal year	\$ <u>8</u> 221,885,051

Source: Department of Transportation – Airports Division: Audited Financial Statements and work paper support for Fiscal Year 2016.

RENTAL CAR OPERATORS

General

The Department initially solicited bids in June 2013 from the RACs to lease space in the Honolulu International and Kahului ConRACs. Following lengthy negotiations, the Department and the RACs reached agreement on the form of the ConRAC Lease effective May 1, 2015. The Department has entered into ConRAC Leases with five rental car concessionaires controlling 13 rental car brands. These concessionaries, their brands and the number of rental car transaction days in fiscal year 2016 for each concessionaire are:

<u>The Hertz Corporation</u> Hertz Dollar Thrifty Firefly	<u>Transaction Days</u> 2,261,171 1,570,632 1,097,866
<u>Avis Budget Car Rental, LLC</u> Avis Budget Payless Zip Car	<u>Transaction Days</u> 1,519,698 2,073,203
<u>EAN Holdings, LLC</u> Enterprise Alamo National	<u>Transaction Days</u> 1,493,829 3,596,100 869,890
Simply Wheelz, LLC dba Advantage Rent A Car	Transaction Days 583,465
E-Z Rent-A-Car Group Holdings, LLC (which was purchased by Simply Wheelz, LLC following execution of the ConRAC Lease)	Transaction Days
Others	Transaction Days 100,723
TOTAL	Transaction Days 15,166,577

Of these companies, Hertz, Avis Budget and EAN, the three largest, control approximately 95% of the market. The following table is reflective of the relative size of these concessionaires. The table illustrates the percentage of space each RAC will occupy in each ConRAC and the MAG Concession Fee each RAC has agreed to

	%	Honolulu International MAG	%	Kahului MAG
The Hertz Corporation Brands: Hertz, Dollar, Thrifty, Firefly	35.3	\$9,054,900	34.3	\$9,993,900
EAN Holdings, LLC Brands: Enterprise, Alamo, National	36.7	\$5,311,000	35.7	\$5,811,000
Avis Budget Car Rental, LLC Brands: Avis, Budget, Payless, Zip Car	22.9	\$4,265,000	21.9	\$5,654,000
Simply Wheelz, LLC dba Advantage Rent A Car	2.8	\$ 550,000	5.7	\$ 550,000
E-Z Rent-A-Car Group Holding, LLC	2.3	\$ 100,800	2.4	\$ 100,800

pay for the first full year of occupancy. The MAG in each succeeding year will be 85% of the Concession Fee paid in the prior year.

Rental Car Transaction Days

As discussed in the Report of the Consultant described below, Customer Facility Charge collections is a function of the number of Customer Facility Charge rental car transaction days at the Airports System. A rental car transaction day is each day that a rental car is rented and the Customer Facility Charge assessed. The number of Customer Facility Charge rental car transaction days is a function of: (1) visitor arrivals, (2) car rental ratios, which is the number of rental car transactions divided by the number of visitors, and (3) average duration of each rental car transaction. In fiscal year 2016 the system-wide number of rental car transactions was, and the estimated number of rental car transactions for 2017 is as follows:

System-Wide Number of Rental Car Transactions

Year	2014	2015	2016	2017 (est)
Number	1,941,000	2,379,000	2,480,000	2,650,000

Kahului accounted for 5,172,000 rental car transaction days, over one-third of the total notwithstanding Kahului had only one-fifth of the total number of enplaned passengers. Honolulu International had 58% of system-wide enplaned passengers, but only 29.7% of system-wide rental car transaction days, a function of Oahu's visitor mix, convenient transportation options in Waikiki and other factors.

REPORT OF THE CONSULTANT

General

The Department retained ICF SH&E, Inc. to serve as the Consultant in connection with the issuance of the Series 2017 Bonds. The Report of the Consultant is attached as Appendix A. The Report of the Consultant has been included in reliance upon the knowledge and experience of ICF SH&E, Inc. as the Consultant. As stated in the Report of the Consultant, any forecast is subject to uncertainties. Therefore, there are likely to be differences between the forecasts and actual results, and those differences may be material. The Report of the Consultant should be read in its entirety for an understanding of the forecasts and underlying assumptions. Any description or summary of the Report of the Consultant in this Official Statement is qualified in its entirety by reference to such report.

The Report of the Consultant has not been updated to reflect the final terms of the Bonds or other changes occurring after the date of the Report of the Consultant. The Report of the Consultant is based on a number of assumptions and contains forecasts and statements relating to operating and financial results that may not be realized. The assumptions used reflect the best information available to the Department and reliance on the knowledge and experience of the Consultant. The Department's future operating and financial performance, however, may vary from the forecasts and such variances may be material.

Forecast of Debt Service Coverage

The following table sets forth the Consultant's projections of receipts from the collection of the Customer Facility Charge and debt service coverage for fiscal years 2017 through 2023 that are based on the assumptions discussed in the Report of the Consultant.

For an explanation of the projected debt service coverage and of the assumptions behind the calculations of debt service coverage, see Appendix A – "Report of the Consultant."

TABLE 3 PROJECTED DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE⁽¹⁾ 12 menthe ending have 20 met of angitalized interacts in the

(for the 12 months ending June 30, net of capitalized interest; in thousands)

	Actual 2016	Estimated 2017	Forecast 2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Visitor Arrivals	12,275	12,826	13,079	13,317	13,556	13,797	14,040	14,283
% Change	2.5%	4.5%	2.0%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.7%
CFC Transaction Days	15,167	15,671	15,925	16,216	16,508	16,803	17,101	17,399
CFC Level	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
CFC Revenues	\$68,250	\$70,520	\$71,663	\$72,970	\$74,287	\$75,616	\$76,953	\$78,293
Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rolling Coverage Fund Balance (a)			3,203	6,551	8,368	8,368	8,368	8,367
Total Available	\$68,250	\$70,520	\$74,866	\$79,521	\$ 82,655	\$83,984	\$85,321	\$86,660
Debt Service Requirement	524	685	12,812	26,205	33,471	33,473	33,473	33,466
Debt Service Coverage	13033%	10288%	584%	303%	247%	251%	255%	259%
Required Coverage	140%	140%	140%	140%	140%	140%	140%	140%

Notes: (a) Limited to no more than 25% of Aggregate Debt Service.

Sources: Historical - Airports Division records; Estimated and Forecast - ICF.

Debt Service Coverage for the Bonds

As reflected in Table 4, projected debt service coverage exceeds the Indenture requirement of 1.40 times Maximum Annual Debt Service for the fiscal years 2017 through 2023. Annual Adjusted Debt Service Requirements exclude capitalized interest and certain amounts deposited into the Interest Account, as permitted under the Indenture.

The following table sets forth the principal and interest requirements for the Series 2017A Bonds.

TABLE 4	
TOTAL BONDS DEBT SERVIC	CE1

Series 2017A Bonds

2018 ¹ \$ 3,928,666.73 \$ 3,928,666.73 2019 \$ 5,030,000 9,141,116.11 14,171,116.11 2020 5,120,000 9,048,390.36 14,168,390.36 2021 5,225,000 8,943,843.51 14,168,843.51 2022 5,345,000 8,826,999.73 14,171,999.73 2023 5,475,000 8,695,525.83 14,169,272.36 2024 5,620,000 8,387,255.36 14,167,255.36 2025 5,780,000 8,387,255.36 14,167,255.36 2026 5,955,000 8,212,191.08 14,167,255.36 2027 6,145,000 8,026,106.08 14,171,106.08 2028 6,340,000 7,827,857.96 14,167,857.96 2029 6,555,000 7,615,009.83 14,170,009.83 2031 7,025,000 7,143,043.58 14,168,043.58 2032 7,285,000 6,696,958.58 14,171,1958.58 2033 7,565,000 6,6145,663.35 14,169,603.35 2034 7,860,000 5,337,245.60 14,169,963.35 <th colspan="2">FYE 30-Jun Principal Interest</th> <th>Interest</th> <th>Total Bonds Debt Service</th>	FYE 30-Jun Principal Interest		Interest	Total Bonds Debt Service
2020 5,120,000 9,048,390.36 14,168,390.36 2021 5,225,000 8,943,843.51 14,168,843.51 2022 5,345,000 8,826,999,73 14,171,999,73 2023 5,475,000 8,695,525.83 14,170,525.83 2024 5,620,000 8,549,272.36 14,169,272.36 2025 5,780,000 8,387,255.36 14,167,191.08 2027 6,145,000 8,026,106.08 14,171,106.08 2028 6,340,000 7,827,857.96 14,167,857.96 2029 6,555,000 7,615,009.83 14,170,009.83 2030 6,785,000 7,386,504.83 14,171,1504.83 2031 7,285,000 6,883,609.83 14,168,043.58 2032 7,285,000 6,666,958.58 14,167,958.58 2033 7,565,000 6,646,958.53 14,167,969.83 2034 7,860,000 6,311,135.00 14,167,195.58 2035 8,170,000 5,999,030.90 14,169,030.90 2036 8,495,000 5,674,563.35 14,167,2	20181		\$ 3,928,666.73	\$ 3,928,666.73
2021 5,225,000 8,943,843.51 14,168,843.51 2022 5,345,000 8,826,99,73 14,171,999,73 2023 5,475,000 8,695,525.83 14,171,999,73 2024 5,620,000 8,549,272.36 14,169,272.35 2025 5,780,000 8,827,255.36 14,167,255.36 2026 5,955,000 8,212,191.08 14,167,191.08 2027 6,145,000 8,026,106.08 14,171,106.08 2028 6,340,000 7,827,857.96 14,167,857.96 2029 6,555,000 7,615,009.83 14,170,009.83 2030 6,785,000 7,386,504.83 14,171,504.83 2031 7,025,000 7,143,043.58 14,169,83.58 2032 7,285,000 6,606,958.58 14,171,1958.58 2033 7,565,000 6,611,135.00 14,171,135.00 2034 7,860,000 5,674,563.35 14,169,563.35 2037 8,830,000 5,337,245.60 14,169,578.40 2040 9,965,000 4,609,578.40 14,169,578	2019	\$ 5,030,000	9,141,116.11	14,171,116.11
2022 5,345,000 8,826,999,73 14,171,999,73 2023 5,475,000 8,695,525.83 14,170,525.83 2024 5,620,000 8,387,255.36 14,169,272.36 2025 5,780,000 8,387,255.36 14,167,255.36 2026 5,955,000 8,212,191.08 14,167,191.08 2027 6,145,000 8,026,106.08 14,171,106.08 2028 6,340,000 7,827,857.96 14,167,857.96 2029 6,555,000 7,615,009.83 14,170,009.83 2030 6,785,000 7,386,504.83 14,171,904.83 2031 7,025,000 7,143,043.58 14,168,043.58 2032 7,285,000 6,883,609.83 14,169,030.90 2033 7,565,000 6,606,958.58 14,171,195.85 2034 7,860,000 6,311,135.00 14,171,195.858 2035 8,170,000 5,999,030.90 14,169,030.90 2036 8,495,000 5,674,563.35 14,167,245.60 2038 9,185,000 4,986,493.55 14,171,4	2020	5,120,000	9,048,390.36	14,168,390.36
2023 5,475,000 8,695,525.83 14,170,525.83 2024 5,620,000 8,549,272.36 14,169,272.36 2025 5,780,000 8,387,255.36 14,167,255.36 2026 5,955,000 8,212,191.08 14,167,191.08 2027 6,145,000 8,026,106.08 14,171,106.08 2029 6,555,000 7,827,857.96 14,167,857.96 2030 6,785,000 7,386,504.83 14,171,04.83 2031 7,025,000 7,143,043.58 14,168,043.58 2032 7,285,000 6,688,609.83 14,171,150.83 2033 7,565,000 6,606,958.58 14,171,150.83 2034 7,680,000 6,311,135.00 14,169,30.90 2035 8,170,000 5,999,030.90 14,169,35.55 2037 8,830,000 5,337,245.60 14,167,245.60 2038 9,185,000 4,609,578.40 14,169,578.40 2040 9,965,000 4,205,020.40 14,169,578.40 2041 10,385,000 3,783,368.40 14,168,368.	2021	5,225,000	8,943,843.51	14,168,843.51
2024 5,620,000 8,549,272.36 14,169,272.36 2025 5,780,000 8,387,255.36 14,167,253.36 2026 5,955,000 8,212,191.08 14,167,191.08 2027 6,145,000 8,026,106.08 14,171,106.08 2028 6,340,000 7,827,857.96 14,167,857.96 2029 6,555,000 7,615,009.83 14,170,09.83 2030 6,785,000 7,143,043.58 14,168,043.58 2032 7,285,000 6,883,609.83 14,168,09.83 2033 7,565,000 6,606,958.58 14,171,135.00 2034 7,860,000 6,311,135.00 14,169,30.90 2035 8,170,000 5,999,030.90 14,169,53.35 2037 8,830,000 5,337,245.60 14,167,245.60 2038 9,185,000 4,609,578.40 14,169,578.40 2040 9,965,000 4,609,578.40 14,169,578.40 2041 10,385,000 3,783,368.40 14,168,368.40 2042 10,825,000 3,783,368.40 14,168,368.	2022	5,345,000	8,826,999.73	14,171,999.73
2025 5,780,000 8,387,255.36 14,167,255.36 2026 5,955,000 8,212,191.08 14,167,191.08 2027 6,145,000 8,026,106.08 14,167,191.08 2028 6,340,000 7,827,857.96 14,167,857.96 2029 6,555,000 7,615,009.83 14,170,009.83 2030 6,785,000 7,143,043.58 14,168,043.58 2032 7,285,000 6,883,609.83 14,168,008.83 2033 7,565,000 6,606,958.58 14,171,155.00 2034 7,860,000 6,311,135.00 14,167,245.60 2035 8,170,000 5,999,030.90 14,169,030.90 2036 8,495,000 5,674,563.35 14,167,245.60 2038 9,185,000 4,609,578.40 14,169,578.40 2040 9,965,000 4,609,578.40 14,169,578.40 2041 10,385,000 3,783,368.40 14,168,368.40 2040 9,965,000 4,205,020.40 14,170,778.00 2043 11,285,000 2,885,778.00 14,168,	2023	5,475,000	8,695,525.83	14,170,525.83
2026 5,955,000 8,212,191.08 14,167,191.08 2027 6,145,000 8,026,106.08 14,167,191.08 2028 6,340,000 7,827,857.96 14,167,857.96 2029 6,555,000 7,615,009.83 14,170,009.83 2030 6,785,000 7,143,043.58 14,168,043.58 2032 7,285,000 6,883,609.83 14,171,1958.58 2033 7,565,000 6,606,958.58 14,171,1958.58 2034 7,860,000 6,311,135.00 14,169,303.90 2035 8,170,000 5,999,030.90 14,169,563.35 2037 8,830,000 5,337,245.60 14,167,245.60 2038 9,185,000 4,609,578.40 14,169,578.40 2040 9,965,000 4,205,020.40 14,170,020.40 2041 10,385,000 3,783,368.40 14,168,368.40 2042 10,825,000 3,783,368.40 14,168,368.40 2040 9,965,000 4,205,020.40 14,170,778.00 2043 11,285,000 2,885,778.00 14,1	2024	5,620,000	8,549,272.36	14,169,272.36
2027 6,145,000 8,026,106.08 14,171,106.08 2028 6,340,000 7,827,857.96 14,167,857.96 2029 6,555,000 7,615,009.83 14,171,0009.83 2030 6,785,000 7,386,504.83 14,171,504.83 2031 7,025,000 7,143,043.58 14,168,043.58 2032 7,285,000 6,883,609.83 14,168,069.83 2033 7,565,000 6,606,958.58 14,171,1958.58 2034 7,860,000 6,311,135.00 14,169,030.90 2035 8,170,000 5,999,030.90 14,169,563.35 2037 8,830,000 5,337,245.60 14,167,245.60 2038 9,185,000 4,609,578.40 14,169,578.40 2040 9,965,000 4,609,578.40 14,169,578.40 2041 10,385,000 3,783,368.40 14,168,368.40 2042 10,825,000 3,343,897.20 14,168,868.40 2042 10,825,000 2,408,285.60 14,168,285.60 2043 11,285,000 2,408,285.60 14,	2025	5,780,000	8,387,255.36	14,167,255.36
2028 6,340,000 7,827,857.96 14,167,857.96 2029 6,555,000 7,615,009.83 14,170,009.83 2030 6,785,000 7,386,504.83 14,171,1504.83 2031 7,025,000 7,143,043.58 14,168,609.83 2032 7,285,000 6,883,609.83 14,168,609.83 2033 7,565,000 6,606,958.58 14,171,1958.58 2034 7,860,000 6,311,135.00 14,167,245.60 2035 8,170,000 5,999,030.90 14,169,030.90 2036 8,495,000 5,674,563.35 14,169,563.35 2037 8,830,000 5,337,245.60 14,167,245.60 2038 9,185,000 4,609,578.40 14,169,578.40 2040 9,965,000 4,205,020.40 14,170,020.40 2041 10,385,000 3,783,368.40 14,168,368.40 2042 10,825,000 3,343,897.20 14,168,285.60 2043 11,285,000 2,885,778.00 14,170,778.00 2044 11,760,000 2,408,285.60 14,	2026	5,955,000	8,212,191.08	14,167,191.08
2029 6,555,000 7,615,009.83 14,170,009.83 2030 6,785,000 7,386,504.83 14,171,504.83 2031 7,025,000 7,143,043.58 14,168,043.58 2032 7,285,000 6,883,609.83 14,168,609.83 2033 7,565,000 6,606,958.58 14,171,1958.58 2034 7,860,000 6,311,135.00 14,171,135.00 2035 8,170,000 5,999,030.90 14,169,563.35 2037 8,830,000 5,337,245.60 14,167,245.60 2038 9,185,000 4,609,578.40 14,169,578.40 2040 9,965,000 4,205,020.40 14,169,578.40 2041 10,385,000 3,783,368.40 14,168,368.40 2042 10,825,000 3,343,897.20 14,168,368.40 2043 11,285,000 2,885,778.00 14,170,778.00 2044 11,760,000 2,408,285.60 14,168,285.60 2045 12,260,000 1,910,591.20 14,168,266.00 2046 12,775,000 1,391,866.00 14	2027	6,145,000	8,026,106.08	14,171,106.08
2030 6,785,000 7,386,504.83 14,171,504.83 2031 7,025,000 7,143,043.58 14,168,043.58 2032 7,285,000 6,883,609.83 14,168,09.83 2033 7,565,000 6,606,958.58 14,171,1958.58 2034 7,860,000 6,311,135.00 14,171,135.00 2035 8,170,000 5,999,030.90 14,169,030.90 2036 8,495,000 5,674,563.35 14,169,563.35 2037 8,830,000 5,337,245.60 14,167,245.60 2038 9,185,000 4,609,578.40 14,169,578.40 2040 9,965,000 4,205,020.40 14,169,578.40 2041 10,385,000 3,783,368.40 14,168,368.40 2042 10,825,000 3,343,897.20 14,168,285.60 2043 11,285,000 2,885,778.00 14,170,778.00 2044 11,760,000 2,408,285.60 14,168,285.60 2045 12,260,000 1,910,591.20 14,166,866.00 2045 12,260,000 1,910,591.20 14,	2028	6,340,000	7,827,857.96	14,167,857.96
20317,025,0007,143,043.5814,168,043.5820327,285,0006,688,609.8314,168,043.5820337,565,0006,606,958.5814,171,958.5820347,860,0006,311,135.0014,171,135.0020358,170,0005,999,030.9014,169,030.9020368,495,0005,674,563.3514,169,563.3520378,830,0005,337,245.6014,167,245.6020389,185,0004,986,493.5514,171,493.5520399,560,0004,609,578.4014,169,578.4020409,965,0003,783,368.4014,168,368.40204110,385,0003,783,368.4014,168,368.40204210,825,0003,343,897.2014,168,368.40204411,760,0002,408,285.6014,168,285.60204512,260,0001,910,591.2014,170,778.00204612,775,0001,391,866.0014,166,866.00204713,320,000851,177.6014,171,177.60204813,880,000287,593.6014,167,593.60	2029	6,555,000	7,615,009.83	14,170,009.83
20327,285,0006,883,609.8314,168,609.8320337,565,0006,606,958.5814,171,958.5820347,860,0006,311,135.0014,171,135.0020358,170,0005,999,030.9014,169,030.9020368,495,0005,674,563.3514,169,563.3520378,830,0005,337,245.6014,167,245.6020389,185,0004,986,493.5514,171,493.5520399,560,0004,609,578.4014,169,578.4020409,965,0003,783,368.4014,168,368.40204110,385,0003,783,368.4014,168,368.40204210,825,0003,343,897.2014,168,897.20204311,285,0002,408,285.6014,168,285.60204411,760,0002,408,285.6014,168,285.60204512,260,0001,910,591.2014,170,591.20204612,775,0001,391,866.0014,166,866.00204713,320,000851,177.6014,171,177.60204813,880,000287,593.6014,167,593.60	2030	6,785,000	7,386,504.83	14,171,504.83
20337,565,0006,606,958.5814,171,958.5820347,860,0006,311,135.0014,171,135.0020358,170,0005,999,030.9014,169,030.9020368,495,0005,674,563.3514,169,563.3520378,830,0005,337,245.6014,167,245.6020389,185,0004,986,493.5514,171,493.5520399,560,0004,609,578.4014,169,578.4020409,965,0004,205,020.4014,170,020.40204110,385,0003,783,368.4014,168,368.40204210,825,0003,343,897.2014,168,897.20204311,285,0002,408,285.6014,168,285.60204411,760,0002,408,285.6014,168,285.60204512,260,0001,910,591.2014,170,591.20204612,775,0001,391,866.0014,166,866.00204713,320,000851,177.6014,171,177.60204813,880,000287,593.6014,167,593.60	2031	7,025,000	7,143,043.58	14,168,043.58
20347,860,0006,311,135.0014,171,135.0020358,170,0005,999,030.9014,169,030.9020368,495,0005,674,563.3514,169,563.3520378,830,0005,337,245.6014,167,245.6020389,185,0004,986,493.5514,171,493.5520399,560,0004,609,578.4014,169,578.4020409,965,0004,205,020.4014,169,578.40204110,385,0003,783,368.4014,168,368.40204210,825,0003,343,897.2014,168,368.40204311,285,0002,408,285.6014,168,285.60204411,760,0002,408,285.6014,168,285.60204512,260,0001,910,591.2014,170,591.20204612,775,0001,391,866.0014,166,866.00204713,320,000851,177.6014,171,177.60204813,880,000287,593.6014,167,593.60	2032	7,285,000	6,883,609.83	14,168,609.83
2035 8,170,000 5,999,030.90 14,169,030.90 2036 8,495,000 5,674,563.35 14,169,563.35 2037 8,830,000 5,337,245.60 14,167,245.60 2038 9,185,000 4,609,578.40 14,169,578.40 2040 9,965,000 4,205,020.40 14,169,578.40 2041 10,385,000 3,783,368.40 14,168,368.40 2042 10,825,000 2,885,778.00 14,170,778.00 2043 11,285,000 2,408,285.60 14,168,285.60 2044 11,760,000 2,408,285.60 14,168,285.60 2045 12,260,000 1,391,866.00 14,168,666.00 2047 13,320,000 851,177.60 14,170,7591.20	2033	7,565,000	6,606,958.58	14,171,958.58
2036 8,495,000 5,674,563.35 14,169,563.35 2037 8,830,000 5,337,245.60 14,167,245.60 2038 9,185,000 4,986,493.55 14,171,493.55 2039 9,560,000 4,609,578.40 14,169,578.40 2040 9,965,000 4,205,020.40 14,169,578.40 2041 10,385,000 3,783,368.40 14,168,368.40 2042 10,825,000 3,343,897.20 14,168,897.20 2043 11,285,000 2,485,778.00 14,170,778.00 2044 11,760,000 2,408,285.60 14,168,285.60 2045 12,260,000 1,910,591.20 14,168,66.00 2046 12,775,000 1,391,866.00 14,167,593.60 2048 13,880,000 287,593.60 14,167,593.60	2034	7,860,000	6,311,135.00	14,171,135.00
2037 8,830,000 5,337,245.60 14,167,245.60 2038 9,185,000 4,986,493.55 14,171,493.55 2039 9,560,000 4,609,578.40 14,169,578.40 2040 9,965,000 4,205,020.40 14,169,578.40 2041 10,385,000 3,783,368.40 14,168,368.40 2042 10,825,000 3,343,897.20 14,168,897.20 2043 11,285,000 2,408,285.60 14,168,285.60 2044 11,760,000 2,408,285.60 14,166,266.00 2045 12,260,000 1,910,591.20 14,166,866.00 2047 13,320,000 851,177.60 14,171,177.60 2048 13,880,000 287,593.60 14,167,593.60	2035	8,170,000	5,999,030.90	14,169,030.90
2038 9,185,000 4,986,493.55 14,171,493.55 2039 9,560,000 4,609,578.40 14,169,578.40 2040 9,965,000 4,205,020.40 14,169,578.40 2041 10,385,000 3,783,368.40 14,168,368.40 2042 10,825,000 3,343,897.20 14,168,368.40 2043 11,285,000 2,485,778.00 14,170,778.00 2044 11,760,000 2,408,285.60 14,168,285.60 2045 12,260,000 1,910,591.20 14,170,591.20 2046 12,775,000 1,391,866.00 14,168,666.00 2047 13,320,000 851,177.60 14,167,593.60	2036	8,495,000	5,674,563.35	14,169,563.35
2039 9,560,000 4,609,578.40 14,169,578.40 2040 9,965,000 4,205,020.40 14,169,578.40 2041 10,385,000 3,783,368.40 14,168,368.40 2042 10,825,000 3,343,897.20 14,168,897.20 2043 11,285,000 2,485,778.00 14,170,778.00 2044 11,760,000 2,408,285.60 14,168,285.60 2045 12,260,000 1,910,591.20 14,170,591.20 2046 12,775,000 1,391,866.00 14,166,866.00 2047 13,320,000 851,177.60 14,167,593.60	2037	8,830,000	5,337,245.60	14,167,245.60
2040 9,965,000 4,205,020.40 14,170,020.40 2041 10,385,000 3,783,368.40 14,168,368.40 2042 10,825,000 3,343,897.20 14,168,897.20 2043 11,285,000 2,485,778.00 14,170,778.00 2044 11,760,000 2,408,285.60 14,168,285.60 2045 12,260,000 1,910,591.20 14,170,591.20 2046 12,775,000 1,391,866.00 14,166,866.00 2047 13,320,000 851,177.60 14,167,593.60	2038	9,185,000	4,986,493.55	14,171,493.55
2041 10,385,000 3,783,368.40 14,168,368.40 2042 10,825,000 3,343,897.20 14,168,897.20 2043 11,285,000 2,885,778.00 14,170,778.00 2044 11,760,000 2,408,285.60 14,168,285.60 2045 12,260,000 1,910,591.20 14,166,866.00 2046 12,775,000 1,391,866.00 14,166,866.00 2047 13,320,000 851,177.60 14,167,593.60	2039	9,560,000	4,609,578.40	14,169,578.40
2042 10,805,000 3,343,897.20 14,168,897.20 2043 11,285,000 2,885,778.00 14,170,778.00 2044 11,760,000 2,408,285.60 14,168,285.60 2045 12,260,000 1,910,591.20 14,170,591.20 2046 12,775,000 1,391,866.00 14,166,866.00 2047 13,320,000 851,177.60 14,167,593.60	2040	9,965,000	4,205,020.40	14,170,020.40
2043 11,285,000 2,885,778.00 14,170,778.00 2044 11,760,000 2,408,285.60 14,168,285.60 2045 12,260,000 1,910,591.20 14,170,591.20 2046 12,775,000 1,391,866.00 14,166,866.00 2047 13,320,000 851,177.60 14,171,177.60 2048 13,880,000 287,593.60 14,167,593.60	2041	10,385,000	3,783,368.40	14,168,368.40
2044 11,760,000 2,408,285.60 14,168,285.60 2045 12,260,000 1,910,591.20 14,170,591.20 2046 12,775,000 1,391,866.00 14,166,866.00 2047 13,320,000 851,177.60 14,171,177.60 2048 13,880,000 287,593.60 14,167,593.60	2042	10,825,000	3,343,897.20	14,168,897.20
2045 12,260,000 1,910,591.20 14,170,591.20 2046 12,775,000 1,391,866.00 14,166,866.00 2047 13,320,000 851,177.60 14,171,177.60 2048 13,880,000 287,593.60 14,167,593.60	2043	11,285,000	2,885,778.00	14,170,778.00
2046 12,775,000 1,391,866.00 14,170,391.20 2047 13,320,000 851,177.60 14,171,177.60 2048 13,880,000 287,593.60 14,167,593.60	2044	11,760,000	2,408,285.60	14,168,285.60
2047 13,320,000 851,177.60 14,171,177.60 2048 13,880,000 287,593.60 14,167,593.60	2045	12,260,000	1,910,591.20	14,170,591.20
2048 13,880,000 287,593.60 14,167,593.60	2046	12,775,000	1,391,866.00	14,166,866.00
15,080,000 287,555.00 14,107,555.00	2047	13,320,000	851,177.60	14,171,177.60
TOTAL \$249,805,000 \$179,207,976.56 \$429,012,976.56	2048	13,880,000	287,593.60	14,167,593.60
	TOTAL	\$249,805,000	\$179,207,976.56	\$429,012,976.56

¹ Does not include interest on the EB-5 Bonds of \$1,140,000 in 2018 and \$665,000 in 2019, and refunding of \$76,000,000 of principal in 2019. Source: Department of Transportation - Airports Division

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Department Organization

The Department is one of 18 principal executive departments of the State. Chapter 26, HRS, empowers the Department to establish, maintain and operate the transportation facilities of the State, including highways, airports, harbors and other transportation facilities. The Department's activities are carried out through three primary operating divisions: Airports, Harbors and Highways.

Through the Airports Division, the Department has general supervision of aeronautics within the State, exercising jurisdiction and control over all State airways and all State owned or managed airports and air navigation facilities. The Airports Division operates all State airports as a single integrated system for management and financial purposes. The Airports Division does not operate airports and air navigation facilities that are either privately owned and operated or under federal jurisdiction and control. The operation of the Airports Division is organized among six offices and branches: the Staff Services Office, the Airports Operations Office, the Airports Management Information Systems Office, the Airports Planning Office, the Visitor Information Branch and the Engineering Branch.

Department Management

The Department is led by the Director, who is appointed by the Governor and confirmed by the State Senate. The Governor also appoints, without State Senate confirmation, four Deputy Directors of Transportation. The Director and Deputy Directors of Transportation serve four-year terms coterminous with the Governor's term.

The Airports Division is managed by a Deputy Director and the Airports Administrator. Currently, the position of Airports Administrator is vacant. Airports within a district area are managed by an airport manager. The Staff Services Office, managed by the Administrative Services Officer, is responsible for personnel, budget, procurement, financial management, method, standards and evaluation, and property management functions of the Airports Division. The Airports Operations Office, managed by the Airports Operations Officer, is responsible for general aviation, certification, security and safety, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises, which administers the Americans with Disabilities Act, and firefighting functions of the Airports Division. The Airports Management Information Systems Office, managed by the Data Processing Systems Analyst, is responsible for data processing services. The Airports Planning Office, managed by the Planning Engineer, is responsible for directing the planning, development and marketing functions of the Airports Division. The Visitor Information Branch, managed by a Visitor Information Administrator, is responsible for visitor information services at the primary airports and at harbors serving cruise ships. Currently the position of Visitor Information Administrator is vacant. The Engineering Branch, managed by the Engineering Program Manager, is responsible for design and construction, special maintenance and drafting functions of the Airports Division, including design and construction of the ConRACs. The Airports Administrator, Administrative Services Officer, Airports Operations Officer, Data Processing Systems Analyst, Planning Engineer, Visitor Information Administrator, Engineering Program Manager and all other senior management of the Airports Division are civil service employees.

Management Personnel

The following are the senior executives of the Department responsible for the management of the ConRAC System:

Ford N. Fuchigami, Director, has served as Director of the Department of Transportation since 2014 (except for a brief period). Previously, Mr. Fuchigami served as Deputy Director -- Airports since December, 2010. Prior to his appointment to the Department, Mr. Fuchigami spent more than 36 years in the private sector as a manager with the Sheraton Hotel & Resorts and United Laundry Service. He spent the last 10 years as a hospitality and textile industry consultant. Mr. Fuchigami graduated from the University of Hawaii at Manoa with a B.A. degree in Journalism.

Ross M. Higashi, Deputy Director – Airports, was appointed in January 2015 to lead the Airports Division, where he has worked for over 25 years. During that time, Mr. Higashi served in various capacities, including Fiscal Management Officer; Administrator of the Accounting Branch; and the Audit Branch Supervisor. Mr. Higashi served as Interim Director of Transportation briefly in 2014. He also spent five years in public accounting. Mr. Higashi graduated from the University of Hawaii at Manoa with a B.S. degree in Accounting.

Kurt T. Yamasaki, Acting Fiscal Management Officer, has been employed at the Airports Division for 24 years. He was assigned to the Fiscal Management Officer position since May 2014. Previously, he worked as Audit Branch Supervisor for 11 years. He spent four years in the private sector accounting and four years in public accounting prior to joining the Airports Division. Mr. Yamasaki graduated from the University of Hawaii at Manoa with a B.S. degree in Accounting.

Jeffrey Chang, Engineering Program Manager, was promoted to lead the Engineering Branch of the Airports Division in March 2009. Previously, Mr. Chang served as a Construction Engineer for the Airports Division for 14 years. Prior to 1994, Mr. Chang held managerial positions with private general contractors in Hawaii and San Francisco for 12 years. Mr. Chang graduated from the University of Colorado with a B.S. degree in Architectural Engineering and from Stanford University with a M.S. degree in Civil Engineering.

Labor Relations

State law grants public employees, other than appointed officials, division administrators, the right to organize for the purpose of collective bargaining. Each recognized bargaining unit designates an employee organization as the exclusive representative of all employees of such unit, which organization negotiates with the public employer. Under State law, Airports System workers may not strike in the event that an impasse is declared in any labor negotiations.

The Airports Division's employees are represented by three unions in six bargaining units, as follows:

- United Public Workers (the "UPW") (Unit 1, blue collar employees).
- Hawaii Government Employees Association (the "HGEA") (Unit 2, blue collar supervisors; Unit 3, white collar employees; Unit 4, white collar supervisors; and Unit 13, professional and scientific employees).
- Hawaii Fire Fighters Association IAFF Local 1463 (the "HFFA") (Unit 11, rescue and firefighters).

All current collective bargaining contracts are in effect for the period from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2019. The status of negotiations and arbitration awards for wages and health benefits for is as follows:

Unit 1 (blue collar employees): The United Public Workers ("UPW") and the employer have agreed to a contract extension for the current period for the purpose of providing for increased employer health benefit contributions comparable to contributions negotiated between the employer and other bargaining units. Wage increases are still under negotiation.

Unit 2 (blue collar supervisors): Following the Hawaii Labor Relations Board's declaration of an impasse in negotiations wage increases were submitted to arbitration. The arbitrator awarded blue collar supervisors a 2.0% wage increase effective July 1, 2017, a 1.2% wage increase effective January 1, 2018, a 2.25% wage increase effective July 1, 2018, and a 1.2% wage increase effective January 1, 2019. The award also provides for a continuation of step movement adjustments for eligible employees. The award also provides for a continuation of step movement adjustments for eligible employees.

Unit 3 (white collar employees): Following the declaration of an impasse in negotiations wage increases were submitted to arbitration. The arbitrator awarded white collar workers a 2.0% wage increase effective July 1, 2017, a 1.5% wage increase effective January 1, 2018, a 2.25% wage increase effective July 1, 2018, and a 1.25% wage increase effective January 1, 2019. The award also provides for a deletion of the lowest step on the salary schedule.

Unit 4 (white collar supervisors): Following the declaration of an impasse in negotiations wage increases were submitted to arbitration. The arbitrator awarded white collar supervisors a 2.0% wage increase effective July 1, 2017, a 1.5% wage increase effective January 1, 2018, a 2.25% wage increase effective July 1, 2018, and a 1.25% wage increase effective January 1, 2019. The award also provides for a deletion of the lowest step on the salary schedule.

Unit 11 (firefighters): Following the declaration of an impasse in negotiations wage increases were submitted to arbitration. The arbitrator awarded firefighters a 2.0% wage increase effective July 1, 2017,

and a 2.25% wage increase effective July 1, 2018. The award also provides for a continuation of step movement adjustments for eligible employees.

Unit 13 (professional and scientific employees): Following the Hawaii Labor Relations Board's declaration of an impasse in negotiations wage increases were submitted to arbitration. The arbitrator awarded professional and scientific employees a 2.0% wage increase effective July 1, 2017, and a 2.25% wage increase effective July 1, 2018. The award also provides for a continuation of step movement adjustments for eligible employees.

Employee Benefits

Employee benefits for employees of the Airports Division are an operating expense of the Airports Division. All full-time employees of the Department are required to participate in the Employees' Retirement System of the State (the "Retirement System" or "ERS") and are also entitled to health care and life insurance benefits afforded to all State employees. Department employees hired before July 1, 1984, had the option to remain in a contributory retirement plan or participate in a new non-contributory retirement plan. Department employees hired after June 30, 1984, participate in a non-contributory retirement plan.

Effective July 1, 2006, the State implemented a new contributory hybrid retirement plan for all new employees. Under the hybrid retirement plan, new employees will receive a benefit multiplier of 2 percent for each year of credited service in the hybrid plan, but must contribute 6 percent of gross pay to this plan. Legislation enacted in 2011 changed the pension benefit structure for new employees hired after June 30, 2012, that reduces the long-term cost to the ERS and provides a retirement package acceptable to employees.

The Department makes annual payments from Airports System Revenues to the Retirement System for Airports System employees' retirement benefits, and to the State of Hawaii Employer-Union Health Benefit Trust for Airports System employees' and retirees' health care insurance and other post-employment benefits.

Customer Facility Charge Payments for Airports Division Employees

The Airports Division will reimburse the Airports System from moneys in the Project Fund, established under the Indenture, for actual time spent by Airports Division employees in the design, development, construction and project administration of the ConRAC System. The Airports Division will charge employees' hourly wages plus a multiplier for indirect costs, including employee's retirement benefits, healthcare insurance and other post-retirement benefits.

THE AIRPORTS SYSTEM

General

The Department operates the Airports System as a single integrated system for management and financial purposes on behalf of the State. The Department operates and maintains 15 airports at various locations within the State. The Airports Division has jurisdiction over and control of the Airports System. Virtually all non-military passenger traffic throughout Hawaii passes through the five primary airports and 10 secondary airports comprising the Airports System. The primary airports are Daniel K. Inouye or Honolulu International (on the island of Oahu), Kahului (on the island of Maui), Hilo and Kona (both on the island of Hawaii), and Lihue (on the island of Kauai). All of the primary airports provide facilities for interisland flights (in-state flights among the airports in the Airports System) and direct overseas flights to the continental United States. Honolulu International provides international flights to the Pacific Rim and Oceania. Kona provides international service to and from Haneda, Japan. Lihue and Kahului Airports also provide pre-cleared international service to and from Canada. Honolulu International accounted for 57.7% of the enplaned passengers in the Airports System in fiscal year 2016, and the five primary airports together accounted for approximately 98.8% of total enplaned passengers in the Airports System in fiscal year 2016.¹

¹ The fiscal year for the State of Hawaii begins on July 1 of each year and ends on June 30 of the following calendar year. For purposes of this report, "fiscal year" refers to the calendar year in which such fiscal year ended. For example, "fiscal year 2017" means the fiscal year that began July 1, 2016 and ended June 30, 2017.

Primary Airports

Daniel K. Inouye International Airport. Honolulu International, the primary airport in the Airports System, is located approximately six miles west of downtown Honolulu. Honolulu International is the largest and busiest of the State's airports, accounting for 57.7% of all passengers enplaned in the Airports System in fiscal year 2016. In 2015, the Federal Aviation Administration (the "FAA") ranked Honolulu International as the 28th busiest airport in the United States in total passengers (enplaned and deplaned). In fiscal year 2016, 9.9 million passengers were enplaned at Honolulu International – 6.8 million overseas passengers and 3.1 million interisland passengers. The 2014 Airports Council International Worldwide Traffic Report listed Honolulu International as the 91st busiest airport in terms of total passengers. These rankings reflect Honolulu International's: (1) large origin-destination passenger base (related to the visitor industry), (2) geographic location in the central Pacific, and (3) role as a hub for Hawaiian Airlines, which provide connecting service from Honolulu International to the other Airports System primary airports. Honolulu International serves interisland flights, and domestic overseas flights and international flights to destinations on the Pacific Rim, Oceania and Canada.

Honolulu International has four runways, two of which are among the nation's longest (12,000 and 12,300 feet long). In addition, it has the only reef runway in the nation (12,000 feet long by 200 feet wide). Honolulu International has 55 total gate positions, including 29 overseas aircraft gate positions with loading bridges, 13 interisland aircraft parking positions, 11 commuter aircraft parking positions and public parking spaces for 5,151 vehicles. Honolulu International also provides runways for Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam and the Hawaii Air National Guard.

Kahului Airport. Kahului Airport is located approximately three miles east of the town of Kahului, which, together with Wailuku, is the principal business and commercial center of the island of Maui. Kahului is the second busiest airport in the State, and the 53rd busiest in the United States. In fiscal year 2016, Kahului welcomed 3.4 million enplaned passengers. Kahului has one 7,000 foot runway and one 5,000 foot runway. The terminal complex includes ticket counters, six hold rooms, 16 aircraft gate positions with loading bridges, a baggage claim area and ancillary service facilities. In addition to interisland service, Kahului provides facilities for domestic overseas flights and pre-cleared international flights to and from Canada.

Lihue Airport. Lihue Airport is located approximately one and one-half miles east of Lihue, the governmental and business center of the island of Kauai. Lihue has two 6,500-foot runways. The terminal complex includes eight aircraft gate positions with loading bridges, two baggage claim areas and ancillary service facilities. Lihue also has a 30,400 square foot cargo building, an air commuter terminal, and helicopter facilities. In addition to interisland service, Lihue provides facilities for domestic overseas flights and pre-cleared international flights to and from Canada.

Ellison Onizuka Kona International Airport at Keahole. Kona International Airport is located in North Kona on the western shore of the island of Hawaii, approximately seven miles northwest of Kailua-Kona. Kona, which was opened in 1970, has one runway of 11,000 feet. The terminal complex includes 10 boarding gates (serving 14 aircraft parking spots) and ancillary service facilities, including a Federal Inspection Service facility. In addition to interisland service, Kona now provides facilities for domestic overseas flights and international flights to and from Canada and Japan. Hawaiian Airlines, Inc. began direct air service from Haneda, Japan to Kona in December 2016. Japan Airlines, which had discontinued direct Kona – Narita air service in 2010, has announced that it will resume daily Kona – Narita air service in September 2017.

Hilo International Airport. Hilo International Airport is located immediately east of Hilo in East Hawaii, the business center and the governmental center of the island of Hawaii. Hilo has a 9,800 foot runway and a 5,600 foot runway. The terminal complex includes 10 aircraft gates and ancillary service facilities. Hilo provides facilities for interisland and overseas flights.

Airports Capital Improvement Program

The ConRAC System comprises a major part of the Department's on-going Airports Capital Improvement Program, a \$2.66 billion capital improvement program for the Airports System. The current Capital Improvement Program includes 131 separate projects with \$888 million, or 33.4 % of the Projects substantially completed. The major source of financing for the capital improvements program other than the ConRAC System, is revenue bonds supported by Airport Revenues and Aviation Fuel Taxes. Components of the Capital improvement program must be approved by the Signatory Airlines as well as the Legislature.

As of December 31, 2016, the Capital Improvement Program includes \$873 million related to the ConRAC System (excluding \$28 million related to completed projects) and the following major components:

Honolulu International:

- Mauka Concourse Program (\$667 million) has three components: Relocation of the maintenance and Cargo Building for Hawaiian Airlines on the west side of Taxiways G and L, widening of Taxiways G and L, and construction of a Mauka Concourse Extension building containing six new hold-rooms and loading bridges to accommodate six wide-bodied aircraft. The Department must relocate two commuter airlines before construction of the Mauka Concourse Extension can commence. The Mauka Concourse Extension is scheduled to be completed in 2020.
- Airfield Improvements (\$149 million) includes widening and/or reconstruction of runways 8L, 26L and 4R and Taxiway Z.
- Terminal Improvements (\$318 million) to the Overseas Terminal includes Baggage handling system improvements, electrical distributed generation system improvements, asbestos abatement and other miscellaneous projects.

Other Airports:

- Kahului (\$99 million) includes reconstruction of Taxiway A, land acquisition, replacement of loading bridges and miscellaneous terminal improvements.
- Kona (\$158 million) includes terminal modernization, design and construction of an aircraft rescue and firefighting regional training facility and design of a new international arrivals building.
- Hilo (\$50 million) renovating the existing cargo building, construction of an aircraft rescue and firefighting regional training facility and airfield improvements.
- Lihue (\$51 million) includes construction of airfield improvements, land acquisition and hold room improvements.
- Other airports and statewide projects (\$287 million) includes a statewide energy saving project with a total cost estimate of \$207 million and other miscellaneous projects.

The Department reviews the Capital Improvement Program at least annually, removing completed projects and including additional projects approved by the Legislature and the Governor.

Financial Covenants

State law and the Certificate of the Director of Transportation dated as of May 1, 1969, as amended and supplemented (the "Director's Certificate") require the State to operate the Airports System on a self-sustaining basis. The Director's Certificate requires the Department to impose, prescribe and collect rates, rentals, fees and charges for the use and services of, and the facilities and commodities furnished by, the Airports System to generate revenues which, together with the receipts of Aviation Fuel Taxes, will be sufficient to pay the principal of and interest on all Bonds issued for the Airports System, and to pay the costs of operation, maintenance and repair of the Airports System.

The Department presently leases land to the RACs at Kahului, Kona, Hilo and Lihue, and charges the RACs ground rent, terminal rent and concession fees for the right to pick up and drop off customers at the terminal buildings, which rents and concession fees are presently a component of Airports System revenues. The RACs are responsible for maintenance, operating expenses and utilities for the Interim ConRAC at Honolulu International. The Customer Facility Charge is not a component of Airports System revenues.

The Department is administering the design and construction of the ConRACs. When construction has been completed and the ConRACs are operational, the RACs will be responsible for maintenance, operating functions, and utilities of the ConRAC System. The Department will be responsible for administrative oversight.

Insurance

The Department has a commercial general liability insurance policy with a \$750,000,000 limit for each occurrence. The policy includes extended coverage for \$150,000,000 for war, hijacking and other perils. The liability policy has a zero deductible limit, which means that the insurer handles and pays for all claims against the State. The selection of insurance companies is arranged by the Department's designated Insurance Broker, MOC Insurance Services of San Francisco. The State has a separate insurance policy for its structures for which the Department pays the State Department of Accounting & General Services ("DAGS") a portion of the State's annual premium. The Airports Division has no control over DAGS's insurance premium. The ConRACs will be covered under these insurance policies, although the Department has not yet determined the share of these premiums to be allocated to the ConRAC System.

PASSENGER TRAFFIC AND AIRLINES

The following table summarizes passenger counts and aircraft operations at Honolulu International and the major neighbor island airports in the Airports System for fiscal years 2012 through 2016. Because visitors to Hawaii and Hawaii residents traveling inter-island for business and pleasure are the predominant customers for rental cars at the major airports in the Airports System, it is important to understand historical trends and passenger traffic projections for overseas and inter-island travel in the Airports System.

In fiscal year 2016, total passenger counts increased from 16,682,795 to 17,215,360 due to higher overseas traffic. Aircraft operations declined from 1,024,807 in fiscal year 2015 to 971,143 in fiscal year 2016, primarily due to lower general aviation activity.

ТА	BLE 5					
PASSENGERS AND A	IRCRAFT OF	PERATIONS	5			
For Fiscal Yea	ar Ending June					
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	% Chang
Enplaned Passenger Activity	0.050.040	0.050.000	0 700 007	0 707 507	0.000 504	0.40
Honolulu International Airport	9,258,218	9,853,086	9,700,237	9,707,527	9,936,591	2.49
Kahului Airport	2,837,763	3,030,789	3,009,069	3,246,892	3,398,955	
Kona International Airport at Keahole	1,362,357	1,444,380	1,415,189	1,490,934	1,566,316	
Lihue Airport	1,281,867	1,358,556	1,353,125	1,386,017	1,432,633	
Hilo International Airport	651,458	679,594	644,656	648,491	661,161	
All Others	174,633	176,354	172,721	202,934	219,704	
Total Passengers	15,566,296	16,542,759	16,294,997	16,682,795	17,215,360	
Honolulu International Airport as a Percentage of Total Enplaned Passengers	59.5%	59.6%	59.5%	58.2%	57.7%	<u>)</u>
Interisland Passengers	6,784,974	7,118,800	7,022,309	6,998,066	7,137,313	
Overseas Passengers	8,781,322	9,423,959	9,272,688	9,684,729	<u>10,078,047</u>	4.1%
Total Statewide Enplaned Passengers	15,566,296	16,542,759	16,294,997	16,682,795	17,215,360	3.2%
Interisland Passengers as Percentage of Total Enplaned Passengers	43.6%	43.0%	43.1%	41.9%	41.5%	
Aircraft Operations (Combined Landing and Take-Off Reported by Air Traff	c Control Tower	·)				
Honolulu International Airport	266,326	284,532	300,976	315,474	306,826	-2.79
Kahului Airport	124,519	130,620	127,143	132,496	135,743	2.5%
Kona International Airport at Keahole	110,324	119,098	117,075	144,098	120,798	-16.29
Lihue Airport	109,739	122,240	125,569	132,758	118,132	-11.09
Hilo International Airport	75,367	88,100	90,733	85,770	78,237	-8.8%
All Others	201,827	210,106	215,830	214,211	211,407	-1.39
Total Aircraft Operations	888,102	954,696	977,326	1,024,807	971,143	-5.29
Honolulu International Airport as Percentage of Total Aircraft Operations	30.0%	29.8%	30.8%	30.8%	31.6%)
Destination of Enplaned Passengers						
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	
Mainland U.S.	6,291	6,659	6,481	6,834	7,099	3.9%
International	2,490	2,765	2,791	2,851	2,979	4.5%
InterIsland	6,785	7,119	7,022	6,998	7,137	2.0%
Grand Total	15,566	16,543	16,295	16,683	17,215	
% of Total						
Mainland U.S.	40.4%	40.3%	39.8%	41.0%	41.2%	5
International	16.0%	16.7%	17.1%	17.1%	17.3%	,
InterIsland	43.6%	43.0%	43.1%	41.9%	41.5%	
Grand Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	100.078	100.070	100.070	100.070	100.070	
Source: Department of Transportation – Airports Division Planning Section.						

Airline Service and Passenger Activity Operations

Air transportation in Hawaii is characterized by three types of service: (1) domestic in-state interisland service among the islands in Hawaii and airports in the Airports System, (2) domestic overseas service to the continental United States, and (3) international overseas service, primarily to destinations in the Pacific Rim, Oceania, and Canada. Interisland service accounted for 41.5% of enplaned passengers in fiscal year 2016. Overseas service, including flights to both the continental United States and international destinations, accounted for 41.2% and 17.3%, respectively, of enplaned passengers in the Airports System for fiscal year 2016. The number of passengers enplaned in the Airports System in fiscal year 2016 increased 3.2% over fiscal year 2015. This increase is primarily due to increased traffic from overseas passengers.

Honolulu International is served by 31 Signatory Airlines (as parties to separate airport-airline lease agreements executed effective January 1, 2008, as extended). Of the Signatory Airlines, 26 are passenger airlines, including nine major domestic and national U.S. airlines (including airlines with multiple leases following mergers), two airlines that only provide interisland service, and 15 foreign-flag airlines. The primary neighbor island airports are served by a total of 11 scheduled passenger airlines, including six major and national airlines, three regional and commuter airlines, and two foreign-flag airlines.

The following airlines served the State with scheduled or charter passenger flights in 2016: Air Canada, Air China Limited, Air Japan, Inc., Air New Zealand, Ltd., Air Pacific, Ltd., Alaska Airlines, Inc., Allegiant Air, L.L.C., All Nippon Airways Co., Ltd., American Airlines, Inc., Asiana Airlines, Inc., China Airlines, Ltd., China Eastern (non-signatory), Delta Air Lines, Inc., Hawaiian Airlines, Inc., Hawaii Island Air, Inc., Japan Airlines International Company, Ltd., Jet Star Airways, Jin Air Co. Ltd., Korean Airlines Company, Ltd., Omni Air International, Inc. (non-signatory), Philippine Airlines, Inc., Qantas Airways Limited, United Airlines, Inc., Virgin America, Inc., and WestJet. AirAsia X is scheduled to start four flights per week service between Osaka, Japan and Honolulu on June 28, 2017.

Overseas (both domestic and international) flights accounted for 68.4% of enplaned passengers at Honolulu International and 58.5% of enplaned passengers in the Airports System. The share of overseas passengers enplaned at the airports, excluding Honolulu International, increased from 44.1% in fiscal year 2015 to 45.1% in fiscal year 2016. Hawaiian Airlines had a 51.8% market share of all enplaned passengers followed by United Airlines 10.7%, Delta Air Lines 7.6%, Alaska Airlines 7.2%, American Airlines 6.4%, Japan Airlines 3.2%, Hawaii Island Air 1.9% and Mokulele Flight Services 1.4%. Other airlines had a combined 9.8% market share of enplaned passengers in the Airports System.

In fiscal year 2016, interisland flights accounted for 31.6% of enplaned passengers at Honolulu International and 41.5% of all enplaned passengers in the Airports System. The principal airlines providing interisland passenger flight services are: Hawaiian Airlines, Hawaii Island Air, and Mokulele Flight Service. Since March 2008, Hawaiian Airlines has provided the majority of all interisland service within the State. In fiscal year 2016, Hawaiian Airlines had the largest market share of interisland enplaned passengers in the Airports System, with a 90.9% share.

The Department anticipates both airline traffic and rental car usage will continue to grow in 2017 and in the foreseeable future. The State's Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism ("DBEDT") predicts that in 2017 visitor arrivals to Hawaii will exceed 9.1 million visitors for the first time. DBEDT projects the number of visitor days will exceed 81.6 million, and anticipates both totals will grow at an average annual rate of 1.6 percent in 2017 – 2020. Visitors to Hawaii are expected to spend \$16.55 billion in 2017. DBEDT anticipates visitor spending totals will grow at an average annual rate of 1.6 percent in 2017 – 2020. If achieved these totals will represent record highs.

The following table presents the enplaned passengers for each of the Signatory Airlines and the Nonsignatory Airlines in fiscal years 2012 through 2016.

TABLE 6	
ENPLANED PASSENGERS AT AIRPORTS SYSTEM	
Fiscal Year Ended June 30,	

Signatory Airlines (1)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Hawaiian Airlines, Inc.	7,484,876	8,118,433	8,238,027	8,563,446	8,911,143
United Airlines, Inc. (2)	2,211,371	2,118,011	1,871,540	1,884,224	1,840,933
Delta Airlines, Inc.	1,234,774	1,233,540	1,191,308	1,301,125	1,312,233
Alaska Air, Inc.	985,009	1,192,957	1,130,377	1,184,524	1,234,398
American Airlines, Inc. (3)	1,059,595	1,092,109	1,110,347	1,101,419	1,102,920
Japan Airlines International Co., Ltd.	512,852	492,660	536,935	539,209	555,331
Hawaii Island Air, Inc.	396,273	331,045	353,790	431,518	325,601
Mokulele Flight Service, Inc.	104,594	169,392	239,684	250,626	248,336
Westjet	185,163	199,665	221,106	221,581	233,039
Korean Airlines Company, Ltd.	182,894	219,727	222,972	224,362	218,702
All Nippon Airways Co. Ltd.	149,209	149,848	143,200	151,587	218,357
Air Canada	129,202	118,652	96,850	133,449	150,777
JetStar Airways	56,339	76,378	94,944	114,396	136,290
China Airlines, Ltd.	113,997	116,561	128,537	125,650	130,396
Allegiant Air LLC	331	102,554	94,439	89,322	79,874
Virgin America	0	0	0	0	68,034
Asiana Airlines, Inc.	18,545	64,698	59,584	59,246	60,385
Qantas Airways, Ltd.	36,609	36,260	35,071	42,561	51,005
Jin Air	0	0	0	0	41,116
Air New Zealand, Ltd.	27,029	31,642	35,801	39,387	37,713
Philippine Airlines, Inc.	29,463	28,317	31,239	38,120	37,706
Air China Limited	0	0	9,950	24,886	30,079
Air Pacific, Ltd.	14,191	14,473	14,783	15,762	14,868
Mesa Airlines, Inc.	508,184	518,975	310,882	0	(
Pacific Wings LLC	36,052	34,783	40	0	(
Total Then-Current Signatory Airlines	15,476,552	16,460,680	16,171,406	16,536,400	17,039,236
Non-Signatory Airlines					
Makani Kai Helicopters	0	611	27,918	44,165	69,789
China Eastern	19,401	28,427	38,194	44,451	51,199
Omni Air International	53,889	47,097	50,294	49,164	49,698
Air Transport International	2,218	1,935	2,373	2,686	2,735
Trans Air	2,010	486	1,301	1,667	1,523
Big Island Air	5,351	3,523	3,511	4,262	1,180
Strategic	6,870	0	0	0	(
AirMed Hawaii	5	0	0	0	(
Total Then-Current Nonsignatory Airlines	89,744	82,079	123,591	146,395	176,124
Total All Airlines	15,566,296	16,542,759	16,294,997	16,682,795	17,215,360

Indicating signatory status as of June 30, 2016. Statistics for prior years may be under non-signatory status.
 Including United Airlines and Continental Airlines.
 Including American Airlines and US Airways.
CERTAIN INVESTMENT CONSIDERATIONS

The Series 2017 Bonds may not be suitable for all investors. Prospective purchasers of the Series 2017 Bonds should give careful consideration to the information set forth in this Official Statement, including, in particular, the matters referred to in the following summary. However, the following summary does not purport to be a comprehensive or exhaustive discussion of risks or other considerations which may be relevant to investing in the Series 2017 Bonds. In addition, the order in which the following information is presented is not intended to reflect the relative importance of any such considerations. There can be no assurance that other considerations not discussed below will not become material in the future.

Rate Covenant Not a Guarantee; Failure to Meet Projections

The ability of the Department to pay debt service on the Bonds depends on the ability of the Department to generate collections of the Customer Facility Charge at the levels required by the Indenture. Although the Department expects that sufficient numbers of rental car customers will pay the Customer Facility Charge and the RACs will make sufficient Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency payments to pay all expenses of the ConRAC System, there is no assurance that such impositions will result in the collection of the Customer Facility Charge in the amounts required. As a result, the Rate Covenant does not constitute a guarantee that sufficient collections of the Customer Facility Charge will be available to make debt service payments on the Bonds.

The operation of the ConRAC System and the Department's ability to generate receipts from the collection of the Customer Facility Charge are affected by a variety of legislative, legal, contractual and practical restrictions, including restrictions in the provisions of ConRAC Leases and extensive federal regulations applicable to all airports.

In addition, all financial forecasts of the Department are based on a number of assumptions. Changes in circumstances could have a material adverse impact on the ability of the Department to pay the principal of and interest on the Bonds.

Considerations Concerning the Rental Car Industry

General. As described in the Report of the Consultant, rental car demand at the Airports, and the number of rental car transaction days to which the Customer Facility Charge will be applied, is highly correlated to airline passenger travel. The Consultant concludes, based on historic rental car data and the assumptions set forth in their report, that the number of rental car transaction days is primarily a function of the number of visitor arrivals to the Airports System, the ratio of number of rental car transactions divided by number of visitors, and average duration of each rental car transaction. Other factors may include cost of car rentals, alternative means of transportation and availability of rental cars. See "Factors Affecting Customer Facility Charge Transaction Days" below and "Appendix A –Report of the Consultant."

Concessionaires. The projections of receipts from the collection of the Rental Motor Vehicle Customer Facility Charge are dependent on the ability of the rental car concessionaires or any new concessionaires to provide a competitive product to potential customers at the Airports System. This ability may be affected by factors beyond their control, including the cost and resale value of cars. Competitive factors have limited the profitability of rental car companies in past years, and some rental car companies and franchises have ceased operations or have been acquired by other companies. Prospective purchasers of the Bonds should consider the potential effects of the rental car industry as a whole upon the collections of the Customer Facility Charge to pay debt service on the Bonds.

Concentration of Rental Car Companies. The Department has entered into ConRAC Leases with five concessionaires representing 13 rental car brands. Three of these concessionaires represent 11 brands that generate approximately 95% of the gross revenues from on-airport and off-airport rental car activities at the Airports System during fiscal year 2016. The concentration of the rental car business in a small number of corporate entities amplifies the risk from factors that may impact the operations and activities of the concessionaires.

Considerations under Bankruptcy Code. In the event a bankruptcy case is filed with respect to any of the concessionaires, a bankruptcy court could determine that the ConRAC Lease of such concessionaire is an executory

contract or unexpired lease pursuant to Section 365 of the Federal Bankruptcy Code. In that event, a trustee in bankruptcy or a debtor-in-possession might reject the ConRAC Lease and delays or reductions in payments from the affected concessionaire to the Department could cause delays or reductions in payments of the Customer Facility Charge. If a ConRAC Lease is rejected, the amounts unpaid as a result of the rejection can be passed on to the remaining concessionaires. If the bankruptcy of one or more concessionaires were to occur, however, there can be no assurance that the remaining concessionaires would be able, individually or collectively, to meet the RAC's collective obligations under the applicable ConRAC Leases.

Considerations Concerning Tourism to Hawaii

Approximately two-thirds of enplaned passengers in the Airports System in fiscal year 2016 were overseas visitors, largely because Hawaii is a leading global tourism destination. Hawaii's ability to continue to develop tourism facilities and visitor attractions, and to market Hawaii to US and international visitors is a key factor in affecting air traffic and rental car usage. Development of additional tourism infrastructure and facilities and continued marketing may attract additional and repeat visitors. On the other hand, negative factors such as inadequate hotel or airline capacity could have a negative effect on visitor growth.

Considerations Concerning the Airline Industry

General. The financial strength and stability of airlines serving the State are a key determinant of future airline traffic, and therefore the Customer Facility Charge to be collected at the Airports System. The financial results of the air transportation industry have been subject to substantial volatility since deregulation. Since 2001, the global airline industry has undergone substantial structural changes and fluctuating profitability. Some factors that may affect future airline traffic at the Airports System or other markets impacting the Airports System include (but are not limited to): (i) growth in tourism and the State population, (ii) State, national and international economic health, (iii) national and international political conditions, (iv) changes in demand for air travel, (v) airline service and cost competition, (vi) airline service and route networks, (viii) federal regulation, (ix) changes in bankruptcy, industry and other applicable laws, (x) the capacity of the air traffic control system, (xi) availability of employees and labor relations within the airline industry, (xii) environmental risks, noise abatement and air pollution abatement and regulation, (xiii) acts of war or terrorism, (xiv) aviation accidents, and (xv) other risks related to the airline industry.

The financial strength and stability of the airlines using the Airports System, together with numerous other factors, influence the level of aviation activity at the Airports System. In addition, individual airline decisions regarding level of service, can affect total enplanements. No assurances can be given that any of these airlines will continue operations or maintain their current level of operations at the Airports System. If one or more of these airlines reduces or discontinues operations at the Airports System, that airline's current level of activity may not be replaced by other carriers.

Effects of Bankruptcy. Due to the discretionary nature of business and personal travel spending, airline passenger traffic and revenues are heavily influenced by the strength of the U.S. economy, other regional and world economies, corporate profitability, airline safety, security and public health concerns, air traffic control limits and other factors. Permanent structural changes to the industry are the result of a number of factors including the impact of low cost carriers, airline consolidation, internet travel web sites, changes in technology and carriers reorganizing under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. Since 2001, several U.S. air carriers have ceased operations and/or sought to reorganize under Chapter 11. In December 2002, United Airlines filed for bankruptcy protection and emerged from bankruptcy protection in February 2006. US Airways emerged from bankruptcy protection in September 2005 after filing twice for bankruptcy protection in 2002 and 2004. In March 2003, Hawaiian Airlines, Inc. filed for bankruptcy protection and emerged from bankruptcy protection and emerged from bankruptcy protection in April 2007 and Northwest Airlines filed for bankruptcy protection, with Delta Air Lines emerging in April 2007 and Northwest Airlines emerging in May 2007. Aloha Airlines filed for bankruptcy protection in December 2004, emerged in February 2006, and, as a result of financial pressures arising from increasing fuel prices and increasing competition, again filed for bankruptcy protection on March 20, 2008. Aloha Airlines ceased passenger operations on March 31, 2008, and completed the Chapter 7 bankruptcy liquidation of its cargo division in May 2008.

On January 5, 2010, Mesa Air Group filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection to eliminate excess aircraft, restructure its business model to reflect changes in the regional airline industry, and settle litigation with Delta Air Lines. Mesa Air Group's, Mesa's go!-Mokulele joint venture ceased operations in Hawaii on April 1, 2014. As described above, Japan Airlines filed for rehabilitation, a court-led restructuring similar to a Chapter 11 filing in the United States in January 2010, consolidated its operations with Jalways Company, emerged from bankruptcy protection in 2011 and was relisted on the Tokyo Stock Exchange in 2012. Service by Japan Airlines is expected to continue uninterrupted, and it is unclear what effect, if any, this restructuring will have on service to Hawaii. Record aviation fuel prices and other financial pressures resulted in other airline bankruptcies in 2008. It is possible that these or other airlines may seek to reorganize in or out of Chapter 11. Potential investors are urged to review the airlines' financial information on file with the SEC and DOT.

American Airlines filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection in November 2011. On December 9, 2013, American Airlines executed a merger agreement with US Airways as a part of American Airlines' plan of reorganization, which was confirmed by the bankruptcy court on September 12, 2013.

Although Hawaii has recently experienced record numbers of visitors by air, various industry analysts have suggested that further reductions in industry wide domestic capacity may be required to achieve equilibrium between seat supply and passenger demand at airfares adequate to sustain airline profitability. Additional bankruptcies, liquidations or major restructurings of other airlines could occur. The combination of reduced capacity, increased airfares and unstable economic conditions is expected to caused reduced passenger numbers at most airports in the near-term. It is not possible to predict the impact on the Airports System of any future bankruptcies, liquidations or major restructurings of other airlines, especially of one or more large network airlines.

Restructuring of Air Carriers. Certain other airlines serving the Airports System have consolidated in recent years. In 2008, Delta Airlines and Northwest Airlines merged and have operated under a single FAA certificate under the Delta name since 2005. Continental Airlines merged with United Airlines effective October 1, 2010, creating the world's then largest airline in terms of operating revenue and airline passenger miles under the United Airlines name. On May 2, 2011, Southwest Airlines acquired AirTran Holdings, the parent company of AirTran Airways, and began operating as a single airline under the Southwest Airlines name in March 2012. In 2013, Hawaii Island Air was purchased by an entity owned by Larry Ellison, and began operations under the new owner in 2014. In 2016, the Ellison entity sold its controlling interest in Hawaii Island Air to PacifiCap, a Hawaii based investment firm. In April 2015, American Airlines and US Airways completed their merger, replacing United Airlines as the world's largest in terms of operating revenue and airline passenger miles. On December 14, 2016, Alaska Airlines, Inc. acquired Virgin America, Inc. In March 2017, Alaska Airlines, Inc. announced that the two carriers will consolidate operations as a single carrier under the Alaska Airlines name by the end of 2019. Further airline consolidation remains possible. While prior mergers have had, and the Department expects that recent mergers will have, little impact on the respective combined airlines' market shares in the Airports System, future mergers or alliances among airlines servicing the Airports System may result in fewer flights or decreases in gate utilization by one or more airlines.

Faced with the growth of lower-cost airlines and evolving business technology, legacy airlines have been forced to change their business practices. Many businesses have switched to lower-cost carriers, reduced business and premium class flying and/or implemented significant reductions in business travel. As a result, carriers that once structured their services around the business traveler during the economic expansion in the 1990s have been forced to reduce or eliminate service on unprofitable routes, reduce work force, implement pay cuts, and reduce fares in order to compete with lower-cost carriers.

Federal Law Affecting Airport Rates and Charges. Section 113 of the Federal Aviation Administration Authorization Act of 1994, as amended (the "1994 Act"), entitled "Resolution of airport-air carrier disputes concerning airport fees," and codified at 49 U.S.C. §47129, continues the basic federal requirement that airport fees be "reasonable" and provides a mechanism by which the Secretary of Transportation can review rates and charges complaints brought by air carriers. Pursuant to Section 113, in February 1995, the DOT issued its "Final Rule" outlining the procedures to be followed in determining the reasonableness of airport rates and charges; the DOT also issued its "Final Policy" in June 1996 relating to the "fees charged by federally-assisted airports to air carriers and other aeronautical users."

Section 113 of the 1994 Act specifically states that it does not apply to: (1) a fee imposed pursuant to a written agreement with air carriers using airport facilities, (2) a fee imposed pursuant to a financing agreement or covenant entered into prior to the date of the enactment of the section, or (3) any other existing fee not in dispute as of such date of enactment (August 23, 1994). The section further provides that nothing in the section shall adversely affect: (1) the rights of any party under any existing written agreement between an air carrier and the owner of an airport, or (2) the ability of an airport to meet its obligations under a financing agreement or covenant that is in force as of the date of the enactment of the section. Both the Final Rule and the Final Policy acknowledge that Section 113 excludes from its rates and charges review process those rates and charges established pursuant to written agreements, pursuant to a pre-enactment bond covenant or in existence and undisputed as of August 23, 1994. The Final Policy states specifically that a dispute over such rates and charges will not be processed under the procedures mandated by Section 113. The Department and the Signatory Airlines currently operate under the terms of the Lease Extension Agreement which provides for an automatic extension on a quarterly basis unless either party provides sixty (60) days' written notice to the other party of termination.

The Final Policy is the subject of an action commenced in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit brought by the Air Transport Association. On October 15, 1997, the Court ordered the Secretary of USDOT to reconsider certain enumerated sections of the Final Policy relating to valuation of the airfield, permissible components of the airfield rate base, use of any "reasonable methodology" for valuation of non-airfield assets, and recovery of imputed interest on the airfield rate base. USDOT has not yet proposed revised provisions for these sections of the Final Policy. The Circuit Court decision did not, however, modify the exclusions contained in Section 113 of the 1994 Act.

Cost of Aviation Fuel. The price of aviation fuel is a critical and uncertain factor affecting airline operating economics. Fuel prices are particularly sensitive to worldwide political instability and economic uncertainties. Beginning in 2003, fuel prices increased as a result of the invasion and occupation of Iraq; political unrest in other oil-producing countries; the rapidly growing economies of China, India, and other developing countries; and other factors influencing the demand for and supply of oil. Oil prices fell sharply in the second half of 2008 as demand decreased worldwide, rose, then fell again in mid-2015. Average fuel prices peaked in mid-2008 at \$3.82 per gallon, declined to as low as \$1.24 per gallon in February 2016, before recovering to \$1.65 per gallon in January 2017. Fuel now represents the largest item of expense for most airlines. However, airline industry analysts believe that fuel prices are likely to increase over the long term as global energy demand increases in the face of finite and increasingly expensive oil supplies. While aviation fuel prices have not affected the ability of airlines to provide service, fluctuating prices will affect airline service, airfares, and passenger numbers. Airline operating economics are also likely to be affected as regulatory costs are imposed on the airline industry to account for aircraft emissions contributing to global climate change. Significant and prolonged increases in the cost of aviation fuel or any decreases in the availability of aviation fuel are likely to have an adverse impact on the air transportation industry's profitability and hamper the recovery plans and cost-cutting efforts of the airlines.

Rental Car Company and Airline Information

Customer Facility Charge collections may be affected by the ability of the rental car companies individually or collectively, to meet their obligations to collect the Customer Facility Charge and to pay rates, rentals, fees and charges imposed on them. Rental car companies as well as the principal domestic airlines serving the State, or their respective parent corporations, and foreign airlines serving the State with American Depository Receipts ("ADRs") registered on a national exchange are subject to the information reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and, in accordance therewith, file reports and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). Certain information, including financial information, concerning such rental car companies or their respective parent corporations and domestic airlines, or their respective parent corporations, and such foreign airlines is disclosed in certain reports and statements filed with the SEC. Such reports and statements can be inspected and copied at the public reference facilities maintained by the SEC, which can be located by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains a website at http://www.sec.gov containing reports, proxy statements and other information regarding registrants that file electronically with the SEC. In addition, each airline is required to file periodic reports of financial and operating statistics with DOT. Such reports can be inspected at DOT's Office of Airline Information, Bureau of Transportation Statistics, Department of Transportation, Room 4201, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20590, and copies of such reports can be obtained from DOT at prescribed rates.

Foreign airlines serving the State, or foreign corporations operating airlines serving the State (unless such foreign airlines have ADRs registered on a national exchange), are not required to file information with the SEC. Such foreign airlines, or foreign corporations operating airlines, serving the State file limited information only with DOT.

Neither the State nor the Underwriters undertake any responsibility for or make any representation as to the accuracy or completeness of: (i) any reports and statements filed with the SEC or DOT, or (ii) any material contained on the SEC's website as described in the preceding paragraph, including, but not limited to, updated information on the SEC's website or links to other Internet sites accessed through the SEC's website.

Factors Affecting Construction of the ConRAC System

As described above, the Department is undertaking significant capital improvement construction of the ConRAC System to meet the demands of a growing population of rental car customers served by the Airports System. The ConRACs are designed to modernize and make more efficient the various facilities used by RACs at Honolulu International and Kahului Airports. The ability of the Department to complete construction of the ConRACs may be adversely affected by various factors, including (but not limited to): (1) estimating errors, (2) design and engineering errors, (3) changes to the scope of the projects, including changes to federal security regulations, (4) delays in contract awards, (5) material and/or labor shortages, (6) unforeseen site conditions, (7) adverse weather conditions and other force majeure events, (8) contractor defaults, (9) labor disputes, (10) unanticipated levels of inflation, and (11) environmental issues. No assurance can be made that the ConRACS will not cost more than the current budget for these projects. Any schedule delays may result in increased costs and could result in the need to issue additional indebtedness. There can be no assurances that significant increases in costs over the amounts projected by the Department will not materially adversely affect the financial condition or operations of the ConRAC System, leading to different results than projected in the Report of the Consultant.

Factors Affecting Customer Facility Charge Transaction Days

In addition to the number of visitors, other factors affecting the number of Customer Facility Charge transaction days include: (1) visitor characteristics, (2) cost of car rentals, (3) alternative means of transportation, and (4) availability of rental cars. For instance Japanese visitors have a lower tendency to rent cars, partially due to unfamiliarity with driving on the right hand side of the road. The rental car provides a high level of convenience to visitors, but at a high cost compared to other forms of ground transportation. Visitors to Waikiki on Oahu have other ground transportation options available, including busses, trolleys, taxis and ride hailing services such as Uber. Visitor destinations on Oahu are concentrated in a smaller area than on Maui. The Honolulu Area Rapid Transit (HART) 20-mile high speed rail transit system is presently under construction. HART is expected to be fully operational in 2025. When completed, HART will provide direct high speed rail service from West Oahu to Honolulu International to Downtown Honolulu and Ala Moana Center, and another alternative means of ground transportation.

Visitor destinations on Maui are farther from resort areas, and public transportation options are limited. Hence visitors to Maui have a higher tendency to rent cars.

Economic Conditions

Historically, the financial performance of the air transportation industry has correlated closely with the state of the national economy and levels of real disposable income. Recession in 2008 and 2009, combined with reduced discretionary income and increased airfares, reduced airline travel demand and rental car demand in 2008 and 2009. However globalization of business and the increased importance of international trade has resulted in U.S. economic growth becoming more closely tied to worldwide economic, political, and social conditions. As a result, international economics, trade balances, currency exchange rates, political relationships, public health concerns, and hostilities are now important influences on passenger traffic at major U.S. airports. Sustained future increases in passenger traffic in the Airports System will depend on stable and peaceful international conditions as well as global economic growth.

Aviation Security Concerns

Future increases in passenger traffic will depend largely on the ability of the U.S. and other nations to sustain growths in economic output and income. Although both domestic and global economies have recovered since 2008-2009 and steady, moderate growth has occurred since 2012, the long-term economic effects of U.S. Government's sequestration and regulatory and economic changes are not known at this time. There can be no assurances that such developments will not have an adverse effect on the air transportation industry.

Concerns about the safety of airline travel and the effectiveness of security precautions, particularly in the context of international hostilities (such as those that have occurred in the Middle East) and terrorist attacks, may have an immediate and significant impact on the demand for aviation services, including, but not limited to, services at the Airports System and depress airline industry revenues and the revenues derived from operation of the Airports System. Security concerns have influenced passenger travel behavior and air travel demand. Following the events of September 11, 2001, enplanements at the Airports Systems and revenues derived from operation of the Airports System declined significantly. Security restrictions on the airports also affected the financial condition of the air transportation industry. Created by the ATSA in 2001, the Transportation Security Administration ("TSA") is responsible for transportation security nationally. TSA is required to screen all commercial airline passengers and all baggage loaded onto commercial airplanes, and has promulgated regulations regarding both aviation and maritime security applicable to the Airports System. Travel behavior may be affected by anxieties about the safety of flying and by the inconveniences and delays associated with more stringent security screening procedures, both of which may give rise to the avoidance of air travel generally and the switching from air to surface travel modes.

Other intensified security precautions include the strengthening of aircraft cockpit doors, changes to prescribed flight crew responses to attempted hijackings, increased presence of armed sky marshals, federalization of airport security functions under the TSA and revised procedures and techniques for the screening of passengers and baggage for weapons and explosives. No assurance can be given that these precautions will be successful. Also, the possibility of intensified international hostilities and further terrorist attacks involving or affecting commercial aviation are a continuing concern that may affect future travel behavior and airline passenger demand.

The ATSA requires all United States airports to use EDS to screen all checked baggage unless an alternative system and/or timetable has been approved by the TSA. Currently, all checked baggage at HNL is screened by EDS. The Aviation Security Act also requires that eventually all passenger bags, mail and cargo be screened to prevent the carriage of weapons (including chemical and biological weapons), explosives or incendiary devices; however, to date no regulations regarding these enhanced security measures have been proposed. Because of the congressional mandate to screen all bags, as well as the impact on airport operations of procedures mandated under "Code Orange" (high) and "Code Red" (severe) national threat levels declared by the Department of Homeland Security under the Homeland Security Advisory System, there is the potential for significantly increased inconvenience and delays at many airports, although to date only relatively minor delays have been experienced as a result of these enhanced security procedures. The Department, like many airport operators, experienced increased operating costs due to compliance with federally mandated and other security and operating changes.

Computer networks and data transmission and collection are vital to the efficient operation of the rental car industry and the airline industry. Air travel industry participants, including airlines, RACs, the FAA, TSA, the Department and others collect and store sensitive data, including proprietary business information, information regarding customers and personally identifiable information of customers and employees. The secure processing, maintenance and transmission of this information is critical to RAC and airline industry operations. Despite security measures information technology and infrastructure may be vulnerable to attack by hackers or breached due to error or other disruption. Any breach or disruption could result in disruptions in the efficiency of the air travel industry, liability under laws that protect privacy of persona information and a loss of confidence in the air travel industry, which could ultimately affect Customer Facility Charge collections.

The Department cannot predict the effects and/or likelihood of future terrorist attacks (either domestically or abroad), the effect of any future government-required security measures on passenger activity at the Airports System, future air transportation disruptions, or the impact on the Airports System, the airlines or the rental car industry from such incidents or disruptions. Nor can the Department predict how the government will staff the security screening functions or the effect on passenger activity of government decisions regarding its staffing levels.

Geopolitical Shift in U.S. Foreign Policy and International Relations

The inauguration in January 2017 of President Donald Trump has brought new U.S. policies and strategies to national security, international relations, defense, immigration, and the war against terrorism. Replacement of important positions in the U.S. Government, including appointments of a new Secretary of State, U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations, National Security Advisor and Secretary of Defense, has also resulted in changes in policies, perspectives, experiences and relationships to the global arena.

On January, 27, 2017, President Trump issued an Executive Order which proposed a travel ban of citizens from seven majority-Muslim countries (Iraq, Syria, Iran, Libya, Somalia, Sudan and Yemen), based on the threat individuals from these countries allegedly posed to U.S. national security. The initial travel ban was held invalid by U.S. District Judge James Robart, and upheld by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. A revised order was issued on March 6, 2017, and challenged in *Hawaii v. President Donald J. Trump et al*, and other lawsuits. The revised travel ban has been blocked by both Hawaii and Maryland U.S. District Courts and the Fourth and Ninth Circuit Courts of Appeals. The U.S. Supreme Court has partially reinstated the travel ban, pending a full review in the fall of 2017.

In *Hawaii v. Trump*, the State argued that the travel ban would have the effect of depressing international travel to and tourism in Hawaii, and have a detrimental impact to Hawaii's economy as a whole. Similarly, the World Travel & Tourism Council stated in March 2017 that while the travel ban is not yet having a material impact, there are unintended consequences of the message that the U.S. is not open for business.

In the Asia Pacific Region, tensions between the U.S. and North Korea have increased due to North Korea's desire to develop its nuclear weapon capabilities, and to test-launch its intercontinental ballistic missiles that could reach the mainland United States in violation of United Nations Security Council agreements regarding nuclear weapons.

The Department cannot predict the effect of and/or impact that future changes in U.S. foreign policy, national security concerns, international relations or the evolving geopolitical landscape of the Pacific Rim may have on foreign travel to and tourism in Hawaii.

Public Health Concerns

Public health concerns have also affected travel demand from time to time. In 2003, concerns about the spread of severe acute respiratory syndrome, or SARS, led public health agencies to issue advisories against nonessential travel to certain regions of the world. Beginning in April 2009, concerns about the spread of "swine flu" caused by the H1N1 virus reduced certain international airline travel. Since April 2009, the Director-General of the World Health Organization has increased the level of influenza pandemic alert several times and cases of the H1N1 virus have occurred throughout the world. Following an outbreak of the Ebola virus in West Africa in 2014, concerns about the spread of this virus have adversely affected travel to and from certain regions in Africa. In 2016 the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention issued travel alerts warning pregnant women to avoid travel to areas where outbreaks of the Zika Virus are occurring. Current conditions and future outbreaks of the swine flu or other communicable diseases could result in a reluctance to travel among fliers.

Impact of Uncertainties of the Airline Industry on the Airports System

The factors affecting aviation activity at the Airports System include: the growth of population and of the economy in Hawaii, airline service and route networks, the financial health and viability of the airline industry, national and international economic and political conditions, the availability and price of aviation fuel, levels of air fares, the capacity of the national air traffic control system and airport capacity at the Airports System and elsewhere. The Department and the Consultant have used certain assumptions to prepare the forecasts made in this Official Statement. No assurances can be given that these assumptions will materialize. Inevitably, some assumptions used to develop the forecasts will not be realized and unanticipated events and circumstances may occur. Therefore, the actual results achieved during the forecast period will vary, and the variations may be material.

Considerations Regarding Customer Facility Charge

No assurance can be given that the Customer Facility Charge will actually be received in the amount or at the time contemplated by the Department. The amount of actual Customer Facility Charge receipts will vary depending on actual levels of rental car customers, which in turn depends on passenger enplanements in the Airports System.

Limitation on Bondholders' Remedies

Under the doctrine of sovereign immunity, a state of the Union (including the State) cannot be sued by its own citizens. Under the United States Constitution, a state (including the State) cannot be sued by citizens of another state of the Union or by citizens or subjects of any foreign state. A state (including the State) may waive its immunity and consent to a suit against itself. However, such waiver and consent may subsequently be withdrawn by the State. Such immunity from and constitutional prohibition of suits against a state extend to officers of a state acting in their official capacity. Therefore, there can be no assurance that in the event the State fails to make timely payment of principal of or interest on the Bonds, a right of action would lie against the State or officials of the State to enforce such payment. Neither the State nor the Department has ever defaulted in the payment of either principal of or interest on any indebtedness.

The occurrence of an Event of Default under the Indenture or under the ConRAC Leases does not grant a right to the bondholders to accelerate payment of the Series 2017 Bonds. As a result, the Department may be able to continue indefinitely collecting the Customer Facility Charge and applying collections to the operation of the ConRAC System even if an Event of Default has occurred and no payments are being made on the Series 2017 Bonds. In addition, any remedies available to the owners of the Series 2017 Bonds upon the occurrence of an Event of Default are in many respects dependent upon judicial actions which are in turn often subject to discretion and delay and could be both expensive and time-consuming to obtain.

Climate Change Issues

Climate change concerns are leading to new laws and regulations at the federal and state levels that could have a material adverse effect on airlines operating at the Airports System and could also affect ground operations at airports and car rental usage. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA") recently has taken steps to regulate greenhouse gas ("GHG") emissions under existing federal law. Those steps may in turn lead to further regulation of aircraft GHG emissions. Effective January 14, 2010, the EPA issued a final rule entitled "Endangerment and Cause or Contribute Findings for Greenhouse Gases under Section 202(a) of the Clean Air Act." In the final rule, the EPA defined "air pollution" under the Clean Air Act to be the mix of six "well mixed" GHGs identified in the rule – carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, and sulfur hexafluoride – and found that GHGs "endanger both the public health and the public welfare of current and future generations." The final rule also found that GHG emissions "from new motor vehicles and new motor vehicle engines contribute to the greenhouse gas air pollution that endangers public health and welfare." The Clean Air Act governs aircraft emissions under provisions that are parallel to requirements applicable to motor vehicle emissions. Accordingly, the EPA may elect or be forced by the courts to regulate aircraft emissions as a result of this endangerment finding.

Other laws and regulations limiting GHG emissions have been adopted by a number of states, as well as proposed on the federal level. A proposed federal bill, the American Clean Energy and Security Act of 2009 (which was ultimately defeated in Congress), if passed, would have amended the Clean Air Act to require regulation of aircraft GHG emissions, require a reduction in emissions from transportation fuels including jet fuel, and generally would cap GHG emissions.

On July 5, 2011, the United States District Court for the District of Columbia issued an order concluding that the EPA has a mandatory obligation under the Clean Air Act to consider whether the GHG and black carbon emissions of aircraft engines endanger public health and welfare. On August 15, 2016, EPA approved final regulations imposing standards on GHG emissions from certain aircraft that cause and contribute to pollution that endangers public health and welfare. EPA's GHG emission standards for covered aircraft are as stringent as emission standards proposed by the International Civil Aviation Organization

The Department is unable to predict what federal and/or State laws and regulations with respect to GHG emissions will be adopted in the future, or what effects such laws and regulations will have on airlines serving the Airports System or on ConRAC System operations. The effects of such laws and regulations, however, could be material.

Cybersecurity Risks

The Office of Enterprise Technology Services ("ETS") within the Hawaii State Department of Accounting and General Services provides governance for executive branch information technology projects and supports the management and operation of computer and telecommunication services to State agencies, including programs in fulfillment of statutorily mandated cybersecurity duties outlined under Hawaii Revised Statutes. ETS is led by the Chief Information Officer of the State. The Chief Information Security Officer, who reports to the Chief Information Officer, is responsible for establishing cybersecurity standards for the State and ensuring that system operations stay current with best practices.

Information technology systems, including those operated or utilized by the State, the airlines and the RACs may be vulnerable to breaches, hacker attacks, computer viruses, physical or electronic break-ins or similar actions which can result in the unintended release and distribution of private or confidential data or other information. The State has taken, and continues to take, measures to protect its information technology systems from the threat of such "cyberattacks," but there can be no assurance that the State or any department thereof or any of their vendors will not experience a breach. If such a breach occurs, the financial consequences could have an economic impact on the State, or on its ability to efficiently perform routine functions, or on the ability of the State or one or more of its component units to deliver services.

The State is not aware of measures the airlines and the RACs are taking to protect their information technology systems. There can be no assurance that any airline or RAC will not suffer a breach, and the State cannot predict the impact of any such breach to a vendor on the airport System or the ConRAC System.

LITIGATION

The State is subject to litigation in connection with the Department's day-to-day operation of the Airports System and the ConRAC System. There are no claims or judicial proceedings other than the proceedings described in this Official Statement and proceedings incidental to the operation of the Airports System or the ConRAC System affecting the Airports System, the ConRAC System or collection of the Customer Facility Charge, except for claims which are substantially covered by insurance or the Airports Special Fund. Except as otherwise described in this Official Statement, there is no litigation now pending or threatened restraining or enjoining the issuance and delivery of the Series 2017 Bonds or the power and authority of the Department to impose, prescribe or collect rates, rentals, fees or charges for the use and services of, and the facilities to be furnished by the ConRAC System, or in any manner questioning the power and authority of the Department to impose, prescribe or collect the Customer Facility Charge and the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency, rentals, fees or charges or to issue and deliver the Series 2017 Bonds or affecting the validity of the Series 2017 Bonds.

TAX MATTERS

The following is a summary of the principal United States federal income tax consequences of ownership of the Series 2017 Bonds. This summary deals only with the Series 2017 Bonds held as capital assets by initial purchasers, and not with special classes of holders, such as dealers in securities or currencies, banks, tax-exempt organizations, life insurance companies, persons that hold the Series 2017 Bonds as a hedge or as hedged against currency risks or that are part of a straddle or conversion transaction, or persons whose functional currency is not the United States dollar.

The Code contains a number of provisions relating to the taxation of the Series 2017 Bonds (including but not limited to the treatment of and accounting for interest, premium, and market discount thereon, gain from the disposition thereof and withholding tax on income therefrom) that may affect the taxation of certain owners, depending on their particular tax situations. Prospective purchasers of the Series 2017 Bonds should consult their own tax advisors concerning the consequences, in their particular circumstances, under the Code and the laws of any other taxing jurisdiction, of ownership of the Series 2017 Bonds.

United States Federal Income Tax Considerations for United States Holders of Series 2017 Bonds

Payments of Interest to United States Holders. Interest on the Series 2017 Bonds will be taxable to a United States Holder (as defined below) as ordinary income at the time it is received or accrued, depending on the holder's method of accounting for tax purposes in accordance with generally applicable principles.

The term "United States Holder" refers to a beneficial owner of a Series 2017 Bond for United States federal income tax law purposes and that is:

- a citizen or resident of the United States;
- a corporation or partnership which is created or organized in or under the laws of the United States or of any political subdivision thereof;
- an estate the income of which is subject to United States federal income taxation regardless of its source; or
- a trust if (1) a court within the United States is able to exercise primary supervision over the administration of the trust and one or more United States persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust or (2) the trust was in existence on August 10, 1996 and properly elected to continue to be treated as a United States person.

The term "Non-U.S. Holder" refers to any beneficial owner of a Series 2017 Bond who or which is not a United States Holder.

If a partnership holds the Series 2017 Bonds, the United States federal income tax treatment of a partner will generally depend on the status of the partner and the tax treatment of the partnership. A partner in a partnership holding the Series 2016 FI Bonds should consult its tax advisor regarding the consequences to the United States federal income tax treatment of an investment in the Series 2017 Bonds.

Sale and Retirement of the Series 2017 Bonds. United States Holders of the Series 2017 Bonds must recognize any gain or loss on the sale, redemption, retirement or other disposition of their Series 2017 Bonds. The gain or loss is measured by the difference between the amount realized on the disposition of a Series 2017 Bond and the United States Holder's adjusted tax basis in the Series 2017 Bond. Such gain or loss is capital gain or loss, except to the extent of accrued market discount not previously included in income, and is long term capital gain or loss if at the time of disposition such Series 2017 Bond has been held for more than one year.

Unearned Income Medicare Contribution Tax. A 3.8% Medicare contribution tax is imposed on the "net investment income" of certain United States individuals and on the undistributed "net investment income" of certain estates and trusts. Among other items, "net investment income" generally includes interest and certain net gain from the disposition of property (such as the Series 2016 FJ Bonds), less certain deductions.

United States Federal Income Tax Considerations for Non-U.S. Holders of Series 2017 Bonds

Withholding Tax on Payments of Principal and Interest on Bonds. Generally, subject to the discussion of FATCA below, payments of principal and interest on a Series 2017 Bond will not be subject to United States federal withholding tax, provided that in the case of an interest payment:

- the beneficial owner of the Series 2017 Bond is not a bank to which the Series 2017 Bonds constitute an extension of credit made pursuant to a loan agreement entered into in the ordinary course of its trade or business; and
- either (A) the beneficial owner of the Series 2017 Bond certifies to the applicable payor or its agent, under penalties of perjury on an IRS Form W-8BEN, IRS Form W-8BEN-E or a suitable substitute form, that such owner is not a United States person and provides such owner's name and address or (B) a securities clearing organization, bank or other financial institution, that holds

customers' securities in the ordinary course of its trade or business (a "financial institution") and holds the Series 2017 Bond, certifies under penalties of perjury that such an IRS Form W-8BEN, IRS Form W-8BEN-E or suitable substitute form has been received from the beneficial owner by it or by a financial institution between it and the beneficial owner and furnishes the payor with a copy thereof.

If the beneficial owner is entitled to the benefit of an income tax treaty to which the United States is a party, such owner can obtain an exemption from or reduction of income and withholding tax (depending on the terms of the treaty) by providing to the withholding agent a properly completed IRS Form W-8BEN, IRS Form W-8BEN-E, or any successor form, before interest is paid. However, neither exemption nor reduced withholding will be available if the withholding agent has actual knowledge or reason to know that the form is false.

Except to the extent otherwise provided under an applicable tax treaty, a beneficial owner of a Series 2017 Bond generally will be taxed in the same manner as a United States Holder with respect to interest payments on a Series 2017 Bond if such interest is effectively connected with such owner's conduct of a trade or business in the United States. Effectively connected interest received by a corporate Non-U.S. Holder may also, under certain circumstances, be subject to an additional "branch profits tax" at a 30% rate (or, if applicable, a lower treaty rate), subject to certain adjustments. Such effectively connected interest will not be subject to withholding tax if the holder delivers an IRS Form W-8ECI to the payor.

Gain on Disposition of the Series 2017 Bonds. A beneficial owner of a Series 2017 Bond generally will not be subject to United States federal income tax on gain realized on the sale, exchange or redemption of a Series 2017 Bond unless:

- such owner is an individual present in the United States for 183 days or more in the year of such sale, exchange or redemption and either (A) such owner has a "tax home" in the United States and certain other requirements are met, or (B) the gain from the disposition is attributable to such owner's office or other fixed place of business in the United States; or
- the gain is effectively connected with such owner's conduct of a trade or business in the United States.

Taxation of Payments under FATCA to Foreign Financial Institutions and Certain Other Non-U.S. Holders that are Foreign Entities. A 30% withholding tax generally will apply to payments of interest on, and after December 31, 2018, on gross proceeds from the disposition of, the Series 2017 Bonds that are made to Non-U.S. Holders that are financial institutions and certain non-financial entities. Such withholding tax, imposed under sections 1471 through 1474 of the Code, or FATCA, generally will not apply where such payments are made to (i) a Non-U.S. Holder that is a financial institution that enters into an agreement with the IRS to, among other requirements, undertake to identify accounts held by certain United States persons or U.S.-owned foreign entities, report annually certain information about such accounts and withhold tax as may be required by such agreement (or otherwise complies with an applicable intergovernmental agreement with respect to FATCA), or (ii) a Non-U.S. Holder that is a non-financial entity that certifies it does not have any substantial United States owners or furnishes identifying information regarding each substantial United States owner. A Non-U.S. Holder generally will be required to provide information with respect to its status for FATCA purposes, generally on the appropriate IRS Form W-8 or any successor form, to avoid withholding taxes under FATCA. Prospective investors should consult their own tax advisors regarding the application and requirements of these information reporting and withholding provisions under FATCA.

U.S. Federal Estate Tax. A Series 2017 Bond held by an individual who at the time of death is not a citizen or resident of the United States (as specially defined for United States federal estate tax purposes) is not subject to United States federal estate tax if at the time of the individual's death, payments with respect to such Series 2017 Bond are not effectively connected with the conduct by such individual of a trade or business in the United States.

Backup Withholding and Information Reporting

United States Holders. Information reporting applies to payments of interest on the Series 2017 Bonds, or the proceeds of the sale or other disposition of the Series 2017 Bonds with respect to certain non-corporate United

States holders, and backup withholding may apply unless the recipient of such payment supplies a taxpayer identification number, certified under penalties of perjury, as well as certain other information or otherwise establishes an exemption from backup withholding. Any amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules may be allowed as a refund or a credit against that holder's United States federal income tax liability provided the required information is furnished to the IRS.

Non-U.S. Holders. Backup withholding and information reporting on Form 1099 does not apply to payments of principal and interest on the Series 2017 Bonds to a Non-U.S. Holder provided the Non-U.S. Holder provides the certification described above under "United States Federal Income Tax Considerations for Non-U.S. Holders-Withholding Tax on Payments of Principal and Interest on Bonds" or otherwise establishes an exemption (provided that neither the City nor its agent has actual knowledge that the holder is a United States person or that the conditions of any other exemptions are not in fact satisfied). Interest payments made to a Non-U.S. Holder may, however, be reported to the IRS and to such Non-U.S. Holder on Form 1042-S.

Information reporting and backup withholding generally do not apply to a payment of the proceeds of a sale of Series 2017 Bonds effected outside the United States by a foreign office of a foreign broker. However, information reporting requirements (but not backup withholding) will apply to a payment of the proceeds of a sale of Series 2017 Bonds effected outside the United States by a foreign office of a broker if the broker (i) is a United States person, (ii) derives 50% or more of its gross income for certain periods from the conduct of a trade or business in the United States, (iii) is a "controlled foreign corporation" as to the United States, or (iv) is a foreign partnership that, at any time during its taxable year is 50% or more (by income or capital interest) owned by United States persons or is engaged in the conduct of a United States trade or business, unless in any such case the broker has documentary evidence in its records that the holder is a Non-U.S. Holder (and such broker has no actual knowledge to the contrary) and certain conditions are met, or the holder otherwise establishes an exemption. Payment by a United States office of a broker of the proceeds of a sale of Series 2017 Bonds will be subject to both backup withholding and information reporting unless the holder certifies its non-United States status under penalties of perjury or otherwise establishes an exemption.

Any amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules may be allowed as a refund or a credit against that holder's United States federal income tax liability provided the required information is furnished to the IRS.

Change of Law

The opinion of Katten Muchin Rosenman, LLP ("Bond Counsel") and the descriptions of the tax law contained in this Official Statement are based on statutes, judicial decisions, regulations, rulings and other official interpretations of law in existence on the date the Series 2017 Bonds are issued. There can be no assurance that such law or the interpretation thereof will not be changed or that new provisions of law will not be enacted or promulgated at any time while the Series 2017 Bonds are outstanding in a manner that would adversely affect the value or the tax treatment of ownership of the Series 2017 Bonds.

State and Local Considerations

Interest on the Series 2017 Bonds is exempt from all taxation by the State of Hawaii or any county or other political subdivision thereof, except inheritance, transfer, estate and certain franchise taxes. Ownership of the Series 2017 Bonds may result in other state and local tax consequences to certain taxpayers, and Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding any such consequences arising with respect to the Series 2017 Bonds. Prospective purchasers of the Series 2017 Bonds should consult their tax advisors regarding the applicability of any such state and local taxes.

UNDERWRITING

Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, RBC Capital Markets, LLC, Barclays Capital Inc., Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, and Siebert Cisneros Shank & Co., L.L.C. (collectively, the "Underwriters") have agreed to purchase the Series 2017 Bonds for \$248,866,550.21 (representing the principal amount of the Series 2017 Bonds, less underwriters' discount of \$938,449.79. The Underwriters will be obligated to purchase all the Series 2017 Bonds if any are purchased. The initial public offering prices are set forth on the inside cover page of this Official Statement. The initial public offering price of the Series 2017 Bonds may be changed

from time to time by the Underwriters prior to the Delivery Date. The Underwriters may offer and sell the Series 2017 Bonds to certain dealers (including dealers depositing Series 2017 Bonds into unit investment trusts, certain of which may be sponsored or managed by the Underwriters) at a price lower than the public offering price stated on the cover of this Official Statement.

The Underwriters and their respective affiliates are full-service financial institutions engaged in various activities that may include securities trading, commercial and investment banking, municipal advisory, brokerage, and asset management. In the ordinary course of business, the Underwriters and their respective affiliates may actively trade debt and, if applicable, equity securities (or related derivative securities) and provide financial instruments (which may include bank loans, credit support or interest rate swaps). The Underwriters and their respective affiliates may engage in transactions for their own accounts involving the securities and instruments made the subject of this securities offering or other offering of the Department. The Underwriters and their respective affiliates may make a market in credit default swaps with respect to municipal securities in the future. The Underwriters and their respective affiliates may also communicate independent investment recommendations, market color or trading ideas and publish independent research views in respect of this securities offering or other offerings of the Department.

J.P. Morgan Securities LLC ("JPMS"), an Underwriter of the Series 2017 Bonds, has entered into negotiated dealer agreements (each, a "Dealer Agreement") with each of Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. ("CS&Co.") and LPL Financial LLC ("LPL") for the retail distribution of certain securities offerings at the original issue prices. Pursuant to each Dealer Agreement, each of CS&Co. and LPL may purchase Series 2017 Bonds from JPMS at the original issue price less a negotiated portion of the selling concession applicable to any Series 2017 Bonds that such firm sells.

Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, an Underwriter of the Series 2017 Bonds, has entered into a retail distribution arrangement with its affiliate Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC. As part of the distribution arrangement, Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC may distribute municipal securities to retail investors through the financial advisor network of Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC. As part of this arrangement, Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC may compensate Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC for its selling efforts with respect to the Series 2017 Bonds.

LEGALITY FOR INVESTMENT

The Series 2017 Bonds are legal investments for the funds of all public officers and bodies and all political subdivisions of the State, and for the funds of all insurance companies and associations, banks, savings banks, savings institutions, including building or savings and loan associations, trust companies, personal representatives, guardians, trustees and all other persons and fiduciaries in the State who are regulated by law as to the character of their investment.

The Series 2017 Bonds may be deposited by banks with the Director of Finance as security for State moneys deposited in such banks.

APPROVAL OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

All legal matters incident to the authorization, issuance and sale of the Series 2017 Bonds are subject to the approval of Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP, New York, New York. Copies of the approving opinion of Bond Counsel will be available at the time of delivery of the Series 2017 Bonds and will be delivered with the Series 2017 Bonds. Proposed forms of the opinions of Bond Counsel are annexed as Appendix F. Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the Department by the Attorney General of the State and for the Underwriters by counsel to the Underwriters, Alston Hunt Floyd & Ing, Honolulu, Hawaii.

The Second Supplemental Indenture dated as of July 1, 2017, providing for the issuance of the Series 2017 Bonds has been approved as to form and legality by the Attorney General of the State.

RATINGS

Moody's Investors Service, S&P Global Ratings, A Division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, and Fitch Inc. have assigned ratings of "A2", "A+," and "A" respectively, to the Series 2017 Bonds.

An explanation of the significance of such ratings may be obtained from the company furnishing the rating. The ratings reflect only the respective views of such organizations and the Department makes no representation as to the appropriateness of the ratings. There is no assurance that such ratings will continue for any given period of time or that they will not be revised downward or withdrawn entirely by one, or all three rating companies, if in the judgment of one, or all three companies, circumstances so warrant. Any such downward revision or withdrawal of such ratings, or one of them, may have an adverse effect on the market price of the Series 2017 Bonds.

MUNICIPAL ADVISOR

The Department has retained Public Financial Management, Inc., as municipal advisor with respect to the issuance of the Series 2017 Bonds. Public Financial Management, Inc. is not obligated to undertake, and has not undertaken to make, an independent verification or to assume responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or fairness of the information contained in this Official Statement. Public Financial Management, Inc. is an independent financial advisory firm.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS IN THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT

Certain statements included or incorporated by reference in this Official Statement constitute "forward-looking statements." Such statements are generally identifiable by the terminology used such as "plan," "expect," "estimate," "budget" or similar words.

The achievement of certain results or other expectations contained in such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause actual results, performances or achievements described to be materially different from any future results, performances or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements if and when changes to its expectations, or events, conditions or circumstances on which such statements are based, occur, unless such updates or revisions are made in the course of fulfilling its continuing disclosure obligation, as described under "CONTINUING DISCLOSURE."

CONTINUING DISCLOSURE

In order to assist the Underwriters in complying with Rule 15c2-12 promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Rule 15c2-12"), the State, acting through its Director of Transportation, will undertake in a Continuing Disclosure Certificate, the form of which is set forth in Appendix G (the "Continuing Disclosure Certificate"), to provide to the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board on an annual basis certain financial and operating data concerning the Department's Airports System and ConRAC System, financial statements, notice of certain events and certain other notices, all as described in the Continuing Disclosure Certificate, provided that if the inclusion or format of such information is changed in any future official statement, annual reports provided by the State thereafter may instead contain or include by reference information of the type included in that official statement. The undertaking is an obligation of the Department that is enforceable as described in the Continuing Disclosure Certificate. Beneficial owners of the Series 2017 Bonds are third party beneficiaries of the Continuing Disclosure Certificate. The execution of the Continuing Disclosure Certificate is a condition precedent to the obligation of the Underwriters to purchase the Series 2017 Bonds.

In 2011, the Department failed to report a ratings upgrade in one instance, and on November 24, 2014, the Department self-reported this failure to the SEC under the Municipal Continuing Disclosure Cooperation Initiative. In 2013, the Department filed its annual report 24 days late. In 2015, the Department reported ratings upgrades 21 days late. The Department also failed to report the ratings upgrades of a bond insurer of its securities in 2013 and 2014. The Department has since put policies and procedures in place to enhance compliance with its continuing disclosure undertakings, including its undertakings in the Continuing Disclosure Certificate.

A failure by the Department to comply with the Continuing Disclosure Certificate will not constitute an event of default of the Series 2017 Bonds, although any beneficial owner of the Series 2017 Bonds may bring action to compel the Department to comply with its obligations under the Continuing Disclosure Certificate. Any such failure must be reported in accordance with Rule 15c2-12 and must be considered by any broker, dealer or municipal securities dealer before recommending the purchase or sale of the Series 2017 Bonds in the secondary market.

Consequently, such a failure may adversely affect the transferability and liquidity of the Series 2017 Bonds and their market price.

MISCELLANEOUS

The references herein to Acts of the State Legislature, the Indenture (including the supplements) and the ConRAC Leases do not purport to be complete and are subject to the detailed provisions thereof to which reference is hereby made. The Department has provided the information in this Official Statement relating to the ConRAC System, and other matters, as indicated.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STATE OF HAWAII

By: /s/ Ford N. Fuchigami

Ford N. Fuchigami Director of Transportation [THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

APPENDIX A

Report of the Consultant

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

<u>>ו∕</u> יו⊂ғ

Report of the Consultant

on the proposed issue of State of Hawai'i Department of Transportation

for and on behalf of its Airports Division

The State of Hawai'i Airports System Customer Facility Charge Revenue Bonds Series 2017A

Prepared for: State of Hawai'i Department of Transportation

Prepared by: ICF Cambridge, MA

June 28, 2017

[This page intentionally left blank]

או∠ ר⊂ד

June 28, 2017

Mr. Ford N. Fuchigami Director Department of Transportation State of Hawaii 869 Punchbowl Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Re: Report of the Consultant Proposed Issuance of Customer Facility Charge Revenue Bonds, Series 2017A Hawaii Airports System

Dear Mr. Fuchigami:

ICF SH&E, Inc. (ICF) is pleased to submit this Report of the Consultant (the Report) to support the proposed issuance of the State of Hawaii, Airports System Customer Facility Charge Revenue Bonds, Series 2017A (the 2017 CFC Bonds) in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$254.0 million (preliminary and subject to change). The Report is undertaken to evaluate the ability of the Department of Transportation (the Department) to meet the Rate Covenant and other bond covenants through the fiscal year ending June 30, 2023 (FY 2023). Capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this Report shall have the meanings as included in:

- The Indenture of Trust Securing the Statewide System of Airports of the State of Hawaii Customer Facility Charge Revenue Bonds, as amended and supplemented (the CFC Indenture), or
- The Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities Concession Agreement and Facility Leases (the RAC Agreements), executed by the Department of Transportation (the Department) and certain rental car companies (RACs) operating at Hawaii Airports System (the Signatory RACs).

The 2017 CFC Bonds are to be issued as the Initial Bonds under the CFC Indenture. The proceeds of the 2017 CFC Bonds will be used to (a) fund a portion of the project costs of the Hawaii ConRAC Program (defined below) at Hawaii Airports System, (b) fund the Debt Service Reserve Fund related to the 2017 CFC Bonds, (c) fund the Rolling Coverage Fund, and (d) pay the issuance costs of the 2017 CFC Bonds.

The 2017 CFC Bonds are payable only from Trust Estate pledged to the payment of the Bonds, which further includes Pledged Receipts and other rights assigned by the Department to the Trustee. Pledged Receipts include all customer facility charge (CFC) received or receivable by the Trustee and the balance of certain funds, among other sources of revenues. The 2017 CFC Bonds are not payable from Aviation Revenues and Fuel Taxes, which include, among other revenues, the concession fees paid by RACs.

BACKGROUND

This section summarizes the basic information on the Hawaii Airports System, Hawaii ConRAC Program to be partially funded from the proceeds of the proposed 2017 CFC Bonds, CFC enabling legislation, RAC Agreements, and CFC Indenture.

Hawaii Airports System

The Hawaii Airports System includes a system of 15 airports owned by the State of Hawaii (the State or Hawaii) and operated by the Department of Transportation, Airports Division (the Airports Division) as an enterprise fund of the State. The Hawaii Airports System had 17.2 million enplaned passengers in FY 2016. Daniel K. Inouye International Airport (previously named Honolulu International Airport, or HNL) is a large-hub airport defined by the Federal Aviation Administration (the FAA) and ranked the 28th largest airport in the U.S.

based on the calendar year 2015 revenue enplaned passengers. Kahului Airport (OGG) is the second largest airport in the Hawaii Airports System, a medium-hub ranked the 53rd largest airport in the U.S. Small-hub airports include Hilo International Airport (ITO), Ellison Onizuka Kona International Airport at Keahole (KOA), and Lihue Airport (LIH), which, together with HNL and OGG, are referred to as the Primary Airports. The other 10 non-hub and general aviation airports are collectively referred to as the Non-primary Airports.

Hawaii ConRAC Program

The Hawaii ConRAC Program includes a collection of rental car related projects at the Hawaii Airports System. As of the date of this Report, the Hawaii ConRAC Program includes:

- A consolidated rental car facility (ConRAC) at HNL and related enabling projects, expected to be substantially completed by June 2020. The HNL ConRAC will be a five-story structure in front of lobbies 7 and 8 of the Overseas Terminal, including a multi-level Quick Turnaround Area (QTA), a multi-level ready/return area, a Customer Service Area (CSA), a ground transportation terminal, and other related facilities;
- A ConRAC at OGG and related enabling projects, expected to be substantially completed by October 2018. The OGG ConRAC will be a three-story building with a basement level located to the southwest of the Passenger Terminal Building at OGG, including a multi-level QTA, a multi-level ready/return area, a CSA, a people mover system (the Tram), and other related facilities;
- Land acquisition at LIH; and
- Other program related expenses.

The Hawaii ConRAC Program has a total current cost estimate of \$901.4 million, with all the main construction projects under contract. The Department has started evaluating the options and the feasibility of constructing a ConRAC at LIH. As of the date of this Report, the Department has not determined to include the potential LIH ConRAC in the Hawaii ConRAC Program. In FY 2016, CFC transaction days at LIH were 2.5 million, less than half of the CFC transaction days at OGG. For the purpose of this Report, it was assumed the Hawaii ConRAC Program only includes the components described above. Facilities under the Hawaii ConRAC Program, once completed, are collectively referred to as the ConRAC System.

CFC Enabling Legislation

A CFC is a user fee imposed by an airport operator on each rental car user, collected by rental car companies. Act 226, Session Laws of Hawaii 2008, revised HRS Sections 261-5.6 and 261-7(f) and set the CFC collection level at the Hawaii Airports System at \$1.00 per CFC transaction day, effective September 1, 2008. Act 204, Session Laws of Hawaii 2010, revised HRS Section 261-7(f) and increased the CFC collection level to \$4.50 per transaction day, effective September 1, 2010.

Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) Section 261-5.6 Rental motor vehicle customer facility charge special fund governs the collection and use of the customer facility charge in the State. CFC revenues can only be used for enhancement, renovation, operation, and maintenance of existing rental motor vehicle customer facilities and the development of new rental motor vehicle customer facilities and related services at State airports. HRS Section 261-7(f) provides the CFC level per transaction day - \$4.50 as of May 2017. The Department has the power to adjust the CFC level when necessary, without rulemaking or legislative approval.

Other CFC-related bills were introduced and vetoed in previous state legislative sessions. Future legislations cannot violate the Contract Clause of the U.S. Constitution, and the DOT has established a contractual obligation to use the CFCs for the debt service on the Bonds through the Indenture, among other uses of the CFCs. For the purpose of this Report, it was assumed that there would be no additional legislation regarding the collection and use of CFC revenues.

RAC Agreements

In May 2015, the Department executed separate but substantially similar RAC Agreements with 5 RACs, which have been conducting a majority of rental car operations at the Hawaii Airports System. As of the date of this Report, the RAC Agreements cover the access to statewide rental car facilities, including the HNL ConRAC,



the OGG ConRAC, and any future ConRACs that the Department may construct. The RAC Agreements are effective on the day of execution, and expire on the 30th anniversary date of facility occupancy or the retirement date of all Bonds issued under the CFC Indenture, whichever is later, unless terminated earlier by either the State due to RAC's violation of the RAC Agreements.

Starting from the Payment Commencement Date that is estimated to be April 2019 for the OGG ConRAC and December 2020 for the HNL ConRAC, the Signatory RACs will:

- Starting paying ground rents;
- Pay Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments, if any, which is required if 115 percent of debt service on Bonds and other required fund deposits are higher than annual CFC revenues;
- Continue paying concession fees, which equal the Minimum Annual Guarantee (MAGs), or 10 percent of Gross Receipts, whichever is higher; and
- Continue collecting CFCs and promptly remitting to the Trustee. RAC shall have no legal or equitable ownership or property interest in or to the CFCs.

Ground rents and concession fees are not pledged for the payment of principal and interest on Bonds.

CFC Indenture

The CFC Indenture provides for the establishment of funds and accounts and specifies that all Revenues received by the Trustee shall be deposited to the CFC Revenue Fund. After the Permanent Financing Date, which is the date of issuance for the proposed 2017 CFC Bonds, the Trustee will apply the money in the CFC Revenue Fund to the Debt Service Fund, and then to other funds and accounts pursuant to Section 5.03(c) of the CFC Indenture.

In Section 6.04, the Department covenants to:

- Require the Signatory RACs to remit the CFC collection directly to the Trustee, as provided in the RAC Agreements;
- Set the CFC to meet the Annual CFC Target, to the extent permitted by law;
- Require the Signatory RACs to remit the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments to the Trustee, as provided in the RAC Agreements; and
- Ensure the sum of CFCs and Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments, together with the funds in the Rolling Coverage Fund (up to 25 percent of the Aggregate Debt Service) is no less than 140 percent of the Aggregate Debt Service, referred to as the Rate Covenant.

The 2017 CFC Bonds are to be issued as the Initial Bonds under the CFC Indenture. The Department expects to issue an additional series of Bonds in January 2019 (the 2019 CFC Bonds) to provide additional funding for the ConRAC Program and to refund the EB-5 Bonds. For the purpose of this Report, it was assumed that no bonds would be issued after the 2019 CFC Bonds.

ASSUMPTIONS, FORECASTS AND CONCLUSIONS

This section discusses the nature of the assumptions detailed in the attachment of this Report, forecast results, and conclusions.

Economy and Traffic

Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth within the State has historically outperformed the U.S. but has moderated following the 2008 – 2009 financial crisis. In 2015, GDP growth for the State was 2.3 percent compared to overall U.S. GDP growth of 2.6 percent. Enplaned passenger count for the Hawaii Airports System reached 17.5 million in FY 2008, before declining to 14.9 million in FY 2009 due to the economic recession. Enplaned passenger count has since recovered to 17.2 million in FY 2016. Based on the assumptions and discussions provided in the Report, total enplaned passenger are forecast to increase to 19.6



million in FY 2023, or on average to increase by 1.9 percent annually. International, domestic overseas, and interisland enplaned passengers are forecast to increase by 2.7 percent, 2.2 percent and 1.2 percent per year on average from FY 2016 to FY 2023.

CFC Transaction Days

CFC transaction days increased from 12.4 million in FY 2013 to 15.2 million in FY 2016, primarily driven by the CFC collection of Signatory RACs for off-airport locations, and visitor growth. Key factors affecting CFC transaction days include visitor characteristics, cost of car rentals, alternative means of transportation, availability of rental cars, and other general factors, each discussed in details in the attachment to the Report. Based on the following considerations and assumptions, among other issues:

- 1. Visitors are forecast to increase approximately 1.8 percent on average per year from FY 2017 to FY 2023;
- 2. CFC car rental ratios, expressed as the number of CFC transactions divided by the number of visitors, are expected to be stable from FY 2018 to FY 2023, except that the ratio at HNL is assumed to decline by 0.1 percentage point due to competition from other modes of transportation and other factors; and
- CFC durations, or days per CFC transaction, are expected to increase by 1 percent annually from FY 2018 to FY 2023 for airports on the islands of Hawaii and Kauai due to continuing development of tourism facilities and expected favorable mix of visitors, and to be stable at other airports in Hawaii Airports System.

CFC transaction days are forecast to increase approximately 1.8 percent on average per year from FY 2017 to FY 2023, the same growth rates for visitors during the same period.

Debt Service and Other Fund Requirements

Public Financial Management, Inc. (PFM), the Airports Division's financial advisor, provided estimated sources and uses of the bond fund and gross debt service for the Proposed 2017 CFC Bonds and the planned 2019 CFC Bonds. Aggregate Debt Service, net of interest earnings of certain funds, is expected to increase to \$33.5 million in FY 2020 and stay virtually flat until the final maturity year of 2048. In addition, it was assumed:

- The annual requirement of the Administrative Expense Fund would be \$0.2 million, escalated at 5 percent annually;
- The annual deposit to the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund would be 0.5 percent of the replacement value, escalated at 5 percent annually; and
- > Operating and Maintenance expenses would be as follows, escalated at 5 percent annually:
- The O&M expenses for the HNL ConRAC and related bussing costs are assumed to be approximately \$13 million annually starting from January 2021, or half year in FY 2021; and
- The O&M expenses for the OGG ConRAC and related Tram are assumed to be approximately \$8 million annually starting from April 1, 2019.



Conclusions

Based on the information, expectations and assumptions presented in the Report, we expect the Department to be able to generate adequate CFCs at the current collection level of \$4.50 to meet the requirements of the Rate Covenant and other covenants specified in Section 6.04 of the CFC Indenture. The following table summarizes the forecasts of visitor arrivals, CFC collections, debt service, and debt service coverage.

	Actual	Est.			Fore	ecast		
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Visitors	12,275	12,826	13,079	13,317	13,556	13,797	14,040	14,283
% Change	2.5%	4.5%	2.0%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.7%
CFC Transaction	15,167	15,671	15,925	16,216	16,508	16,803	17,101	17,399
CFC Level	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
CFC Revenues	\$68,250	\$70,520	\$71,663	\$72,970	\$74,287	\$75,616	\$76,953	\$78,293
Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rolling Coverage Fund Balance (a)	-	-	3,203	6,551	8,368	8,368	8,368	8,367
Total Available	\$68,250	\$70,520	\$74,866	\$79,521	\$82,655	\$83,984	\$85,321	\$86,660
Debt Service Requirement	524	685	12,812	26,205	33,471	33,473	33,473	33,466
Debt Service Coverage	13033%	10288%	584%	303%	247%	251%	255%	259%
Required Coverage	140%	140%	140%	140%	140%	140%	140%	140%

Note: (a) Limited to no more than 25% of Aggregate Debt Service.

Source: historical – Airports Division record; estimated and forecast – ICF.

REPORT LIMITATION

The forecasts and projections presented in this Report are based on our interpretation of information provided by the Airports Division, publically available sources and other third-parties, which ICF was under no duty to and has not undertaken to independently verify and as such, makes no assurances as to the accuracy of any such information; expectations of future management actions, and assumptions regarding economy, air traffic, legislation, airport operation and financial operations, among other aspects, all of which have been discussed with and agreed to by the Airports Division management. The Report, including this letter and attachment, documents our interpretation, expectations and assumptions as of the date of the Report, and should be read in its entirety. As agreed to by the Airports Division management, the forecasts fairly present the expected financial results.

However, any forecast is subject to uncertainty, which may lead to actual results substantially different from the forecast results presented in this Report. The report is provided on an as-is basis with no warranty of any kind. ICF does not, nor does anyone acting on behalf of ICF warrant the achievability of the forecast presented in the Report.

NO WARRANTY, WHETHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE IS GIVEN OR MADE BY ICF IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT. ICF IS NOT LIABLE FOR ANY DAMAGES OF ANY KIND ATTRIBUTABLE TO USE THIS REPORT.

We are pleased to assist the Department in this proposed financing.

Sincerely,

ICF

ICF



[This page intentionally left blank]

Attachment A

Information, Expectations and Assumptions for

Report of the Consultant

on the proposed issuance of

STATE OF HAWAII, AIRPORTS SYSTEM CUSTOMER FACILITY CHARGE REVENUE BONDS SERIES 2017A



[This page intentionally left blank]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Intr	oduction	15
2.	Air	ports Systems Facility and Hawaii CONRAC Program	17
	2.1	The State	17
	2.2	The State Government	17
	2.3	Hawaii Airports System	18
		2.3.1 Daniel K. Inouye International Airport (HNL)	18
		2.3.2 Kahului Airport (OGG)	20
		2.3.3 Ellison Onizuka Kona International Airport at Keahole (KOA) and Hilo International Airport (ITO)	20
		2.3.4 Lihue Airport (LIH)	21
		2.3.5 Secondary Airports	21
	2.4	Hawaii ConRAC Program	21
		2.4.1 HNL ConRAC Program	21
		2.4.2 OGG ConRAC Program	23
		2.4.3 Other RAC Projects	
3.		onomy and Traffic	
	3.1	Economic Characteristics of the Hawaii Aviation Market	27
		3.1.1 Population	27
		3.1.2 Gross Domestic Product (GDP)	28
		3.1.3 Employment	29
		3.1.4 Personal Income	33
	3.2	Visitors and the Tourism Sector	35
	3.3	Trends in Air Service and Traffic	38
		3.3.1 Airport Passengers	38
		3.3.2 Hawaiian Airlines	49
		3.3.3 Island Air	
		Origin and Destination (O&D) Passengers	50
		3.3.5 HNL as an International Gateway and Connecting Hub	53
		3.3.6 New International Service at Kona	
		3.3.7 OGG as the Secondary Largest Airport in Hawaii	
		Domestic Overseas Airfares	55
		Interisland Airfares	
	3.4	Air Traffic and Visitor Forecasts	
		3.4.1 Forecast Assumptions	
		3.4.2 Forecast Risks	
		3.4.3 Enplaned Passenger Forecast Summary	
		3.4.4 Visitor Forecast Summary	
		3.4.5 Forecasts of Enplaned Passengers and Visitors	
4.		ntal Car Industry	
		The Rental Car Companies	
	4.2	Rental Car Market Trend	
		4.2.1 Industry Revenues and Market Share	
		4.2.2 Revenues per Vehicle	67



		4.2.3 Other Industry Trends	67
	4.3	Overview of Rental Car Market at Hawaii Airports System	68
		4.3.1 Gross Revenues	69
		4.3.2 Market Share	69
5.	For	recast of Transaction Days	.71
	5.1	Key Factors Affecting CFC Transaction Days at Hawaii Airports System	71
		5.1.1 Visitor Characteristics	71
		5.1.2 Cost of Car Rentals	74
		5.1.3 Alternative means of transportation	76
		5.1.4 Availability of Rental Car	77
		5.1.5 Other General Factors	77
	5.2	Forecast of CFC Transaction Days	78
		5.2.1 CFC Car Rental Ratios	78
		5.2.2 Average Duration of CFC Transactions	80
6.	Fin	ancial Section	.83
	6.1	Financial Framework	83
		6.1.1 Hawaii Legislation Regarding CFCs	83
		6.1.2 RAC Agreements	83
		6.1.3 The CFC Indenture	84
		6.1.4 Flow of Funds	85
		6.1.5 Financial Covenants	85
		6.1.6 Additional Bond Tests	87
	6.2	Financial Forecasts	87
		6.2.1 Project Costs and Sources of Funding	87
		6.2.2 Outstanding CFC Indebtedness – EB-5 Bonds	87
		6.2.3 Proposed 2017 CFC Bonds and Planned 2019 CFC Bonds	88
		6.2.4 Future Bonds	88
		6.2.5 Debt Service and Other Fund Deposits	88
		6.2.6 CFCs and Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments	89
		6.2.7 Application of Revenues	.89
		6.2.8 Financial Covenants	89
		6.2.9 Conclusions	90
	6.3	Sensitivity Tests	90



EXHIBITS

Exhibit 2.1-1: Hawaii Airports System	. 17
Exhibit 2.3-1: Hawaii Airports System	. 18
Exhibit 2.3-2: Profile of HNL Airport Facilities May 2017	. 19
Exhibit 2.4-1: Estimated Project Costs and Schedule (in millions)	.21
Exhibit 2.4-2: Proposed concept for HNL ConRAC	
Exhibit 2.4-3: OGG ConRAC	
Exhibit 3.1-1: Historical Hawaii and U.S. Population Growth CY 2000 – 2015	
Exhibit 3.1-2: Hawaii Population Forecast Comparison CY 2015 - 2030	
Exhibit 3.1-3: Annual Growth in Hawaii GDP and U.S. GDP 2000 -YTD 2016	
Exhibit 3.1-4: Hawaii GDP Forecasts Comparison CY 2016 - 2020	.29
Exhibit 3.1-5: Unemployment Rates for Hawaii and the U.S. January and July, 1992 - 2016	
Exhibit 3.1-6: Non-Agricultural Employment for Western States and Total U.S. December 2015 - 2016	
Exhibit 3.1-7: Non-Agricultural Employment by Industry Sector for Hawaii and the U.S.	.31
Exhibit 3.1-8: Non-Agricultural Employment by Industry Sector for Hawaii December 2006 - 2016	
Exhibit 3.1-9: Hawaii Top Employers	.33
Exhibit 3.1-10: Historical and Forecast Regional and National Income Growth CY 2000 - 2030	
Exhibit 3.2-1: Historical Visitors to Hawaii, by Origin Market CY 2003 – 2016	.35
Exhibit 3.2-2: Historical and Forecast Visitors to Hawaii by Origin Region CY 2003 – 2016	.35
Exhibit 3.2-3: Historical Visitors to Hawaii by Island for the Top Four MMAs CY 2007 – 2016	
Exhibit 3.2-4: Historical Visitors to Hawaii by Island CY 2007 - 2016	
Exhibit 3.2-5: Hawaii Inbound Visitor Characteristics CY 2016P	
Exhibit 3.3-1: Historical Enplaned Passenger Traffic at Hawaii Airports System FY 2003 – 2016	
Exhibit 3.3-2: Interisland and Overseas Share of Enplaned Passenger Traffic FY 2003 – 2016	
Exhibit 3.3-3: Enplaned Passenger at Hawaii Airports FY 2016	
Exhibit 3.3-4: Ranking of U.S Large Hub Airports Based on Enplaned Passengers CY 2005 - 2015	.40
Exhibit 3.3-5: Ranking of U.S Large Hub Airports Based on International Enplaned Passengers CY 2005 - 2015	10
Exhibit 3.3-6: Ranking of Top U.S Airports Based on Connecting Passengers CY 2005 - 2015	.40 //1
Exhibit 3.3-7: Top 20 U.S Airports Scheduled Weekly Departing Seats, by World Region To the U.S. Pacific	
Trust and International Destinations March 2017	
Exhibit 3.3-8: Scheduled Passenger Airlines Serving Hawaii March 2017	
Exhibit 3.3-9: Scheduled Hawaii - U.S. Mainland Services March 2017	
Exhibit 3.3-10: Scheduled International Destinations and U.S. Pacific Trust Services March 2017	44
Exhibit 3.3-11: Scheduled Interisland Services March 2017	
Exhibit 3.3-12: Enplaned Passenger Market Shares for HNL FY 2016 vs 2006	
Exhibit 3.3-13: Enplaned Passenger Market Shares for PNI Airports FY 2016 vs 2006	.46
Exhibit 3.3-14: Enplaned Passengers by Airline FY 2016 vs 2006	.47
Exhibit 3.3-15: Historical Enplaned Passengers for Hawaii Airports System FY 2003 - 2016	.48
Exhibit 3.3-16: Scheduled Annual Departing Seats to U.S. Mainland	.49
Exhibit 3.3-17: Scheduled Annual Departing Seats to International Destinations	.49
Exhibit 3.3-18: Ranking of Top U.S Airports by O&D Passengers CY 2005 -2015	.50
Exhibit 3.3-19: Annual Average Percent Change in Domestic O&D Passengers by Airport, FY 2003 - 2016	
Exhibit 3.3-20: Top 20 Domestic O&D Markets for HNL FY 2016	
Exhibit 3.3-21: Top 20 Domestic O&D Markets for PNI Airports FY 2016	
Exhibit 3.3-22: HNL Connecting Passengers by Destination Region FY 2016	.54
Exhibit 3.3-23: OGG Enplaned Passengers	.55
Exhibit 3.3-24: O&D Passengers and Average Fares in the Hawaii - U.S. Mainland Markets FY 2003 - 2016.	
Exhibit 3.3-25: Average Airline Fares and Yields from Hawaii to U.S. Mainland FY 2008 – 2016	
Exhibit 3.3-26: O&D Passengers and Average Fares in the Interisland Markets FY 2003 – 2016	
Exhibit 3.3-27: Average Airline Fares and Yields for Hawaii Interisland Markets FY 2008 – 2016	
Exhibit 3.4-1: Hawaii Airports System Enplaned Passenger Forecast	
Exhibit 3.4-2: Hawaii Airports System Visitor Forecast	
Exhibit 3.4-3: Hawaii Airports System Enplaned Traffic Forecast	
Exhibit 3.4-4: Hawaii Airports System Visitor Forecast	
Exhibit 4.2-1: U.S. Rental Car Companies Revenue	
Exhibit 4.2-2: U.S. Rental Car Fleet Volume Exhibit 4.2-3: Daily Revenues per Rental Vehicle	
Exhibit 4.2-3. Daily Revenues per Rental Venicle	
	. 00



Exhibit 4.3-2:	RAC Revenues On-airport	. 69
Exhibit 4.3-3:	On-Airport RAC Gross Revenues, Hawaii Airports System FY 2016	. 69
	1: Enplaned Passengers and CFC Transaction Days FY 2016	
	Trip Purposes CY 2016	
Exhibit 5.1-2:	Type of Trip Purchase CY 2016	.73
Exhibit 5.1-3:	Average Daily Spending on Car Rentals and Ground Transportation	.73
Exhibit 5.1-4:	Average Rental Car Revenues per CFC Transaction Day	.74
Exhibit 5.1-5:	Rental Car Prices (Full size) at OGG Week of April 23, 2017	.75
Exhibit 5.1-6:	CFC Levels at Selected U.S. Airports (as of September 2016)	.75
Exhibit 5.1-7:	Year-Over-Year Change of U.S. Rental Car Transaction Days Avis Budget Group, Inc.	.76
	Proposed Concept for HNL Rail Station	
	Visitors, CFC Car Rental Ratios, and CFC Transactions	
	Average Duration of DBEDT Visitors CY 2007 – 2016, 2007=100	
	Average Duration and CFC Transaction Days	
Exhibit 6.1-1:	Flow of Funds after Permanent Financing Date The CFC Indenture Hawaii Airports System	. 85
Table A:	Project Costs and Funding Sources	.91
Table B:	Sources and Uses of Funds	
Table C:	Fund Deposit Requirements	
Table D:	Forecast of Transaction Days and CFC Revenues	
Table E:	Calculation of Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments	
Table F:	Application of Revenues	
Table G:	CFC Covenants and Debt Service Coverage	
Table G1:	CFC Covenants and Debt Service Coverage – Sensitivity Test 1	
Table G2:	CFC Covenants and Debt Service Coverage – Sensitivity Test 2	.99

1. INTRODUCTION

The State of Hawaii Department of Transportation retained ICF to perform a feasibility analysis in connection with the issuance by the State of Hawaii of its Airports System Customer Facility Charge Revenue Bonds, Series 2017A (the 2017 CFC Bonds). The State intends to issue the 2017 CFC Bonds to finance a range of Hawaii ConRAC projects for the Hawaii Airports System. As of the date of this Report, the projects include a consolidated rental car facility at Honolulu (HNL), a consolidated rental car facility at Kahului (OGG), land acquisition at Lihue (LIH) and other program related expenses.

As part of this Program, ICF has prepared a market analysis and traffic, visitor, and financial forecasts which project the ability of the State to support bond payments and meet debt service coverage requirements of the 2017 CFC Bonds.

The organization of this Report is outlined as follows:

- <u>Section 2</u>: An overview of the Hawaii Airports System and the proposed ConRac projects being funded by the 2017 CFC Bonds;
- Section 3: An analysis of the underlying economic basis for air travel demand at the Hawaii Airports System and a review of current and long-term traffic and air service trends at the Airport;
- Section 3.4: A traffic and visitor forecast, developed by ICF, for the State based on historical trends in passenger traffic at the airports, historical and projected economic growth for the State, airline flight schedules and preliminary 2017 visitor statistics. These forecasts are used as inputs to project the financial feasibility of the Project;
- Section 4: An analysis and overview of the rental car industry at the Hawaii Airports System;
- Section 5 and 6: A CFC Rental Car Transaction Forecast and Financial Forecast, developed by ICF, based on three inputs:





[This page intentionally left blank]

2. AIRPORTS SYSTEMS FACILITY AND HAWAII CONRAC PROGRAM

The Hawaii Airports System includes 15 airports owned by the State and operated by the Department of Transportation through the Airports Division as an enterprise fund of the State. The airports in the Hawaii Airports System handle all commercial aviation activities of the State.

2.1 The State

The State comprises hundreds of islands spreading over 1,500 miles. The eight main islands, listed from northwest to southeast, are Niihau, Kauai, Oahu, Molokai, Lanai, Kahoolawe, Maui and Hawaii. The island of Hawaii, also known as the Big Island, is the largest island in the State. However, the island of Oahu has the highest population, accounting for two-thirds of the State's total population of 1.4 million in 2016. As shown in Exhibit 2-1, the 15 airports operated by the Airports Division are spread across six islands. The State is situated in the center of the Pacific Ocean and is recognized as one of the top global tourism destinations.



Exhibit 2-1: Hawaii Airports System

2.2 The State Government

The State government includes three branches: the executive branch led by the Governor, the legislative – the State Legislature, and the judicial – Hawaii State Judiciary. The governor is elected statewide every four years with the current governor elected in November 2014. The governor appoints all other state public officials, including the Director and the Deputy Directors for the Department. There are four Deputy Directors in the Department, one for each division of Administration, Airports, Harbors, and Highways. The Deputy Director – Airports leads the Airports Division on behalf of the Department and the State, and serves the same term as the governor.

The Airports Division relies on the central service of the Department for administrative and managerial duties and relies on other departments of the State for other business functions, such as financial services from the Department of Budget and Finance, or human resources services from the Department of Human Resources Development.

The Hawaii State Legislature consists of the State House of Representatives with 51 members and the State Senate of 25 members. The legislative sessions are held in the State Capitol building in the city of Honolulu annually, with the regular sessions starting on the third Wednesday in January for no more than 60 working

days. Among other activities, the Hawaii State Legislature reviews and approves biennium budget for the executive branch, including operating and capital budget of the Airports Division. Furthermore, the Legislature presents and assesses bills that may influence the operations of the Airports Division, such as the rate, collection and use of the CFCs.

2.3 Hawaii Airports System

The Hawaii Airports System is managed by the Department through its Airports Division as an enterprise fund of the State. The Hawaii Airports System includes 15 airports located on six islands, which handle all commercial aviation activities in the State. Air travel is the primary means of transportation for overseas visitors, which support the tourism industry of the State. According to Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism (DBEDT), more than 97 percent of overseas visitors arrived by air in the calendar year 2016.

Air travel is also the primary means of transportation for the State residents to travel between islands in the State. The only other commercial interisland transportation is the ferry services between Maui and Lanai.

There are no commercial airports on the islands of Niihau and Kahoolawe. As shown in Exhibit 2-2, the Hawaii Airports System includes one large-hub airport, one medium-hub airport, three small-hub airports, and 10 non-hub and general aviation airports.

- HNL is the largest airport in the Hawaii Airports System with 9.9 million enplaned passengers in FY 2016, accounting for 57.7 percent of the system-wide traffic of 17.2 million. HNL is the 28th largest U.S. airport ranked by the FAA based on the calendar year 2015 revenue enplaned passengers and is the primary port of entry for international arrival to the State. 68.4 percent of enplaned passengers at HNL head to overseas destinations, including domestic destinations in the U.S. mainland and international destinations in the Americas, Asia, and Oceania. The remaining 31.6 percent enplaned passengers fly interisland to other airports in the Hawaii Airports System;
- OGG is the second largest airport in the Hawaii Airports System with 3.4 million enplaned passengers in FY 2016, accounting for 19.7 percent of system-wide traffic. OGG is the 53rd largest airport in the U.S., with 57.3 percent of enplaned passengers flying overseas;
- ITO, KOA and LIH are the three small-hub airports. Both ITO and KOA are located on the island of Hawaii, with ITO serving primarily interisland traffic. In December 2016, Hawaiian Airlines started international flights between Tokyo-Haneda, Japan (HND) and KOA after the KOA Federal Inspection Service (FIS) station reopened making KOA the second port of entry for international arrivals by air. LIH is located on the island of Kauai, with 41.1 percent of enplaned passengers flying overseas; and
- Non-primary airports accounted for 1.3 percent of system-wide traffic in FY 2016.

Island	Primary Airports	Non-primary Airports
Oahu	 Daniel K. Inouye International Airport (HNL) 	Dillingham Airfield (HDH)Kalaeloa Airport (JRF)
Maui	Kahului Airport (OGG)	 Hana Airport (HNM) Kapalua Airport/West Maui Airport (JHM)
Hawaii	 Ellison Onizuka Kona International Airport at Keahole (KOA) Hilo International Airport (ITO) 	 Upolu Airport (UPP) Waimea-Kohala Airport (MUE)
Kauai	 Lihue Airport (LIH) 	 Port Allen Airport (PAK)
Lanai		 Lanai Airport (LNY)
Molokai		 Molokai Airport (MKK) Kalaupapa Airport (LUP)

Exhibit 2-2: Hawaii Airports System

Source: Airports Division records.

2.3.1 Daniel K. Inouye International Airport (HNL)

HNL is located on the south shore of the island of Oahu. HNL occupies 2,216 acres of land and 2,210 acres of water and is located approximately six miles west of downtown Honolulu and nine miles from Waikiki via
Interstate H-1. HNL can be accessed via Interstate H-1 and Nimitz Highway from both West Oahu and East Oahu.

Exhibit 2-3 provides a summary of the major airport facilities, and Exhibit 2-5 shows the layout of HNL.

Terminals/Concourses	Gate Number	Gates	Purposes
Overseas Terminal			
Diamond Head Concourse	6-13	8	Overseas flights
Central Concourse	14-25	12	Overseas flights
Ewa Concourse	26-34	9	Overseas flights
Interisland Terminal			
Makai Pier	49-53	5	Interisland flights
Interisland	54-61	8	Overseas/interisland
Commuter Terminal (a)			Interisland flights
All Terminals		42	
Runway		Length/width (fe	et)
8R/26L		12,000x200	
8L/26R		12,312x150	
4R/22L		9,000x150	
4L/22R		6,952x150	
8W/26W		5,000x300	Seaplane
4W/22W		3,000x150	Seaplane
Public parking spaces		5,151	

Exhibit 2-3: Profile of HNL Airport Facilities
May 2017

Note: (a) The Airports Division plans to demolish the Commuter Terminal to enable the construction of a new concourse.

Source: Airports Division Record.

HNL shares the runways with Hickam Air Force Base, which is located on the west side of HNL airfield. The HNL airfield has two sets of runways, and two offshore runways for seaplanes. The primary runway, designated 8R-26L or the Reef Runway, is 12,000 feet long and 200 feet wide. Runway 8L 26R is 12,312 feet long and 150 feet wide, parallel to the Reef Runway. Runway 4R/22L is 9,000 feet long and 150 feet wide, and runway 4L/22R is 6,952 feet long and 150 feet wide.

There are three terminal buildings at HNL:

- The Overseas Terminal serving overseas flights to the U.S. mainland and other overseas destinations. Of 29 gates in the Overseas Terminal, 27 gates are currently common use, and two gates are assigned on a preferential basis, one gate each to Alaska Airlines and Hawaiian Airlines. An international arrivals building is located between the Overseas Terminal and the Interisland Terminal;
- The Interisland Terminal serves both interisland and overseas flights. As of the date of this Report, Hawaiian Airlines uses all 13 gates at the Interisland Terminal on a preferential-use basis. The Mauka Concourse Program, an expansion of this terminal, is currently in progress. The program is expected to be substantially complete in 2020, adding 6 wide-body gates or 11 narrow-body gates; and
- The Commuter Terminal is a single-level facility that serves propeller aircraft traveling to other airports in the Hawaii Airports System. The Commuter Terminal is occupied by Island Air and Mokulele Airlines. The Airports Division plans to relocate both airlines and to demolish the Commuter Terminal to enable the construction of the Mauka Concourse Program.

HNL has three garages for public parking: the Overseas Parking Garage in front of the Overseas Terminal, the Interisland Parking Garage on levels 3 to 7 of the Interisland Terminal, and the International Parking Garage in front of the Interisland Terminal. The Airports Division modified the east side of the Overseas Parking Garage into an interim rental car facility and plans to revert the facility to public parking upon the completion of the HNL ConRAC. The Airports Division considers remaining parking facilities adequate to accommodate parking demand. The HNL ConRAC is being constructed to the east of the Overseas Parking Garage, as further discussed below.

Other facilities at HNL include a complex of general aviation, air cargo, and airport support facilities at the south ramp near Ke'ehi Lagoon, and a complex of maintenance and air cargo facilities, principally for the interisland airlines, located west of the terminal complex.

2.3.2 Kahului Airport (OGG)

OGG is a medium-hub airport located on the northern edge of the island of Maui, between Haleakala and the West Maui Mountain Range on the island of Maui. OGG occupies 1,391 acres and is located three miles east of the town of Kahului, accessible via the Airport Road that connects to Hana Highway. OGG has two intersecting runways, Runway 2/20 that is 6,995 feet long and 150 feet wide, and Runway 5/23 that is 4,990 feet long and 150 feet wide. A study is underway to evaluate the options to reconstruct Runway 2/20. For the purpose of this Report, it was assumed that the Airports Division would implement the reconstruction project without affecting air traffic if the project is actualized within the forecast period.

OGG has two terminal buildings: the Passenger Terminal Building with 16 gates that accommodate the majority of the commercial air traffic activities, and the Commuter Terminal that serves interisland commuter traffic. The surface parking lot is located directly in front of the Passenger Terminal Building. The OGG ConRAC is being constructed on the site southwest to the Passenger Terminal Building, as further discussed below. A general aviation area is located at the southeast corner of OGG.

2.3.3 Ellison Onizuka Kona International Airport at Keahole (KOA) and Hilo International Airport (ITO)

Both KOA and ITO are located on the island of Hawaii. KOA is located on the west shore of the island of Hawaii. KOA occupies 3,450 acres and is approximately 8 miles north of Kailua-Kona, accessible via Keahole Airport Road that connects to HI-19. KOA has one single runway, Runway 17/15 with 11,000 feet long and 150 feet wide.

KOA has two terminals: the Passenger Terminal with 10 boarding gates serving 14 aircraft parking positions, and the Commuter Terminal serving interisland commuter airlines and air tour/air taxi operators. The surface parking lot is located in front of the terminal. General aviation, cargo, and related facilities are located south of the passenger terminal complex.

The Airports Division has initiated the KOA Terminal Modernization Program, which, among other constructions, will provide for a centralized security checkpoint, baggage handling system, connectivity of the north and south holdrooms, additional space pre-security, concession space and other related facilities and equipment. The Airports Division plans to construct an international arrival building to replace the interim Federal Inspection Services (FIS) facility at KOA.

In December 2016, Hawaiian Airlines initiated service between Haneda, Japan and KOA, making KOA the 2nd port of entry for international visitors by air.

ITO is located on the east shore of the Island of Hawaii. ITO occupies 1,391 acres and is approximately 2 miles east to the town of Hilo, accessible via Airport Road that connects to HI-11, Mamalahoa Highway. ITO has two runways: Runway 8-26 that is 9,800 feet long and 150 feet wide, and Runway 3-21 that is 5,600 feet long and 150 feet wide.

ITO has two terminals: the Main Passenger Terminal with 10 gates, and the Commuter Terminal serving primarily helicopter air tours. The general aviation and air cargo facilities are primarily located on the west side of ITO.

2.3.4 Lihue Airport (LIH)

LIH is located on the southeast coast of the island of Kauai. LIH occupies 872 acres and is approximately 2 miles east of the town of Lihue, accessible via Ahukini Road that connects to HI-51, Kapule Highway. LIH has two runways: Runway 3/21 with 6,500-foot long and 150-foot wide, and Runway 17/35 with 6,500-foot long and 150-foot wide.

LIH has two terminal buildings: the Passenger Terminal has 8 gates with loading bridges and 4 hardstands, and the Commuter Terminal that services interisland traffic. A complex for cargo and general aviation operations is located to the northeast of the Passenger Terminal.

2.3.5 Secondary Airports

Ten additional airports are included in the Hawaii Airports System, referred to as the Non-primary airports. Six of the Non-primary airports (Hana Airport, Kalaupapa Airport, Kapalua Airport, Lanai Airport, Molokai Airport, and Waimea-Kohala Airport) are non-hub airports primarily offering commuter and air taxi service. The four remaining airports (Dillingham Airfield, Kalaeloa Airport, Port Allen Airport, and Upolu Airport) serve general aviation, the U.S. Coast Guard, military, and other uses.

2.4 Hawaii ConRAC Program

The Hawaii ConRAC Program includes a collection of rental car related projects at the Hawaii Airports System, including the HNL ConRAC, OGG ConRAC and other projects. Upon completion of each ConRAC, the Signatory RACs will move all of their operations to the ConRAC, including operations currently off-airport such as Alamo Rent A Car at HNL.

The following exhibit summarizes the cost estimate of Hawaii ConRAC Program.

	(III IIIIIIOIIS)			
	Tot	al		
	Cos	ts Spent	Remaining	
Programs				
HNL ConRAC Program (a)	\$ 438.	2 \$ 72.0	\$ 366.1	
OGG ConRAC Program (b)	436.4	120.3	316.2	
LIH Land Acquisition	21.3	9.3	12.0	
Program Support	5.5	<u> </u>	2.0	
Total Costs	\$ 901.	4 \$ 205.1	\$ 696.3	
Less Cash and Grant Used	(1.1	<u>1) (1.1)</u>		
CFC Pay-as-you-go and CFC Bonds	\$ 900.	3 \$ 204.0	\$ 696.3	

Exhibit 2-4: Estimated Project Costs and Schedule (in millions)

Notes: (a) Including costs of enabling roadway project and the interim facility.

(b) Including costs of enabling roadway project

Source: Airports Division record, December 2016.

2.4.1 HNL ConRAC Program

HNL ConRAC Program includes the following components:

- HNL Roadway and Misc. Improvements (\$8.8 million, completed), including roadway modification to enable the interim facility and the HNL ConRAC;
- HNL Interim Car Rental Facility (\$36.4 million, completed), including modifications to the east half of the existing overseas terminal garage as an interim rental car facility, so the current site can be vacated to enable the construction of the HNL ConRAC. The RACs started operating from the interim rental car

facility in November 2015;

- HNL ConRAC (\$376.9 million, ongoing), including the costs of design, construction management, and construction of the HNL ConRAC, expected to be substantially completed by June 2020. RACs may take an additional 6 months for tenant improvements before commencing operations at the HNL ConRAC; and
- Bus fleet, with an estimated cost of \$16.0 million.

As shown in Exhibit 2-5, the HNL ConRAC will be constructed in front of lobbies 7 and 8 of the Overseas Terminal. The facility will be situated on the site bordered by Aolele Street to the north, the overseas terminal garage to the west, the arrivals roadway to the south, and Paiea Street to the east.



Exhibit 2-5: Proposed concept for HNL ConRAC

Source: Airports Division records.

The HNL ConRAC will be a 5-story concrete building with approximately 1.8 million square feet of developed space. The HNL ConRAC will include the following components:

- A Customer Service Building (CSB), located on the second level of the facility;
- The CSB is the location where all rental car transactions take place. Arriving customers will be
 primarily directed to the CSB to initiate a contract agreement. The CSB will include customer service
 counters for all the RACs that are operating in the facility.
- > The ready/return area, located on the first, second, third, and fourth levels of the facility;
- The ready/return area is the parking garage portion of the facility, containing both, "ready" vehicles (rental car vehicles that are "ready" for rental), and "return" vehicles (rental car vehicles that have been "returned" by the customer). The ready/return areas will be allocated and branded by the various rental car companies operating in the facility, and will include approximately 2,200 stalls.
- The Quick Turn-Around (QTA) area, located on the first, second, third, and fourth levels of the facility;
- Customers returning their rented vehicle will be directed to park in front of the QTA area, which is approximately 291,000 square feet. Once the client's contract agreement is closed, each rental car company will take these vehicles to the QTA area for servicing. The QTA area includes fueling

stations, vacuum cleaning areas, car washers, and light maintenance services areas (to primarily check/replace fluids, tire maintenance, etc.).

- An overflow vehicle storage area located on the top level;
- A service yard, located on the first level of the facility;
- The service yard primarily consists of parking areas for service vehicles, trash and recyclable waste bins, and fuel tanks.
- A rental car bus depot, located on the first level of the facility, and related costs of shuttle buses;
- A consolidated rental car shuttle bus system will transport all rental car customers to and from the HNL ConRAC. As Baggage Claims 'G' & 'H', and Ticketing Lobbies 7 & 8 in the Overseas Terminal are located across the street from the facility, customers will be instructed to walk to and from the facility. Customers of any off-airport RACs will be required to take the shuttle bus to and from the HNL ConRAC, where they will be transported by the respective off-airport RACs. The Department is planning to purchase shuttle buses for the consolidated bus system.
- A Ground Transportation Center (GTC), located on the first level of the facility; and
- The GTC is intended to provide pick-up services for group tour vehicles and other pre-arranged ground transportation services for arriving customers originating from the eastern (Diamond Head) half of the Overseas Terminal.
- A pedestrian underpass, located on the basement level of the facility.
- All incoming and outgoing rental car and GTC customers from Baggage Claim 'G' & 'H', and Ticketing Lobbies 7 & 8 will be directed to the pedestrian underpass, for convenient and safe passage.

The Department awarded the construction contract of the HNL ConRAC to Watts Constructors, Inc. and issued the notice-to-proceed in July 2016. The cost estimate includes an allowance of approximately \$7 million for site conditions and an additional \$15.0 million for project contingencies. The Department expects that the HNL ConRAC to be completed within budget and on schedule. Planned substantial completion date is June 2020.

2.4.2 OGG ConRAC Program

OGG ConRAC Program includes the following components:

- Airport access road to Hana (\$59.1 million, ongoing): the new airport access road will connect Hana Highway to the airport loop road, and extend the airport loop to increase road capacity and reduce congestion. As of December 31, 2016, approximately \$46.3 million was spent. A portion of the remaining costs will be spent after the completion of the OGG ConRAC;
- A rental car storage lot (\$0.8 million, completed); and
- The OGG ConRAC (\$376.6 million, ongoing): including the costs of design, construction management, and construction of the OGG ConRAC, expected to be substantially completed by October 2018. The RACs may take an additional 6 months for tenant finish before commencing operations at the OGG ConRAC. As of December 31, 2016, approximately \$73.4 million, or 19.5 percent, was spent.

As shown in Exhibit 2-6, the OGG ConRAC will be constructed on a 26-acre site to the southwest of the Passenger Terminal Building at OGG. The new lot configuration will oblige the capacity of 4,400 rental vehicles and will also include perimeter landscaping, a 6-foot high perimeter security fence with barbed wire, interior perimeter guardrails, and secured vehicular and pedestrian access and egress.



Exhibit 2-6: OGG ConRAC

Source: Airports Division records

The OGG ConRAC will be a 3-story building with a basement level and include the following components:

- A CSB on the second level that includes the pedestrian plaza with stops for customer pick-up and dropoff, RAC exclusive use area, shared customer lobby, rental transaction counters and waiting area, access to pedestrian vertical circulation cores, and associated support area;
- A ready/return area with approximately 1,900 stalls, including two levels of vehicle ready and return areas, two levels of vehicle storage, pedestrian, vertical circulation cores, and associated support areas;
- A QTA area includes one level of facility service yard, vendor parking, fuel storage tanks, service related access areas, two levels of vehicle preparation area with support spaces and two levels of vehicle storage;
- An overflow lot located on the top uncovered level;
- An employee parking lot on the top level of ready/return garage, which could be relocated in case of higher rental car demand;
- The Tram to/from the terminal, which is electric powered and built on a rail system. Off-airport RACs will be required to pick up and drop off their customer at the ConRAC; and
- Related landscaping, roadway connection, utility and other enabling projects.

The Department awarded the construction contract to Hawaiian Dredging Construction Company, Inc. and issued the notice to proceed in April 2016. The cost estimate includes approximately \$20 million of project contingencies. The Department expects that the OGG ConRAC to be completed within budget and on schedule. Planned substantial completion date is October 2018.

2.4.3 Other RAC Projects

Other RAC Projects include land acquisition cost for LIH and other program-wide support costs. The Department has started evaluating the options and the feasibility of constructing a ConRAC at LIH, and directed Ricondo Associates, the program manager, to conduct a site location study. As of the date of this Report, the Department has not resolved to incorporate the potential LIH ConRAC in the Hawaii ConRAC Program. In FY 2016, CFC transaction days at LIH were 2.5 million, less than half of the CFC transaction days

at OGG. For the purpose of this Report, it was assumed the Hawaii ConRAC Program only includes the components described in this section.

[This page intentionally left blank]

3. ECONOMY AND TRAFFIC

3.1 Economic Characteristics of the Hawaii Aviation Market

Located in the central Pacific Ocean, Hawaii (the State) is a popular tourist destination for travelers from the United States as well as from Pacific Rim countries, such as Japan, Korea, and Australia. Hawaii is a western boundary of the United States (2,400 miles west of San Francisco and 3,800 miles east of Guam, the westernmost U.S. boundary) and has long been a major air transportation hub in the route system of Hawaiian Airlines.

GDP growth within the State has historically outperformed the U.S., but has moderated following the 2008 – 2009 financial crisis. In 2015, GDP growth for the State was 2.3 percent compared to overall U.S. GDP growth of 2.6 percent. The leisure and tourism industry in the State is mature, but continues to see growth with total visitors¹ growing by 4.2 percent per year since 2010. Personal income for the State is forecast to grow by 1.3 percent annually over the long-term (2015 – 2030). These economic drivers suggest air travel demand in the region will continue to grow.

This section of the report covers various economic indicators for the State and the outlook for long-term economic growth.

3.1.1 Population

Over the past 15 years the population of the State has grown consistently. From 2005 to 2015, population grew 1.1 percent per year, slightly higher than the overall U.S. population growth rate of 0.8 percent. As illustrated in Exhibit 3-1, the largest percentage in population increase within the State since 2000 has been in Hawaii County, higher than the counties of Maui, Kauai and Honolulu, which have grown at 1.7 percent, 1.4 percent, and 0.9 percent, respectively, each year.

		Historical	Estimate	Average Annual Growth			
	2000	2005	2010	2015	'00-'15	'05-'15	'10-'15
Population (in 000s)							
State of Hawaii	1,213.5	1,292.7	1,364.0	1,435.1	1.1%	1.1%	1.0%
By County:							
Honolulu	876.6	918.2	956.3	999.1	0.9%	0.8%	0.9%
Hawaii	149.2	168.2	185.3	197.7	1.9%	1.6%	1.3%
Maui	129.1	143.4	155.1	166.5	1.7%	1.5%	1.4%
Kauai	58.6	62.9	67.2	71.7	1.4%	1.3%	1.3%
United States	282,162.4	295,516.6	309,347.1	321,545.1	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%

Exhibit 3-1: Historical Hawaii and U.S. Population Growth CY 2000 – 2015

Note: Maui includes Maui and Kalawao. 1969-2014 Woods & Poole population data is historical from the U.S. Department of Commerce. 2015 is projected by Woods & Poole. Source: Woods & Poole Economics, 2016.

Population growth for the State is forecast by Woods & Poole, a Washington-based economic research, forecasting and data services firm that specializes in developing forecasts of economic and demographic information derived from U.S. Census data, to increase by 1.2 percent annually on average through 2030, slightly higher than the projected growth rate reported by the Hawaii State Department Economic Development and Tourism (DBEDT) of 0.8 percent annually; the U.S. average annual population growth rate is forecast at

^{0.9} percent through 2030.

¹ Department of Business, Economic Development & Tourism (DBEDT) conducts surveys for all overseas visitors which does not include residents of the State traveling within Hawaii and only counts each overseas visitor once regardless of how many islands the visitor may visit.





Source: Woods & Poole Economics, 2016 and Hawaii State Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism, as of July 1st 2040 Series.

3.1.2 Gross Domestic Product (GDP)

Another economic indicator contributing to traffic growth is the GDP. As seen in Exhibit 3-3, GDP within the State has outperformed the U.S. since 2001. However, as a result of the financial crisis that began in 2008, both the State and the overall U.S. GDP's showed signs of decline. This began to change from 2011 to 2014, with growth averaging 0.9 percent. Year-to-date 2016, the State's GDP increased by 1.9 percent₂. The strongest growth came from the first quarter with 2.5 percent increase. In the next five years, the State's GDP growth is forecast to increase over 1.6 percent annually through 2020; U.S. average annual GDP growth is forecast at 2.3 percent through 2020₃.





Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA).

² Year-to-date 2016 takes the average of the State's GDP to calculate the percentage increase from the prior year's quarter. 3 Woods & Poole 2016

Exhibit 3-4: Hawaii GDP Forecasts Comparison CY 2016 - 2020

01	2010-2	.020			
	Actual		Proje	ected	
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Real Gross Domestic Product	Ave	rage Annu	al Percer	nt Increase	e
DBEDT	2.0%	1.8%	1.7%	1.6%	1.6%
UHERO	2.3%	2.1%	1.9%	1.8%	n/a

Note: n/a not applicable.

Source: Hawaii State Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism (DBEDT) and University of Hawaii Economic Research Organization (UHERO).

3.1.3 Employment

For the past six years, the labor market both nationally and in the State has been improving. After the 2008 financial crisis, the overall U.S. unemployment rate increased from 4.6 percent in 2007 to over nine percent in 2009, and reaching its peak at 9.6 percent in 2010. The State saw a similar effect with unemployment rate peaking at 7.1 percent in 2009. As illustrated in Exhibit 3-5, the unemployment rate for the State was recorded at 3.2 percent in 2016, lower than the overall U.S. of 4.9 percent; since 2001, unemployment rate for the State has been lower than the overall U.S.





Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS).

As of December 2016, the State has been showing signs of growth with over 655 thousand employees in the labor force. With a 2.1 percent employment growth since December 2015, the State is the 5th fastest growing market compared to other western states within the U.S. (Exhibit 3-6).

Exhibit 3-6: Non-Agricultural Employment for Western States and Total U.S. December 2015 - 2016

Rank State		Non-Farm Empl	oyees ('000s)			Rank by
Rank	State	Dec 2016 (p)	Dec 2015	Net Change	Pct Change	Pct Change
1	California	16,606.6	16,274.1	332.5	2.0%	6
2	Washington	3,292.7	3,195.3	97.4	3.0%	2
3	Arizona	2,717.0	2,681.6	35.4	1.3%	9
4	Colorado	2,627.4	2,578.6	48.8	1.9%	8
5	Oregon	1,863.1	1,803.7	59.4	3.3%	1
6	Utah	1,437.1	1,398.1	39.0	2.8%	4
7	Nevada	1,306.0	1,267.7	38.3	3.0%	3
8	New Mexico	830.5	828.0	2.5	0.3%	11
9	Idaho	700.7	687.4	13.3	1.9%	7
10	Hawaii	655.2	642.0	13.2	2.1%	5
11	Montana	465.3	461.1	4.2	0.9%	10
12	Alaska	334.6	339.2	(4.6)	-1.4%	12
13	Wyoming	278.7	286.6	(7.9)	-2.8%	13
	United States	145,327.0	143,085.0	2,242.0	1.6%	

Note: 2016 numbers are preliminary.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS).

With a strong military and tourism presence, the leading industries in the State are the government and leisure & hospitality sectors, accounting for over 37 percent of the State's non-farm employees. As reflected in Exhibit 3-7, preliminary December 2016 figures show that the government and leisure & tourism sectors accounted for 19.4 percent and 18.4 percent, respectively, of non-farm employees in the State. Employment within the Leisure & Tourism and Mining, Logging, and Construction sectors has grown 4.5 percent and 3.4 percent, respectively, since December 2015.

Exhibit 3-7: Non-Agricultural Employment by Industry Sector for Hawaii and the U.S.

	December 2	016 (p)	December	2015	_	
	Non-Farm Employ	vees ('000s)	Non-Farm Emplo	yees ('000s)	Percen	t Change
Industry Sector	U.S	Hawaii	U.S	Hawaii	U.S	Hawaii
Government	22,286.0	127.2	22,098.0	126.9	0.9%	0.2%
Leisure & Hospitality	15,756.0	120.8	15,413.0	115.6	2.2%	4.5%
Trade, Transportation, and Utilities	27,400.0	120.5	27,043.0	118.0	1.3%	2.1%
Professional & Business Services	20,412.0	85.4	19,882.0	83.7	2.7%	2.0%
Education & Health Services	22,866.0	85.0	22,318.0	82.7	2.5%	2.8%
Mining, Logging, and Construction	7,441.0	39.2	7,371.0	37.9	0.9%	3.4%
Financial Activities	8,365.0	28.1	8,188.0	27.9	2.2%	0.7%
Other Services	5,701.0	26.7	5,651.0	26.8	0.9%	-0.4%
Manufacturing	12,336.0	13.7	12,359.0	13.7	-0.2%	0.0%
Information	2,764.0	8.6	2,762.0	8.8	0.1%	-2.3%
Total	145,327.0	655.2	143,085.0	642.0	1.6%	2.1%
Percent of Total						
Government	15.3%	19.4%	15.4%	19.8%		
Leisure & Hospitality	10.8%	18.4%	10.8%	18.0%		
Trade, Transportation, and Utilities	18.9%	18.4%	18.9%	18.4%		
Professional & Business Services	14.0%	13.0%	13.9%	13.0%		
Education & Health Services	15.7%	13.0%	15.6%	12.9%		
Mining, Logging, and Construction	5.1%	6.0%	5.2%	5.9%		
Financial Activities	5.8%	4.3%	5.7%	4.3%		
Other Services	3.9%	4.1%	3.9%	4.2%		
Manufacturing	8.5%	2.1%	8.6%	2.1%		
Information	1.9%	1.3%	1.9%	1.4%		
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

December 2015 - 2016

Note: 2016 numbers are preliminary.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS).

Overall, all major industries within the State have been showing continuous growth, except the Information sector, with Leisure & Tourism and Mining, Logging and Construction sectors leading the way. Since 2011, the Mining, Logging, and Construction sector increased from 4.4 percent to 6.0 percent of the States non-agricultural employment while the Leisure & Tourism sector increased from 17.4 percent to 18.4 percent, as seen in Exhibit 3-8. The Information sector decreased slightly from 1.5 percent of non-agricultural employment in 2011 to 1.3 percent in 2016.

Exhibit 3-8: Non-Agricultural Employment by Industry Sector for Hawaii
December 2006 - 2016

		_		_	_	_	_	_	_
	Non-Farm				CAGR			Change (
Industry Sector	2006	2011	2016 (p)	'06-'11	'11-'16	'06-'16	'06-'11	'11-'16	'06-'16
Government	122.5	125.0	127.2	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	2.5	2.2	4.7
Leisure & Hospitality	109.3	104.4	120.8	-0.9%	3.0%	1.0%	-4.9	16.4	11.5
Trade, Transportation, and Utilities	121.8	112.9	120.5	-1.5%	1.3%	-0.1%	-8.9	7.6	-1.3
Professional & Business Services	76.1	76.2	85.4	0.0%	2.3%	1.2%	0.1	9.2	9.3
Education & Health Services	72.3	76.6	85.0	1.2%	2.1%	1.6%	4.3	8.4	12.7
Mining, Logging, and Construction	38.7	28.9	39.2	-5.7%	6.3%	0.1%	-9.8	10.3	0.5
Financial Activities	30.1	26.6	28.1	-2.4%	1.1%	-0.7%	-3.5	1.5	-2.0
Other Services	26.4	26.0	26.7	-0.3%	0.5%	0.1%	-0.4	0.7	0.3
Manufacturing	15.4	13.3	13.7	-2.9%	0.6%	-1.2%	-2.1	0.4	-1.7
Information	10.9	8.7	8.6	-4.4%	-0.2%	-2.3%	-2.2	-0.1	-2.3
Total	623.5	598.6	655.2	-0.8%	1.8%	0.5%	-24.9	56.6	31.7
Percent of Total									
Government	19.6%	20.9%	19.4%	1.2%	-1.4%	-0.1%			
Leisure & Hospitality	17.5%	17.4%	18.4%	-0.1%	1.1%	0.5%			
Trade, Transportation, and Utilities	19.5%	18.9%	18.4%	-0.7%	-0.5%	-0.6%			
Professional & Business Services	12.2%	12.7%	13.0%	0.8%	0.5%	0.7%			
Education & Health Services	11.6%	12.8%	13.0%	2.0%	0.3%	1.1%			
Mining, Logging, and Construction	6.2%	4.8%	6.0%	-4.9%	4.4%	-0.4%			
Financial Activities	4.8%	4.4%	4.3%	-1.6%	-0.7%	-1.2%			
Other Services	4.2%	4.3%	4.1%	0.5%	-1.3%	-0.4%			
Manufacturing	2.5%	2.2%	2.1%	-2.1%	-1.2%	-1.7%			
Information	1.7%	1.5%	1.3%	-3.6%	-2.0%	-2.8%			
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%						

Note: 2016 numbers are preliminary.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS).

Exhibit 3-9 list the top 25 companies in the State based on employee size. These companies span several different industry sectors including health care, hospitality, and financial services.

Rank	Company	Industry	Employees
1	The Queen's Health System	Health Care	7,278
2	Hawaii Pacific Health	Health Care	6,617
3	Hawaiian Airlines Inc.	Airline	5,548
4	Starwood Hotels & Resorts Hawaii	Hospitality	5,507
5	Hilton Hotels Hawaii Region	Hospitality	5,400
6	Kaiser Foundation Health Plan	Health Care	4,424
7	Hawaiian Electric Industries Inc.	Energy & Financial Services	3,918
8	Securitas Security Services USA Inc.	Security Services	2,544
9	Kamehameha Schools	Education	2,218
10	Bank of Hawaii Corp.	Financial Services	2,216
11	Safeway Inc.	Retail	2,188
12	First Hawaiian Bank	Financial Services	2,070
13	Oahu Transit Services	Transit Services	1,850
14	Roberts Hawaii Holdings Inc.	Transportation & Entertainment	1,790
15	Hawaii Medical Service Association	Health Care	1,690
16	Matson Inc.	Shipping	1,663
17	Servco Pacific Inc.	Automotive Products & Services	1,642
18	Hawaii Pacific University	Education	1,625
19	Alexander & Baldwin Inc.	Real Estate & Agriculture	1,496
20	Oceanic Time Warner Cable	Communications	1,385
21	Hawaiian Telcom	Communications	1,322
22	Four Seasons Resort Hualalai	Hospitality	1,250
23	Castle Medical Center	Health Care	1,015
24	Halekulani Corp.	Hospitality	956
25	Grand Hyatt Kauai Resort & Spa	Hospitality	950

Exhibit 3-9: Hawaii Top Employers

Source: Pacific Business News, 2017 Book of Lists, as of December 2016.

3.1.4 Personal Income

Historically, the total income of the State has grown slightly faster than the U.S. and consistently above two percent per year, measured in 2009 dollars. As shown in Exhibit 3-10, the total personal income of the State grew 2.1 percent annually from 2005 to 2015. The strongest growth came from Hawaii, Maui & Kalawao and Kauai counties where the total income grew over 2.3 percent annually while growth within Honolulu County was slightly slower, growing at two percent per year since 2005.

Exhibit 3-10: Historical and Forecast Regional and National Income Growth
CY 2000 - 2030

					• • = •	20								
		Historical Estimates					Forecast							
Industry Sector	20	000	20	005	20	010	2015		2020		2025		2030	
Total Income (Millions)														
State of Hawaii	\$	42,232	\$	49,979	\$	55,810	\$	61,490	\$	69,941	\$	79,325	\$	89,06
By County:														
Honolulu	\$	32,655	\$	37,901	\$	42,476	\$	46, 273	\$	51,864	\$	57,936	\$	64,06
Hawaii	\$	3,928	\$	5,093	\$	5,663	\$	6,430	\$	7,567	\$	8,872	\$	10,26
Maui & Kalawao	\$	3,916	\$	4,889	\$	5,340	\$	6,127	\$	7,392	\$	8,875	\$	10,53
Kauai	\$	1,734	\$	2,095	\$	2, 330	\$	2,660	\$	3,118	\$	3,642	\$	4,20
United States	\$ 10),387,036	\$ 1 [.]	1,500,339	\$ 12	2,257,065	\$ 13	8,803,128	\$ 15	5,614,137	\$ 17	,598,690	\$ 19	,638,24
Average Annual Growth	'00	- '05	'05	- '15	'00	- '15	'15	- '20	'20	- '25	'20	- '30	'15	- '30
State of Hawaii	3.	4%	2.	1%	2.	5%	2.	6%	2.	6%	2.	4%	2.	5%
By County:														
Honolulu	3.	0%	2.	0%	2.	2.4% 2.3%		2.2%		2.	1%	2.2%		
Hawaii	5.	3%	2.	4%	3.	3.3% 3.3%		3.2%		3.1%		3.2%		
Maui & Kalawao	4.	5%	2.	3%	3.0% 3.8%		3.7%		3.6%		3.7%			
Kauai	3.9% 2.4		4%	2.9%		3.2%		3.2%		3.0%		3.1%		
United States	2.	1%	1.	8%	1.9%		2.5%		2.4%		2.3%		2.4%	
Per Capita Income														
State of Hawaii	\$	34,801	\$	38,661	\$	40,918	\$	42,848	\$	45,975	\$	49,214	\$	52,23
By County:														
Honolulu	\$	37,251	\$	41,279	\$	44,416	\$	46, 313	\$	49,862	\$	53,571	\$	57,10
Kauai	\$	29,605	\$	33, 323	\$	34,671	\$	37,075	\$	39,626	\$	42,261	\$	44,60
Maui & Kalawao	\$	30, 335	\$	34,084	\$	34,437	\$	36, 797	\$	39,823	\$	42,940	\$	45,88
Hawaii	\$	26,317	\$	30,274	\$	30,557	\$	32, 528	\$	34,898	\$	37,355	\$	39,52
United States	\$	36,812	\$	38,916	\$	39,622	\$	42,928	\$	46,375	\$	49,916	\$	53,24
Average Annual Growth	<u>'00</u>	- '05	'05	- '15	'00	- '15	'15	- '20	'20	- '25	'20	- '30	'15	- '30
State of Hawaii	2.	1%	1.	0%	1.	4%	1.4	4%	1.	4%	1.	2%	1.3	3%
By County:														
Honolulu	2.	2.1% 1.2%		1.	1.5% 1.5%		1.4%		1.	3%	1.4	4%		
Kauai	2.	4%	1.	1%	1.	5%	1.	3%	1.3%		1.	1%	1.2%	
Maui & Kalawao	2.	4%	0.	8%	1.	3%	1.	6%	1.5%		1.3%		1.5%	
Hawaii	2.	8%	0.	7%	1.	4%	1.4	4%	1.	4%	1.1%		1.3%	
United States	1.	1%	1.	0%	1.	6%	1.	6%	1.	5%	1.3%		1.4	4%

Note: 2015 numbers are estimates. Figures in 2009 dollars.

Source: Woods & Poole Economics, 2016.

On a per capita income level, the State has been on par or slightly higher than those of the U.S. In 2015, the State's per capita income was estimated at \$42,828, measured in 2009 dollars, which was 0.2 percent lower than the U.S. overall. However, as shown in Exhibit 3-10, the State's per capita income grew 1.4 percent annually from 2000 to 2015.

Within the State, Honolulu County has the highest per capita income, estimated at \$46,313 in 2015. Since 2000, the county' per capita income has been and continues to be significantly higher than the State as well as the overall U.S.

Total personal income in the State is forecast by Woods & Poole to increase by 2.5 percent annually from 2015 to 2030. More specifically, Hawaii, Maui & Kalawao and Kauai counties are expected to grow at a faster rate of over 3 percent annually while Honolulu County is projected to grow at 2.2 percent annually through 2030. Per Capita income in the State is forecast to grow at 1.3 percent annually, indicating economic growth and increase in spending power among the residents of Hawaii.

3.2 Visitors and the Tourism Sector

The State has been and continues to be a popular tourist destination for millions of tourists each year. DBEDT records visitors traveling from U.S. mainland or international markets (the DBEDT Visitors), and does not publish visitors from other islands in the State. The system-wide DBEDT Visitor count is higher than the total of DBEDT visitors, because the system-wide number includes the visitor only once, regardless of how many islands they may visit. The term "visitor' in this section refers to DBEDT Visitors.

In 2016, the State welcomed 8.8 million visitors by air, a 3.2 percent increase from the previous year as shown in Exhibit 3-11. The growth in visitors came from the westbound market with 62 percent of visitors coming from within the United States, a 0.7 percent annual increase per year since 2006. From the eastbound market, Japanese visitors accounted for over 16 percent of total visitors, a 0.9 percent annual increase per year since 2006. Overall, visitors to the State grew 2.5 percent per year since 2003.

		v	Vestbound					Eastbo	und			
	U.S West	U.S East	Canada	Europe	Westbound Total	Japan	Korea	China	Oceania	Eastbound Total	Other	Total
2003	2,609	1,653	205	111	4,578	1,340	49	25	96	1,510	292	6,380
2004	2,768	1,805	217	115	4,905	1,482	38	34	133	1,687	320	6,912
2005	3,032	1,929	249	112	5,322	1,517	35	43	143	1,738	356	7,416
2006	3,220	1,953	281	106	5,560	1,363	38	55	136	1,592	376	7,528
2007	3,245	1,902	334	108	5,589	1,296	42	57	162	1,557	350	7,496
2008	2,769	1,683	360	115	4,927	1,175	38	54	156	1,423	363	6,713
2009	2,719	1,561	347	104	4,731	1,168	51	42	137	1,398	291	6,420
2010	2,924	1,610	405	113	5,052	1,239	82	61	161	1,543	321	6,916
2011	2,995	1,642	478	120	5,235	1,242	113	82	208	1,645	294	7,174
2012	3,179	1,700	499	129	5,507	1,466	153	117	273	2,009	351	7,867
2013	3,211	1,702	517	137	5,567	1,519	177	125	355	2,176	260	8,003
2014	3,255	1,713	523	142	5,633	1,512	178	160	371	2,221	342	8,196
2015	3,507	1,804	512	145	5,968	1,482	194	174	400	2,250	345	8,563
2016	3,658	1,870	479	143	6,150	1,488	246	170	401	2,305	379	8,834
Average Anı	nual Percent	Growth										
2003 - 2006	7.3%	5.7%	11.1%	-1.5%	6.7%	0.6%	-8.1%	30.1%	12.3%	1.8%	8.8%	5.7
2006 - 2010	-2.4%	-4.7%	9.6%	1.6%	-2.4%	-2.4%	21.2%	2.6%	4.3%	-0.8%	-3.9%	-2.1
2010 -2016	3.8%	2.5%	2.8%	4.0%	3.3%	3.1%	20.1%	18.6%	16.4%	6.9%	2.8%	4.29

Exhibit 3-11: Historical Visitors to Hawaii, by Origin Market CY 2003 – 2016

Note: Visitors are shown in thousands.

Source: DBEDT.

As shown in Exhibit 3-12, historically there have been more westbound visitors than eastbound visitors. Westbound visitors have grown 2.3 percent annually per year since 2003, despite the dip in 2008 that resulted from the economic recession in the United States. Initially, the decrease in the value of the Japanese yen and the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) epidemic affected eastbound travelers, but visitor numbers have grown over 3.3 percent annually per year since 2003.





Source: DBEDT.

In FY 2016, 17.9% of the visitors visited more than one islands. Of the visitors that only visited one island, O'ahu accounted for 46.9 percent, followed by Maui (19.3 percent), Hawai'i Island (8.5%), and Kaua'i (7.3 percent). O'ahu welcomed an estimated 4.1 million visitors, a 4.6 percent increase per year since 2010. Most of the visitors came from the west coast of the United States and Japan, accounting for over 30 percent each of O'ahu's total visitors. Since 2010, the islands within the State have seen growth of over two percent from visitors within the U.S. mainland and Canada (except O'ahu with 0.4 percent growth). Visitors from Japan to O'ahu and Kaua'i grew by 4.5 percent and 0.1 percent annually per year, respectively, while Japanese visitors to Maui and Hawai'i Island have decreased. In 2016, over 17 percent of total visitors visited multiple islands, a 3.1 percent annual growth rate per year since 2010.

Exhibit 3-13: Historical Visitors to Hawaii by Island for the Top Four MMAs
CY 2007 – 2016

				State							U.S West	t		
Year	O'ahu	Kaua'i	Maui	Hawai'i Island	Multiple Islands	Total	Avg. Islands Visited	O'ahu	Kaua'i	Maui	Hawai'i Island	Multiple Islands	Total	Avg. Islands Visited
2007	3,177	527	1,367	624	1,802	7,497	1.37	1,035	365	863	387	595	3,245	1.26
2008	2,958	492	1,228	565	1,471	6,713	1.31	917	338	736	336	443	2,769	1.20
2009	2,934	473	1,178	548	1,287	6,420	1.27	947	325	716	334	396	2,719	1.18
2010	3,164	499	1,341	597	1,315	6,917	1.26	1,022	334	822	360	387	2,924	1.26
2011	3,259	535	1,391	629	1,360	7,174	1.26	1,027	364	839	379	387	2,995	1.16
2012	3,649	588	1,465	672	1,477	7,851	1.25	1,088	398	885	400	423	3,195	1.16
2013	3,745	597	1,465	658	1,538	8,003	1.26	1,119	407	885	387	414	3,211	1.16
2014	3,892	590	1,499	668	1,549	8,196	1.26	1,140	397	901	389	428	3,255	1.17
2015	4,039	630	1,610	730	1,554	8,563	1.25	1,206	432	995	443	432	3,508	1.16
2016 P	4,146	645	1,703	755	1,584	8,833	1.24	1,249	447	1,063	461	438	3,658	1.15
Average Ann	ual Percen	t Growth	1											
2007 - 2010	-0.1%	-1.8%	-0.6%	-1.5%	-10.0%	-2.6%		-0.4%	-2.9%	-1.6%	-2.4%	-13.4%	-3.4%	
2010 -2016	4.6%	4.4%	4.1%	4.0%	3.1%	4.2%		3.4%	5.0%	4.4%	4.2%	2.1%	3.8%	
				U.S East							Japan			
Year	O'ahu	Kaua'i	Maui	Hawai'i Island	Multiple Islands	Total	Avg. Islands Visited	O'ahu	Kaua'i	Maui	Hawai'i Island	Multiple Islands	Total	Avg. Islands Visited
0007	000	400	000	140	004	4 000	4.50	4 000	0	45	05	004	4 000	4.00
2007	630	126	333	149	664	1,902	1.59	1,009	3	15	35	234	1,296	1.20
2008	598	116	304	137	528	1,683	1.48	929	2	12	31	200	1,175	1.19
2009	587	112	281	129	452	1,561	1.43	945	1	10	23	189	1,168	1.18
2010	607	121	306	133	443	1,610	1.41	990	2	11	38	198	1,239	1.18
2011	611	124	311	145	451	1,642	1.41	1,009	3	12	31	187	1,242	1.17
2012	629	134	311	149	492	1,715	1.41	1,189	2	12	37	226	1,466	1.17
2013	623	133	312	150	484	1,702	1.41	1,243	3	14	39	219	1,519	1.17
2014	632	132	317	153	479	1,713	1.41	1,280	2	9	29	191	1,512	1.14
2015	678	134	342	162	489	1,804	1.40	1,278	3	11	28	162	1,482	1.12
2016 P	688	140	367	172	503	1,870	1.38	1,287	2	10	30	159	1,488	1.12
Average Ann														
2007 - 2010	-1.2%	-1.3%	-2.7%	-3.6%	-12.6%	-5.4%		-0.6%	-12.6%	-9.9%	3.1%	-5.5%	-1.5%	
2010 -2016	2.1%	2.5%	3.1%	4.4%	2.1%	2.5%		4.5%	0.1%	-2.0%	-3.8%	-3.5%	3.1%	
				Canada										
Year	O'ahu	Kaua'i	Maui	Hawai'i Island	Multiple Islands	Total	Avg. Islands Visited							
2007	107	12	90	19	105	333	1.57							
2008	116	14	110	26	95	360	1.42							
2009	107	15	119	29	76	347	1.33							
2010	126	21	139	34	86	405	1.31							
2011	145	25	169	40	98	478	1.30							
2012	135	30	187	48	113	513	1.27							
2013	140	32	192	49	104	517	1.31							
2014	131	37	195	55	105	523	1.32							
2015	135	36	189	54	98	512	1.31							
2016 P	129	32	180	49	89	479	1.26							
Average Ann	ual Percen	t Growth	<u>.</u>											
	F 00/	10.00/	15.4%	21.0%	-6.5%	6.7%								
2007 - 2010	5.6%	19.6%	13.4 /0	21.070	-0.570	0.7 /0								

Note: 2016 visitors are preliminary. Visitors are shown in thousands. Maui includes Maui, Molokai and Lanai.

Source: Hawaii Tourism Authority.

Exhibit 3-14: Historical Visitors to Hawaii by Island CY 2007 - 2016



Note: 2016 visitors are preliminary. Only includes visitor's visiting that county only. Maui includes Maui, Molokai and Lanai.

Source: Hawaii Tourism Authority.

As shown in Exhibit 3-15, in 2015, 87 percent of visitors traveled for personal reasons and 33 percent of visitors were visiting the State for the first time. Of those first timers, 50 percent were visiting from within the United States. Visitors from the west of the United States tend to stay 9.16 days on average, spending \$162 per person per day, while visitors from the east tend to stay a day longer and spend \$36 more per person per day. Japanese visitors spent on average 5.86 days in the State, shorter than system-wide average. However, Japanese visitors are the 3rd highest spenders, spending \$236 per person per day. Visitors from Europe and Canada tend to stay the longest compared to the other major market areas, but spend less than \$200 per person per day, on average.



Exhibit 3-15: Hawaii Inbound Visitor Characteristics CY 2016P

Note: Purpose of Trip – Leisure includes pleasure and visiting friends/relatives, Other includes attending school, sports events, and other, Business includes meetings/conventions/incentive and other business. Expenditure per person per day are for CY 2015.

Source: Hawaii Tourism Authority

3.3 Trends in Air Service and Traffic

As a single system, the Hawaii Airports System is one of the busiest in the United States, welcoming over 17.2 million enplaned passengers in FY 2016. HNL is the largest primary airport, serving as an important hub for the State. The State is also served by four other primary airports – ITO, KOA, LIH and OGG.

This section reviews recent and long-term trends in passenger traffic and airline services at the Hawaii Airports System. A comparison of the System's performance to that of other large U.S. airports is also presented.

3.3.1 Airport Passengers

In FY 2016, the State saw over 17.2 million enplaned passengers, a 3.2 percent increase from the previous year. As shown in Exhibit 3-16, the strongest growth came from the international market with a 4.5 percent growth since the prior fiscal year. Of those 17.2 million, 41.2 percent of passengers enplaned from other states within the United States, 17.3 percent from other countries and 41.5 percent from within the State. Of the 4 segments presented in Exhibit 3-17, overseas flights from non-HNL airports saw the highest percentage increase, from 11 percent of system-wide enplaned passengers in FY 2003 to 19.1 percent in FY 2016, reflecting more non-stop flights to those airports. Interisland traffic declined as a result of this trend, as well as changes in airline competition.

Passenger traffic in the Hawaii Airports System declined from 17.5 million in FY 2008 to 14.9 million in FY 2009 as a result of 2008-2009 global economic downturn, but is slowly returning to pre-recession levels in FY 2016. From FY 2011 to FY 2016, Hawaii Airports System passenger traffic grew by 2.4 percent annually.





Source: State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation.





Note: Primary Neighbor Island (PNI) Airports includes OGG, KOA, LIH, and ITO.

Source: State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation.

As shown in Exhibit 3-18, HNL is the largest commercial airport within the Hawaii Airports System, welcoming over 9.9 million enplaned passengers in FY 2016. Of overseas enplaned passengers, HNL accounted for 57 percent of those traveling from within the United States and 92.5 percent of those traveling from international markets. OGG, the second busiest airport after HNL, welcomed over 3.3 million enplaned passengers, capturing 25.1 percent of passengers traveling overseas from within the United States and 20.3 percent from within the State. Overall, HNL accounted for 57.7 percent and the other Primary Airports accounted for 41 percent of overall enplaned passengers in the State in FY 2016.

		Overseas			
	Mainland U.S	International	Total	Interisland	Total
Honolulu	4,043,179	2,755,117	6,798,296	3,138,295	9,936,591
Primary Neighbor Island Airports	3,056,042	223,710	3,279,752	3,779,314	7,059,066
Kahului	1,779,035	170, 194	1,949,229	1,449,726	3,398,955
Kona	676,467	30, 634	707,101	859,215	1,566,316
Lihue	565,561	22,882	588,443	844, 190	1,432,633
Hilo	34,978		34,978	626, 183	661,161
Other Airports				219,704	219,704
Hawaii Airports System	7,099,220	2,978,827	10,078,047	7,137,313	17,215,360
Share of Total					
Honolulu	57.0%	92.5%	67.5%	44.0%	57.7%
Primary Neighbor Island Airports	43.0%	7.5%	32.5%	53.0%	41.0%
Kahului	25.1%	5.7%	19.3%	20.3%	19.7%
Kona	9.5%	1.0%	7.0%	12.0%	9.1%
Lihue	8.0%	0.8%	5.8%	11.8%	8.3%
Hilo	0.5%	-	0.3%	8.8%	3.8%
Other Airports	-	•	-	3.1%	1.3%
Hawaii Airports System	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Exhibit 3-18: Enplaned Passenger at Hawaii Airports FY 2016

Note: HNL and KOA have Federal Inspection Service (FIS) while international arrivals from other airports are pre-cleared.

Source: State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation.

In terms of total enplaned passengers, international enplaned passengers and connecting passengers, HNL is among the top U.S. Airports, as shown in Exhibit 3-19, Exhibit 3-20, and Exhibit 3-21. The Hawaii Airports System, if evaluate as an airport, would be ranked as the 17th busiest in the country in CY 2015. In CY 2015, enplanement traffic at the Hawaii Airports System increased by three percent over the prior year, welcoming 16.4 million enplaned passengers. As a mature market, HNL welcomed over 9.5 million enplaned passengers, 1.1 percent increase over the prior year.

Exhibit 3-19: Ranking of U.S Large Hub Airports Based on Enplaned Passengers	
CY 2005 - 2015	

		Enplan (milli	ements ions)	CA	GR				ements ions)	CAG	GR
Rank	Airport	2005	2015	'05 - '15	'14 - '15	Rank	Airport	2005	2015	'05 - '15	'14 - '15
1	Atlanta	42.3	49.3	1.6%	5.9%	17	Boston	13.1	16.3	2.2%	5.6%
2	Los Angeles	29.3	36.4	2.2%	6.3%	18	Detroit	17.4	16.2	-0.7%	3.0%
3	Chicago O'Hare	36.5	36.2	-0.1%	7.6%	19	Philadelphia	15.4	15.1	-0.2%	2.2%
4	Dallas/Fort Worth	27.8	31.6	1.3%	2.6%	20	New York La Guardia	13.0	14.3	1.0%	6.2%
5	New York J F Kennedy	20.1	27.9	3.3%	6.5%	21	Fort Lauderdale	10.6	13.0	2.0%	9.0%
6	Denver	20.7	26.3	2.4%	1.1%	22	Baltimore	9.7	11.6	1.8%	6.5%
7	San Francisco	16.1	24.2	4.2%	6.5%	23	Washington National	8.6	11.2	2.7%	11.4%
8	Charlotte	14.0	21.9	4.6%	1.7%	24	Chicago Midway	8.4	10.8	2.6%	5.0%
9	Las Vegas	20.8	21.6	0.4%	6.3%	25	Salt Lake City	10.6	10.6	0.0%	4.9%
10	Phoenix	20.3	21.3	0.5%	4.9%	26	Washington Dulles	13.0	10.4	-2.2%	-0.1%
11	Houston (Bush)	19.0	20.6	0.8%	4.5%	27	San Diego	8.6	10.0	1.5%	7.2%
12	Miami	14.7	20.6	3.4%	7.9%	28	Honolulu	9.5	9.5	0.0%	1.1%
13	Seattle/Tacoma	14.3	20.1	3.5%	12.8%	29	Tampa	9.3	9.1	-0.2%	7.3%
14	Orlando	16.5	18.7	1.3%	8.8%	30	Portland, Oregon	6.8	8.3	2.1%	5.9%
15	New York Newark	16.4	18.7	1.3%	5.6%						
16	Minneapolis	17.7	17.6	-0.1%	3.9%						
	Hawaii Airports System	15.6	16.4	0.5%	3.0%						
	Top 30 U.S Airports Total			1.5%	5.6%						

Note: Airports are ranked by 2015 Enplanements.

Source: U.S. DOT T-100.

As a leisure destination, HNL is one of the largest growing international hubs, welcoming 2.5 million international enplaned passengers in CY 2015. International traffic at HNL increased 2.2 percent from the prior year. Since 2005, HNL saw an annual growth of 2.2 percent in international enplaned passengers while overall Hawaii Airports System grew 2.7 percent on average per year.

Exhibit 3-20: Ranking of U.S Large Hub Airports Based on International Enplaned Passengers CY 2005 - 2015

			ements ions)	CA	GR				ements ions)	CA	GR
Rank	Airport	2005	2015	'05 - '15	'14 - '15	Rank	Airport	2005	2015	'05 - '15	'14 - '15
1	New York J F Kennedy	9.2	14.8	4.9%	7.5%	15	Seattle/Tacoma	1.1	2.2	6.9%	17.8%
2	Miami	6.9	10.1	3.8%	4.3%	16	Philadelphia	1.8	2.0	0.8%	1.2%
3	Los Angeles	8.1	9.9	2.0%	8.0%	17	Las Vegas	0.8	1.7	8.3%	3.9%
4	New York Newark	4.5	5.8	2.5%	0.1%	18	Detroit	1.8	1.6	-1.2%	-2.8%
5	Chicago O'Hare	5.3	5.7	0.8%	2.4%	19	Charlotte	1.0	1.5	4.5%	-3.0%
6	San Francisco	3.9	5.4	3.4%	8.9%	20	Minneapolis	1.2	1.3	0.8%	9.9%
7	Atlanta	3.6	5.4	4.1%	3.2%	21	Phoenix	0.9	1.1	2.7%	3.3%
8	Houston (Bush)	3.3	5.1	4.5%	8.4%	22	Denver	0.8	1.1	3.5%	-1.7%
9	Dallas/Fort Worth	2.4	3.8	4.7%	9.0%	23	New York La Guardia	0.8	0.9	2.0%	6.9%
10	Washington Dulles	2.4	3.5	4.0%	0.5%	24	Baltimore	0.3	0.5	5.5%	38.3%
						25	Chicago Midway	0.1	0.4	15.7%	29.8%
	Hawaii Airports System	2.1	2.7	2.7%	2.6%	26	San Diego	0.2	0.4	8.0%	4.1%
						27	Portland, Oregon	0.3	0.3	1.7%	22.3%
11	Fort Lauderdale	1.0	2.5	10.2%	16.6%	28	Tampa	0.2	0.3	4.7%	16.1%
12	Boston	1.9	2.5	2.9%	10.9%	29	Salt Lake City	0.2	0.3	4.2%	41.9%
13	Honolulu	2.0	2.5	2.2%	2.2%	30	Washington National	0.2	0.2	1.2%	10.1%
14	Orlando	1.0	2.5	9.4%	17.5%						
	Top 30 U.S Airports Total			3.6%	6.3%						

Note: Airports are ranked by 2015 International Enplanements.

Source: U.S. DOT T-100.

In CY 2015, HNL saw over 3.6 million connecting passengers (enplaned and deplaned), a 3.1 percent increase from the prior year. HNL connecting traffic has almost returned to pre-recession levels of 3.7 million in 2005. In 2015, connecting traffic growth of 3.1 percent at HNL and 4.9 percent for the overall Hawaii Airports System were faster than the top U.S. airports' average growth rate of 2.6 percent.

Exhibit 3-21: Ranking of Top U.S Airports Based on Connecting Passengers
CY 2005 - 2015

		Passer (milli	•	CA	GR				ions)	CA	GR
Rank	Airport	2005	2015	'05 - '15	'14 - '15	Rank	Airport	2005	2015	'05 - '15	'14 - '15
1	Atlanta	55.7	66.3	1.8%	3.2%	18	Chicago Midway	4.4	8.4	6.8%	3.2%
2	Dallas/Fort Worth	32.8	37.1	1.2%	0.7%	19	Baltimore	3.2	7.3	8.7%	15.3%
3	Chicago O'Hare	39.0	33.6	-1.5%	2.3%	20	Washington Dulles	9.5	7.2	-2.8%	-9.5%
4	Charlotte	20.5	32.2	4.6%	0.1%	21	Las Vegas	7.0	7.1	0.2%	8.7%
5	Houston (Bush)	22.3	22.1	-0.1%	1.5%						
6	Denver	19.5	20.6	0.5%	-4.7%		Hawaii Airports System	4.4	4.6	0.4%	4.9%
7	Phoenix	16.0	17.9	1.2%	2.9%						
8	Miami	11.5	17.2	4.2%	5.6%	22	Dallas Love Field	1.3	4.5	12.9%	81.1%
9	Minneapolis	18.9	16.4	-1.4%	2.6%	23	Houston (Hobby)	2.1	3.8	6.3%	-2.3%
10	Los Angeles	12.4	16.2	2.6%	-1.8%	24	Washington National	2.5	3.7	4.1%	6.4%
11	Detroit	18.5	15.7	-1.6%	0.2%	25	Honolulu	3.7	3.6	-0.3%	3.1%
12	Seattle/Tacoma	6.9	12.8	6.4%	25.3%	26	New York La Guardia	1.8	3.5	6.8%	17.0%
13	Philadelphia	10.8	11.6	0.7%	-6.2%	27	Fort Lauderdale	1.1	2.6	8.9%	10.0%
14	New York J F Kennedy	6.3	11.1	5.9%	0.4%	28	Portland, Oregon	2.0	2.5	2.4%	-2.8%
15	New York Newark	8.0	10.5	2.8%	3.4%	29	St. Louis	3.0	2.1	-3.6%	-1.5%
16	San Francisco	8.6	10.1	1.7%	3.4%	30	Nashville	1.4	1.9	3.0%	7.4%
17	Salt Lake City	10.2	9.4	-0.8%	-0.4%						
	Total 30 U.S Airports Total			1.5%	2.6%						

Note: Airports are ranked by 2015 total connecting passengers.

Source: U.S. DOT O&D Survey.

Among the top 20 U.S. airports, in March 2017, HNL has the most scheduled weekly seats to Japan. HNL is ranked 14th regarding departing seats to Japan, Oceania, Other Asia, Canada, U.S. Pacific Trust, and Oceania/Pacific region, with over 69 thousand seats weekly.

Exhibit 3-22: Top 20 U.S Airports Scheduled Weekly Departing Seats, by World Region
To the U.S. Pacific Trust and International Destinations
March 2017

Rank	Airport	Japan	China	South Korea	Other Asia	Canada	U.S Pacific Trust	Oceania / Pacific	Europe, Africa, Middle East	Latin America & Caribbean	Total
1	New York J F Kennedy	7	11	9	14	10	17	-	187	123	376
2	Los Angeles	16	21	15	27	24	-	33	62	78	276
3	Miami	-	-	-	-	10	14	-	56	194	274
4	Chicago O'Hare	9	9	4	8	21	3	-	56	42	151
5	New York Newark	2	5	-	10	18	8	-	69	36	147
6	San Francisco	9	16	7	27	16	-	8	42	18	144
7	Atlanta	2	-	2	-	9	8	-	42	76	139
8	Houston (Bush)	4	1	1	2	12	3	2	25	82	130
9	Fort Lauderdale	-	-	-	-	20	12	-	5	69	106
10	Dallas/Fort Worth	5	3	3	2	8	2	3	22	49	97
11	Washington Dulles	4	3	2	-	5	2	-	57	15	88
12	Boston	1	3	-	1	12	5	-	46	13	82
13	Orlando	-	-	-	-	19	13	-	18	28	78
14	Honolulu	41	3	6	2	5	2	10	-	-	69
15	Seattle/Tacoma	4	6	4	4	20	-	-	18	5	62
16	Minneapolis	2	-	-	-	10	1	-	8	26	47
17	Philadelphia	-	-	-	-	6	5	-	22	13	46
18	Detroit	4	3	3	-	6	0	-	15	11	42
19	Charlotte	-	-	-	-	3	5	-	8	25	41
20	Las Vegas	-	1	2	-	18	-	-	9	8	37
	Top 20 Airports	109	84	57	97	252	102	56	766	910	2,433

Note: Airports are ranked by Total Weekly Departing Seats. Seats are in thousands.

Source: Innovata.

As seen in Exhibit 3-23, in March 2017, HNL is the most served market in the Hawaii Airports System, with 23 airlines – eight U.S. and 15 foreign-flag airlines. The Primary Neighbor Island (PNI) Airports, which includes

OGG, KOA, LIH, and ITO, are served by nine airlines, which are mostly U.S. airlines with two Canadian airlines while other secondary airports are served by two or less U.S. airlines.

			viaioi	-						
Airline	HNL	OGG	KOA	LIH	ITO	МКК	JHM	LNY	HNM	MUE
U.S. Airlines	8	7	7	6	2	2	2	1	1	1
Alaska*	~	~	~	~						
Allegiant Air	~									
American Airlines	~	~	~	~						
Delta	~	~	~	~						
Hawaiian Air Lines	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
Island Air	~	~	~	~						
Mokulele Airlines	~	~	~			~	~		~	~
United	~	\checkmark	~	~	~					
Foreign-flag Airlines	15	2	2	1						
Air Canada	~	~	~							
Air China	~									
Air New Zealand	~									
All Nippon Airways	~									
Asiana Airlines	~									
China Airlines	~									
China Eastern Airlines	~									
Fiji Airways	~									
Japan Airlines	~									
Jetstar Airways	~									
Jin Air	~									
Korean Air	~									
Philippine Airlines	~									
Qantas Airways	~									
WestJet	~	~	~	~						
Total Carriers	23	9	9	7	2	2	2	1	1	1

Exhibit 3-23: Scheduled Passenger Airlines Serving Hawaii March 2017

Note: Alaska and Virgin America merged in December 2016

Source: Innovata.

Based on scheduled services published in Innovata, in March 2017, there are 773 weekly departures from the Primary Airports to the United States, as shown in Exhibit 3-24. Most of the U.S. services are from HNL and OGG, accounting for 75.9 percent of the total with 362 and 225 weekly departures, respectively. Los Angeles and San Francisco are the biggest destinations with 226 and 114 weekly flights, respectively.



Exhibit 3-24: Scheduled Hawaii - U.S. Mainland Services March 2017

Source: Innovata.

As shown in Exhibit 3-25, international services are mostly operated out of HNL with the exception of Canada. In March 2017, HNL has 248 weekly departures to Japan, China, South Korea, Taiwan, Oceania, Canada and the U.S. Pacific Territories. Overall, weekly departures to destinations within Japan accounted for 49.5 percent of total international departures while Canada accounted for 23.6 percent. Over 80 percent of scheduled international flights are flown on widebody aircrafts – 27.5 percent on A330, 26.5 percent on B767, 14.5 percent on B787, 8.5 percent on B787 and 4.7 percent on B747 aircraft.



Exhibit 3-25: Scheduled International Destinations and U.S. Pacific Trust Services March 2017

Source: Innovata.

For interisland, 2,436 weekly departures are scheduled in March 2017, as shown in Exhibit 3-26. Most interisland services are from HNL, OGG and KOA with 914, 543 and 314 scheduled weekly departures, respectively, accounting for 72.7 percent of interisland weekly departures. Fifty percent of scheduled interisland departures will be flown on a Boeing 717 aircraft while the rest are being flown on turboprops (with a few services on A330-200 from HNL and KOA).





Source: Innovata.

Hawaiian Airlines has been the dominant carrier in both the overseas and interisland markets to Hawaii. In FY 2016, 68.4 percent of HNL's enplaned passengers arrived from the oversea markets with Hawaiian Airlines as the leading carrier with a 19.3 percent market share, an eight percent increase from FY 2006. United has the second largest market share with 10.9 percent, a decline since 2006 after cutting back services to Japan. HNL's overseas enplaned passengers recovered from pre-recession levels in 2016, reaching a new peak of over 6.7 million in FY 2016, a 2.8 percent average annual growth from 2010-2016 and 0.6 percent since 2006.

The other 31.6 percent of enplaned passengers came from within the interisland markets with Hawaiian Airlines having the vast majority of 92.6 percent of interisland market share. Island Air, which provides interisland services, had 5.2 percent of interisland market share. As shown in Exhibit 3-27, HNL is well served with overseas and interisland services. In FY 2006, Aloha Airlines competed with Hawaiian Airlines within the interisland markets, providing interisland services. However, Aloha Airlines ceased operations in 2008, and Mesa Airlines, another carrier serving interisland market, ceased operations in 2014.





Note: Percentage may not add to 100 due to rounding. American Airline includes US Airways.

Source: State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation.

As shown in Exhibit 3-28, overseas enplaned passengers accounted for 46.5 percent of total enplaned passengers at PNI Airports with Alaska Airlines and United as the leading carriers with 12 percent and 10.7 percent market share, respectively. The share of overseas enplaned passengers increased from 36.1 percent in FY 2006 to 46.5 percent in FY 2016, indicating development of non-stop air service from U.S. mainland to the PNI Airports.

Interisland enplaned passengers accounted for 53.5 percent of PNI Airports enplaned passengers in FY 2016 with Hawaiian Airlines as the dominate carrier, accounting for 49.8 percent of total enplaned passengers.



Exhibit 3-28: Enplaned Passenger Market Shares for PNI Airports

Note: Primary Neighbor Island (PNI) Airports include Kahului, Kona, Lihue, and Hilo. Percentage may not add to 100 due to rounding. American Airline includes US Airways. Japan Airlines had interisland services in FY 2006.

Source: State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation.

Exhibit 3-29 shows a detailed breakout of U.S. and foreign-flag carriers at HNL, the PNI Airports and the Hawaii Airports System.

For the overseas market, Hawaiian Airlines had an average annual growth rates of 7.0 percent from FY 2006 to FY 2016, the highest among U.S. carriers. Hawaiian Airlines' average annual growth rates were 17.1 percent at the PNI Airports, compared to 5.4 percent at HNL. United Airlines and Delta Air Lines experienced a decline during the same period. However, in June 2017, United Airlines announced a plan to add 11 daily flights from U.S. to Hawaii starting December 2017, aiming to be the largest carrier serving Hawaii from overseas destinations. This announcement has not been incorporated in the traffic forecasts included in this Report. For the foreign-flag carriers, Japan Airlines also experienced a decline during the same period, but the decline was more than offset by higher traffic on other foreign-flag carries.

For the interisland market, Hawaiian Airlines gained a dominant market share in FY 2016 compared to FY 2006, and realized an average annual growth rate of 5.6 percent.

	Honolulu		CAGR Primary Neighbor Island			CAGR	Hawaii Airpo	CAGR	
Airline	FY 2006	FY 2016	'06 - '16	FY 2006	FY 2016	'06 - '16	FY 2006	FY 2016	'06 - '1
Overseas									
U.S Carriers	4,969,124	5,055,043	0.2%	2,217,954	3,056,042	3.3%	7,187,078	8,111,084	1.2%
Hawaiian	1,130,778	1,914,304	5.4%	104,814	506,087	17.1%	1,235,592	2,420,391	7.0%
United	1,508,876	1,086,858	-3.2%	938,132	754,075	-2.2%	2,447,008	1,840,933	-2.8%
Delta	1,360,352	976,685	-3.3%	322,987	335,548	0.4%	1,683,339	1,312,233	-2.5%
Alaska		388,910			845,488			1,234,398	
American	546,254	517,086	-0.5%	458,551	585,835	2.5%	1,004,805	1,102,920	0.9%
Allegiant		79,874						79,874	
Omni Air International	42,971	49,566	1.4%				42,971	49,566	1.4%
Other	379,893	41,760	-19.8%	393, 470	29,009	-23.0%	773,363	70, 769	-21.3%
Foreign Carriers	1,425,356	1,743,253	2.0%	57,439	223,710	14.6%	1,482,795	1,966,963	2.9%
Japan Airlines	897,810	555,331	-4.7%				897,810	555,331	-4.7%
WestJet		80,594			152,445			233,039	
Korean	56,393	218,702	14.5%				56,393	218,702	14.5%
All Nippon	79,638	218,357	10.6%				79,638	218,357	10.6%
Air Canada	97,360	79,512	-2.0%	29,117	71,265	9.4%	126,477	150,777	1.8%
Jetstar		136,290						136,290	
China Airlines	108,814	130,396	1.8%				108,814	130,396	1.8%
All Other	185,341	324,071	5.7%	28, 322		-100.0%	213,663	324,071	4.3%
Overseas Subtotal	6,394,480	6,798,296	0.6%	2,275,393	3,279,752	3.7%	8,669,873	10,078,047	1.5%
Interisland									
Hawaiian	1,811,516	2,905,387	4.8%	1,946,990	3,513,432	6.1%	3,758,506	6,490,752	5.6%
Hawaii Island Air	245,091	163,091	-4.0%	324,435	154,225	-7.2%	758,690	325,601	-8.1%
Mokulele		46,845			100,570			248,336	
Makani Kai Helicopters		22,269			9,197			69,789	
Aloha	1,499,228		-100.0%	1,629,222		-100.0%	3,128,536		-100.09
Mesa	14,674		-100.0%	14,221		-100.0%	28,895		-100.09
All Other	34,518	703	-32.3%	109, 173	1,890	-33.3%	184,635	2,835	-34.1%
Interisland Subtotal	3,605,027	3,138,295	-1.4%	4,024,041	3,779,314	-0.6%	7,859,262	7,137,313	-1.0%
otal	9,999,507	9,936,591	-0.1%	6,299,434	7,059,066	1.1%	16,529,135	17,215,360	0.4%

Exhibit 3-29: Enplaned Passengers by Airline FY 2016 vs 2006

Note: American Airline includes US Airways. Japan Airlines had interisland services in FY 2006.

Source: State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation.

Historical enplaned passengers for the Hawaii Airports System are shown in Exhibit 3-30.

						Enplaned Pa (Fiscal Year end	•							CAGR	
	2003	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	'03 - '16	'06 - '16	'10-'16
Hawaii Airports System															
Overseas	7,360,125	8,669,873	8,349,006	8,708,639	7,635,330	7,916,246	8,481,783	8,781,322	9,423,959	9,272,688	9,684,729	10,078,047	2.4%	1.5%	4.1%
Mainland U.S	5,274,823	6,452,951	6,312,647	6,609,947	5,739,019	5,820,676	6,326,228	6,291,224	6,659,078	6,481,469	6,834,201	7,099,220	2.3%	1.0%	3.4%
International	2,085,302	2,216,922	2,036,359	2,098,692	1,896,311	2,095,570	2, 155, 555	2,490,098	2,764,881	2,791,219	2,850,528	2,978,827	2.8%	3.0%	6.0%
InterIsland	8,202,252	7,859,262	8,951,154	8,792,597	7,206,820	7,162,646	6,800,509	6,784,974	7,118,800	7,022,309	6,998,066	7,137,313	-1.1%	-1.0%	-0.1%
Total	15,562,377	16,529,135	17,300,160	17,501,236	14,842,150	15,078,892	15,282,292	15,566,296	16,542,759	16,294,997	16,682,795	17,215,360	0.8%	0.4%	2.2%
Honolulu															
Overseas	5,648,017	6,394,480	6,294,614	6,349,420	5,559,960	5,766,969	5,985,883	6,104,142	6,620,624	6,503,798	6,605,637	6,798,296	1.4%	0.6%	2.8%
Mainland U.S	3,617,808	4,234,997	4,317,670	4,328,831	3,757,063	3,787,185	3,965,863	3,792,079	4,037,079	3,905,540	3,961,181	4,043,179	0.9%	-0.5%	1.1%
International	2,030,209	2,159,483	1,976,944	2,020,589	1,802,897	1,979,784	2,020,020	2,312,063	2,583,545	2,598,258	2,644,456	2,755,117	2.4%	2.5%	5.7%
InterIsland	3,803,194	3,605,027	4,117,825	4,030,471	3,339,291	3,351,144	3,176,115	3,154,076	3,232,462	3,196,439	3,101,890	3,138,295	-1.5%	-1.4%	-1.1%
Total	9,451,211	9,999,507	10,412,439	10,379,891	8,899,251	9,118,113	9,161,998	9,258,218	9,853,086	9,700,237	9,707,527	9,936,591	0.4%	-0.1%	1.4%
Primary Neighbor Island Airp	orts														
Overseas	1,712,108	2,275,393	2,054,392	2,359,219	2,075,370	2,149,277	2,495,900	2,677,180	2,803,335	2,768,890	3,079,092	3,279,752	5.1%	3.7%	7.3%
InterIsland	4,185,014	4,024,041	4,597,455	4,521,105	3,665,561	3,638,374	3,447,770	3,456,265	3,709,984	3,653,149	3,693,242	3,779,314	-0.8%	-0.6%	0.6%
Total	5,897,122	6,299,434	6,651,847	6,880,324	5,740,931	5,787,651	5,943,670	6,133,445	6,513,319	6,422,039	6,772,334	7,059,066	1.4%	1.1%	3.4%
Kahului (OGG)															
Overseas	1,195,546	1,494,669	1,356,628	1,517,353	1,254,604	1,306,866	1,537,593	1,591,597	1,642,016	1,637,202	1,822,152	1,949,229	3.8%	2.7%	6.9%
InterIsland	1,494,827	1,392,796	1,614,961	1,583,378	1,287,718	1,280,231	1,209,611	1,246,166	1,388,773	1,371,867	1,424,740	1,449,726	-0.2%	0.4%	2.1%
Total	2,690,373	2,887,465	2,971,589	3,100,731	2,542,322	2,587,097	2,747,204	2,837,763	3,030,789	3,009,069	3,246,892	3,398,955	1.8%	1.6%	4.7%
Kona (KOA)															
Overseas	306,521	488,699	466,854	474,163	456,832	467,903	538,395	539,293	569,962	561,167	649,683	707,101	6.6%	3.8%	7.1%
InterIsland	979,666	987,715	1,106,945	1,090,129	875,391	853,088	812,928	823,064	874,418	854,022	841,251	859,215	-1.0%	-1.4%	0.1%
Total	1,286,187	1,476,414	1,573,799	1,564,292	1,332,223	1,320,991	1,351,323	1,362,357	1,444,380	1,415,189	1,490,934	1,566,316	1.5%	0.6%	2.9%
Lihue (LIH)															
Overseas	210,041	285,114	194,983	342,795	363,934	374,508	416,522	494,375	542,820	544,551	569,900	588,443	8.2%	7.5%	7.8%
InterIsland	1,052,967	996,287	1,113,623	1,101,052	866,447	845,538	804,383	787,492	815,736	808,574	816,117	844,190	-1.7%	-1.6%	0.0%
Total	1,263,008	1,281,401	1,308,606	1,443,847	1,230,381	1,220,046	1,220,905	1,281,867	1,358,556	1,353,125	1,386,017	1,432,633	1.0%	1.1%	2.7%
Hilo (ITO)															
Overseas	-	6,911	35,927	24,908	-	-	3,390	51,915	48,537	25,970	37,357	34,978		17.6%	
InterIsland	657,554	647,243	761,926	746,546	636,005	659,517	620,848	599,543	631,057	618,686	611,134	626,183	-0.4%	-0.3%	-0.9%
Total	657,554	654, 154	797,853	771,454	636,005	659,517	624,238	651,458	679,594	644,656	648,491	661,161	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Other	214,044	230,194	235,874	241,021	201,968	173,128	176,624	174,633	176,354	172,721	202,934	219,704	0.2%	-0.5%	4.1%

Exhibit 3-30: Historical Enplaned Passengers for Hawaii Airports System FY 2003 - 2016

Source: State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation.



As shown in Exhibit 3-31, scheduled seats to the U.S. mainland from the Hawaii Airports System has recovered starting in 2012, reaching a new peak in FY 2016 at over 7.8 million scheduled seats. In FY 2016, 82.5 percent of scheduled seats are to the West Cost, 12.6 percent to the South, 2.9 percent to the Northeast and 2.0 percent to the Midwest. Based on advanced published schedules for FY 2017, overall Mainland U.S. scheduled seats will decline slightly by 1.2 percent with Midwest and Northeast increasing by 16.4 percent and 3.4 percent respectively, and West and South declining by 1.9 and 0.5 percent respectively.



Exhibit 3-31: Scheduled Annual Departing Seats to U.S. Mainland FY 2005 – 2017

Source: Innovata.

Internationally, scheduled seats have grown significantly since 2010, reaching a peak of 3.6 million in FY 2016 as shown in Exhibit 3-32. In FY 2016, Japan represented 51.7 percent of scheduled international seats, while Oceania and 'Other Asia' markets represented the State's second largest markets with 16.5 percent each of international seats. Based on advanced published schedules for FY 2017, total international scheduled seats will grow 5.6 percent with scheduled seats to Japan and 'Other Asia' increasing by 12.1 percent and 3.3 percent respectively. New Hawaiian Airlines Kona-Tokyo Haneda scheduled service, which started in December 2016 contributes to the strong growth in the Japan market.



Exhibit 3-32: Scheduled Annual Departing Seats to International Destinations and the U.S. Pacific Territories

Note: Pacific Territories include Guam and American Samoa; Other Asia includes China, Hong Kong, South Korea, Philippines, and Taiwan; Oceania includes Australia, Fiji, Kiribati, Marshall Islands, New Zealand, Samoa, Tahiti and Tonga.

Source: Innovata.

3.3.2 Hawaiian Airlines

Started in 1929, Hawaiian Airlines is the largest airline serving the State, accounting for 51.8 percent of total enplanements in FY 2016. Hawaiian Airlines has been an integral part of traveling between overseas and interisland markets. The airline operates mostly out of its main hub in HNL with OGG as a secondary hub. In FY 2016, on average, Hawaiian Airlines had 217 daily departures scheduled, 46.8 percent and 20 percent departing from HNL and OGG, respectively. At HNL, Hawaiian Airlines accounted for 27.8 percent of departing seats to U.S. mainland and 91.1 percent to other airports in the State. From FY 2006 to 2016, in terms of

enplanement, Hawaiian Airlines saw a 5.1 percent average annual growth per year at HNL and six percent overall. Domestic enplaned passengers of Hawaiian Airlines increased at an average annual growth rate of 4.8 percent at HNL, and at 5.6 percent at the Hawaii Airports System, primarily driven by the growth in the interisland market.

3.3.3 Island Air

As the second oldest Hawaiian carrier, started in 1980, Island Air has served the State for over three decades. In FY 2016, the carrier had the second largest market share of the interisland market with 7.2 percent. Island Air accounted for 7.4 percent of interisland department seats at HNL in FY 2016. With introduction of the Q400 aircraft into their fleet, Island Air announced in February 2017 that it would "significantly increase its roundtrip service between O'ahu and the neighbor islands." Based on advanced published schedule, the interisland market share for Island Air will increase from 7.4 percent in FY 2016 to 11.3 percent in FY 2017.

3.3.4 Origin and Destination (O&D)₄ Passengers

In CY 2015, HNL welcomed 12.6 million O&D passengers (enplaned and deplaned), a decline of 0.2 percent since 2005. However, O&D passengers from the international market increased to over 1.9 million in 2015, an average annual growth rate of 3.3 percent since CY 2005. Overall, Hawaii Airports System served 24.9 million O&D passengers and collectively, is ranked as the 12th largest O&D market in the United States. As shown in Exhibit 3-23, the Hawaii Airports System O&D passengers has increased at an average annual rate of 0.3 percent since 2005.

		O& Passe (milli	ngers	CAGR			O& Passe (mill	ngers	CAGR
Rank	Airport	2005	2015	'05-'15	Rank	Airport	2005	2015	'05-'15
1	Los Angeles	35.8	44.3	2.2%	15	Fort Lauderdale	19.3	21.5	1.1%
2	Chicago O'Hare	31.9	35.1	1.0%	16	Minneapolis	16.8	18.8	1.1%
3	Las Vegas	33.7	33.2	-0.2%	17	Washington National	14.8	18.7	2.4%
4	San Francisco	20.2	32.6	4.9%	18	San Diego	16.6	18.3	1.0%
5	Orlando	29.8	31.8	0.6%	19	Philadelphia	19.3	18.2	-0.6%
6	Atlanta	28.6	31.7	1.0%	20	Houston (Hobby)	14.6	16.8	1.4%
7	Denver	21.9	31.6	3.8%	21	Tampa	17.4	16.8	-0.3%
8	New York J F Kennedy	24.3	28.7	1.7%	22	Detroit	16.3	16.6	0.2%
9	Boston	22.3	27.5	2.1%	23	Miami	13.3	15.9	1.8%
10	Seattle/Tacoma	21.2	26.0	2.1%	24	Baltimore	16.0	15.9	0.0%
11	Dallas/Fort Worth	22.7	25.1	1.0%	25	Portland, Oregon	11.5	14.0	2.0%
					26	Chicago Midway	12.4	13.0	0.5%
	Hawaii Airports System	24.2	24.9	0.3%	27	Honolulu	12.9	12.6	-0.2%
					28	Salt Lake City	11.1	11.9	0.7%
12	New York Newark	22.1	24.2	0.9%	29	Charlotte	7.5	11.5	4.4%
13	Phoenix	24.3	24.2	0.0%	30	Austin	7.0	10.8	4.5%
14	New York La Guardia	23.6	24.1	0.2%					
	Top 30 U.S Airports Total			1.3%					

Exhibit 3-33: Ranking of Top U.S Airports by O&D Passengers CY 2005 -2015

Note: Airports ranked by the number of O&D passengers for 2015. Airports shown are the top 30 U.S.

Source: U.S. DOT O&D.

⁴ Origin and Destination (O&D) Passengers is a measure from the point of origination of a passenger to the final destination. It is the true trip of the passenger, although the passenger may change flights and planes at least once during the journey. It allows carriers to determine where their true business lies.



In FY 2016, the Hawaii Airports System welcomed over 25.1 million O&D passengers. Over 50 percent of O&D passengers are from the U.S. mainland. Overall, overseas travelers from the United States have grown over 1.5 percent at HNL, the PNI Airports, and the Hawaii Airports System as shown in Exhibit 3-34. Interisland passengers have declined between FY 2003 and FY 2016.



Exhibit 3-34: Annual Average Percent Change in Domestic O&D Passengers by Airport, FY 2003 - 2016

Note: Excludes U.S. Pacific Territories.

Source: U.S. DOT O&D Survey.

The top 20 domestic O&D markets, shown in Exhibit 3-35, accounted for over 83 percent of HNL's total domestic O&D passengers for FY 2016. The largest O&D market is the island of Maui, followed by Los Angeles and three airports within Hawaii – ITO, KOA and LIH. Collectively, the 5 destinations within the State welcomed over 4.1 million O&D passengers, 38.6 percent of overall HNL's O&D passengers.



			1 2010		
Rank	Market	Nonstop Miles	O&D Passengers	Percent of Total	Sched Wkly Nonstop Depts
1	Kahului	100	1,410,249	13.2%	268
2	Los Angeles	2,551	1,114,432	10.4%	99
3	San Francisco	2,395	912,887	8.5%	68
4	Hilo	216	874,489	8.2%	104
5	Kona	163	872, 192	8.2%	166
6	Kauai Island	101	869,334	8.1%	170
7	Las Vegas	2,758	444,892	4.2%	20
8	Seattle/Tacoma	2,674	407,317	3.8%	35
9	New York	4,973	305,460	2.9%	18
10	San Diego	2,609	302,153	2.8%	13
11	Portland	2,601	227,923	2.1%	14
12	Washington, DC	4,807	198, 124	1.9%	3
13	Phoenix	2,911	185,912	1.7%	20
14	Chicago	4,235	156,775	1.5%	7
15	Dallas/Fort Worth	3,776	126,087	1.2%	15
16	Denver	3,359	123,107	1.2%	7
17	Salt Lake City	2,990	121,720	1.1%	7
18	Sacramento	2,460	114,072	1.1%	7
19	Molokai	54	96,337	0.9%	98
20	Houston	3,902	93,255	0.9%	7
	Top 20 Markets		8,956,717	83.8%	1,146
	Other Markets		1,733,815	16.2%	130
	Total		10,690,532	100.0%	1,276

Exhibit 3-35: Top 20 Domestic O&D Markets for HNL FY 2016

Note: Los Angeles market includes BUR, LGB, ONT, and SNA airports, San Francisco market includes OAK, SFO, and SJC airports, New York market includes JFK, EWR, and LGA airports, Washington market includes BWI, IAD, and DCA airports, Chicago market includes MDW and ORD airports, Dallas/Fort Worth market includes DAL and DFW airports, Houston market includes HOU and IAH airports; excludes U.S. Pacific Territories.

Source: U.S. DOT O&D Survey and Innovata (March 2017).

From the PNI Airports, the top 20 domestic O&D markets, shown in Exhibit 3-36, accounted for over 80 percent of total PNI Airports O&D passengers for FY 2016. The largest O&D market is HNL, followed by San Francisco, accounting for 35 percent and 11 percent respectively of the total O&D passenger. Collectively, the 5 destinations within the State welcomed over 5.1 million O&D passengers, 44.4 percent of overall PNI Airports' O&D passengers.



		Г	1 2016		
Rank	Market	Avg Nonstop Miles	O&D Passengers	Percent of Total	Sched Wkly Nonstop Depts
1	Honolulu	145	4,026,264	35.0%	708
2	San Francisco	2,365	1,262,818	11.0%	106
3	Los Angeles	2,509	1,061,310	9.2%	127
4	Seattle/Tacoma	2,668	586,782	5.1%	64
5	Portland	101	328,974	2.9%	25
6	San Diego	2,590	317,250	2.8%	14
7	Phoenix	2,564	212,364	1.8%	27
8	Sacramento	195	186,655	1.6%	7
9	New York	103	167,460	1.5%	
10	Denver	2,866	163,240	1.4%	14
11	Las Vegas	2,431	152,704	1.3%	
12	Chicago	4,942	147,650	1.3%	1
13	Salt Lake City	3,325	139,784	1.2%	
14	Dallas/Fort Worth	2,720	110,477	1.0%	16
15	Minneapolis	4,205	100,733	0.9%	
16	Washington, DC	2,961	79,402	0.7%	
17	Boston	126	64,698	0.6%	
18	Hoolehua	3,729	62,278	0.5%	77
19	Houston	3,939	60,208	0.5%	
20	Anchorage	4,773	53,149	0.5%	5
	Top 20 Markets		9,284,200	80.8%	1,192
	Within PNI		1,079,714	9.4%	332
	Other Markets		1,124,320	9.8%	59
	Total		11,488,234	100.0%	1,582

Exhibit 3-36: Top 20 Domestic O&D Markets for PNI Airports FY 2016

Note: Primary Neighbor Island (PNI) airports include Kahului, Kona, Lihue, and Hilo; Los Angeles market includes BUR, LGB, ONT, and SNA airports, San Francisco market includes OAK, SFO, and SJC airports, New York market includes JFK, EWR, and LGA airports, Washington market includes BWI, IAD, and DCA airports, Chicago market includes MDW and ORD airports, Dallas/Fort Worth market includes DAL and DFW airports, Houston market includes HOU and IAH airports; excludes U.S. Pacific Territories.

Source: U.S. DOT O&D Survey and Innovata (March 2017).

3.3.5 HNL as an International Gateway and Connecting Hub

For several years, HNL has been the main international gateway to the State, making it the largest and busiest airport in the Hawaii Airports System. In FY 2016, HNL welcomed over 12.6 million O&D passengers, a 0.3 percent increase from the previous fiscal year. With 25 international, 21 domestic, and 7 interisland non-stop services, HNL has been playing an important role within the State's tourism industry. As shown in Exhibit 3-37, in FY 2016, HNL saw over 3.5 million connecting passengers, a two percent increase from the prior fiscal year. 77.7 percent of HNL's connecting passengers were traveling domestically – 43.2 percent to other airports within the State and 34.1 percent to the U.S. mainland. International passengers accounted for 22.3 percent of connecting passengers, with 7.9 percent to Japan.





Exhibit 3-37: HNL Connecting Passengers by Destination Region FY 2016

Note: Percentage may not add to 100 due to rounding. Pacific U.S. includes California, Washington, Alaska and Oregon; Rocky Mountain includes Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, Nevada, and Utah.

Source: U.S. DOT O&D Survey.

3.3.6 New International Service at Kona

In December 2016, Hawaiian Airlines began non-stop service from KOA to Tokyo-Haneda (HND). Japan Airlines announced in May 2017 that it would resume daily KOA-Tokyo Narita (NRT) service in September 2017 after ceasing service in 2010. With the reopened interim Federal Inspection Service (FIS) station, KOA becomes the second international point of entry to the State after HNL. While OGG, KOA, LIH currently offer limited Canada service, KOA is the only airport apart from HNL to offer long-haul international service.

3.3.7 OGG as the Secondary Largest Airport in Hawaii

OGG is the 2nd largest airport in the Hawaii Airports System, accounting for 19.7 percent of system-wide enplaned passengers in FY 2016. Because OGG does not have an FIS station, international travelers must connect through HNL or KOA in order to travel to the island of Maui, unless such passengers are from a precleared airport such as the Canadian airports. In FY 2016, two foreign flag carriers (Air Canada and WestJet) offered international service to OGG and six U.S. carriers (Alaska Airlines, Inc., American Airlines, Inc., Delta Air Lines, Inc., Hawaiian Airlines, Inc., United Airlines, Inc., and Virgin America, Inc.) offered services between U.S. mainland and Hawaii. Interisland service was primarily provided by Hawaiian Airlines, Inc., which accounted for 86.4 percent of interisland enplaned passengers at OGG, followed by Hawaii Island Air, Inc., (8.7 percent), Mokulele Flight Service, Inc. (4.2 percent), and other smaller airlines (less than 1 percent).

As shown in Exhibit 3-38, total enplaned passengers declined from 3.1 million in FY 2008 to 2.5 million in FY 2009, but recovered to 3.4 million in FY 2016. The increase was primarily driven by enplaned passengers to U.S. mainland, which increased from 1.4 million in FY 2008 to 1.8 million in FY 2016. Interisland enplaned passenger was 1.4 million in FY 2016, lower than 1.6 million in FY 2007, primarily due to bankruptcy of Aloha Airlines and more direct overseas flight.

OGG has two intersecting runways, Runway 2/20 that is 6,995 feet long and 150 feet wide, and Runway 5/23 that is 4,990 feet long and 150 feet wide. A study is underway to evaluate the options to reconstruct Runway 2/20. For the purpose of this Report, it was assumed that the Airports Division would implement the reconstruction project without affecting air traffic if the project is actualized within the forecast period.




Exhibit 3-38: OGG Enplaned Passengers

Source: State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation.

3.3.8 Domestic Overseas Airfares

Domestic overseas market continue to be one of the largest focus areas for the State with more than 12.7 million O&D passengers in FY 2016 and a one-way average fare of \$323. Due to the economic recession in 2008-2009, O&D passengers dropped from 11.7 million in FY 2008 to 10.1 million in FY 2009. A similar effect is seen in the average fare in FY 2010 where the fare dropped from \$283 in FY 2009 to \$269. After FY 2010, O&D passengers started increasing slowly, returning to its pre-recession level in FY 2016 while average fares increased in the last three years from \$307 in FY 2012 to \$327 in FY 2015 before dropping to \$322 in FY 2016.





Note: Excludes U.S. Pacific Territories.

Source: U.S. DOT O&D Survey accessed via Database Products.

The average yields in the domestic overseas market have been increasing over the past few years. As shown in Exhibit 3-40, since 2010, domestic passenger yield increased by over three percent annually at HNL, OGG, KOA and LIH, while passenger yield at ITO increased by 2.3 percent.



Exhibit 3-40: Average Airline Fares and Yields from Hawaii to U.S. Mainland FY 2008 – 2016

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Average One Way Airfare									
Honolulu	\$ 269	\$ 280	\$ 271	\$ 286	\$ 313	\$ 300	\$ 328	\$ 323	\$ 324
Kahului	\$ 262	\$ 281	\$ 255	\$ 263	\$ 291	\$ 292	\$ 327	\$ 320	\$ 311
Kona	\$ 292	\$ 297	\$ 274	\$ 283	\$ 311	\$ 312	\$ 357	\$ 348	\$ 331
Kauai Island	\$ 278	\$ 288	\$ 273	\$ 284	\$ 305	\$ 303	\$ 341	\$ 336	\$ 330
Hilo	\$ 274	\$ 313	\$ 297	\$ 312	\$ 322	\$ 313	\$ 357	\$ 350	\$ 344
Average Yield (cents per mile)									
Honolulu	7.9	8.2	8.0	8.5	9.3	9.0	9.8	9.7	9.7
Kahului	8.4	8.9	8.1	8.5	9.4	9.5	10.6	10.4	10.2
Kona	9.2	9.3	8.6	9.0	9.9	9.9	11.2	11.0	10.5
Kauai Island	8.7	8.9	8.4	8.7	9.5	9.5	10.6	10.5	10.4
Hilo	9.1	9.9	9.2	9.7	10.0	9.6	10.7	10.7	10.5

Note: Excludes U.S. Pacific Territories.

Source: U.S. DOT O&D Survey accessed via Database Products.

3.3.9 Interisland Airfares

In FY 2016, more than 9.4 million of interisland O&D passengers travelled within the Hawaii Airports System, with a one-way average fare of \$78. Similar to the O&D passengers in the domestic overseas market, interisland O&D passengers dropped from 12.5 million in FY 2008 to 10.1 million in FY 2009. The average fare saw an opposite effect where fare increased from \$45 to \$54 during FY 2009 and stayed around \$54 in FY 2010. After FY 2010, the interisland O&D passenger count has remained stagnant while average fares have increased in the last three years from \$72 in FY 2012 to \$77 in FY 2015.





Source: U.S. DOT O&D Survey.

As show in Exhibit 3-42, the average yields in the interisland market have increased over the past few years. Since 2010, average annual growth rate of yields in the interisland market exceeded 4.5 percent at all five airports.

Exhibit 3-42: Average Airline Fares and Yields for Hawaii Interisland Markets
FY 2008 – 2016

	2	008	2	009	2	010	2	011	2	012	2	013	2	014	2	015	2	016
verage One Way Airfare																		
Honolulu	\$	44	\$	53	\$	53	\$	70	\$	70	\$	69	\$	75	\$	76	\$	70
Kahului	\$	46	\$	54	\$	54	\$	69	\$	70	\$	68	\$	73	\$	72	\$	7
Kona	\$	48	\$	58	\$	58	\$	78	\$	75	\$	74	\$	83	\$	85	\$	8
Kauai Island	\$	48	\$	56	\$	57	\$	74	\$	74	\$	72	\$	77	\$	78	\$	8
Hilo	\$	48	\$	59	\$	58	\$	78	\$	77	\$	78	\$	84	\$	85	\$	8
erage Yield (cents per mile)																	
Honolulu	:	32.4	;	38.6	;	38.5	:	51.1	;	51.2	ŧ	50.4	;	54.5	ŧ	55.6	;	56.
Kahului		42.2	4	49.7	4	19.7	(53.3	6	63.9	6	52.0	6	6.9	6	6.9	6	67.
Kona	:	29.8	;	35.8	;	36.3		19.5	4	47.8	4	47.1	;	52.2	ę	53.8	;	53.
Kauai Island	;	37.1		44.2	4	15.2	;	57.4	;	57.1	ę	55.4	;	58.8	ę	57.8	;	58.
Hilo	:	22.1	:	27.4	:	26.9	:	36.0	:	35.5	:	36.4	:	39.3	:	39.9	:	38.

Source: U.S. DOT O&D Survey.

3.4 Air Traffic and Visitor Forecasts

ICF developed a traffic forecast to estimate the future air travel demand for the State and a visitor forecast used to forecast the CFC Transactions Days and the financial forecast in this Report. The visitor forecast may be higher or lower than the traffic forecast for that market because some visitors may visit multiple islands on the same trip, and arrive at other airports via interisland flights.

Forecast of enplaned passengers and visitors for the State were developed based on historic trends in passenger traffic at the airports, historical and projected economic growth for the State, airline flight schedules published in Innovata and preliminary FYTD 2017 visitor statistics.

3.4.1 Forecast Assumptions

The traffic and visitor forecasts for the Hawaii Airports System were based on the following, among other assumptions:

- 1. The State's economy will experience GDP growth averaging 2.3 percent per year during 2017 to 2023;
- 2. The State will continue to see tourism growth, with FY 2017 visitors growing at similar rates to those seen through FYTD February 2017 as published by Hawaii Tourism Authority;
- 3. HNL will continue to be the principal connecting hub and international gateway for Hawaiian Airlines;
- 4. The airlines serving the Hawaii Airports System will be financially viable and able to add the seating capacity required to accommodate additional demand;
- 5. The Department will continue implementing its capital program and provide capacity needed to realize the traffic forecast included in the Report; and
- 6. The share of connecting passengers over HNL and other Hawaii airports will remain constant during 2017 to 2023.

3.4.2 Forecast Risks

Any forecast is subject to uncertainties. Inevitably, some assumptions will not be realized, and unanticipated events and circumstances may occur. Therefore, there are likely to be differences between ICF's forecast and actual results, and those differences may be material. While the traffic and visitor forecasts are based on historical data and future assumptions that ICF believes are reasonable, some of the underlying assumptions that are detailed explicitly or implicitly may not materialize due to unforeseen events or circumstances. Some uncertainties to the forecasts include:

- National and global economy;
- Hawaii as a top global tourism destination;
- Airline industry status;
- Future jet fuel prices;
- Changes in air travel propensities; and
- Other general factors



3.4.2.1 National and Global Economy

Economic cycles are one of the primary factors affecting air traffic level at the Hawaii Airports System. More than 87 percent of overseas visitors travel to Hawaii for leisure purposes, which are considered to be more price-sensitive. As shown in Table 2.3-15, the number of enplaned passengers declined from 17.5 million in FY 2008 to 14.8 million in FY 2009, driven by the economic recession as well as changes in airline service due to airline bankruptcy. The related economic indicators, such as unemployment rate and real disposal income in the U.S., strongly correlates to the changes of the number of visitors from U.S. mainland to Hawaii.

In addition, the economic condition in Japan and other countries in the Pacific Rim as well as the exchange rates also affect the air traffic level at Hawaii. In FY 2016, international enplaned passengers accounted for 17.3 percent of system-wide enplaned passengers. The Bank of Japan increased its GDP forecast for Japan from 1.0 percent to 1.4 percent for 2017, implying continuous improvements in Japan economy. However, unexpected decline in Hawaii, national or global economy or unfavorable changes in exchange rates may negatively affect the forecast air traffic level.

3.4.2.2 Hawaii as a Top Global Tourism Destination

In FY 2016, approximately two-thirds of enplaned passengers at the Hawaii Airports System were overseas visitors, largely because Hawaii is a top global tourism destination. Therefore, the State's ability to continue developing tourism infrastructure and attractions and market Hawaii to global travelers are key factors in affecting air traffic levels. Negative developments, such as inadequate hotel capacity, outdated transportation infrastructure, higher-than-expected costs, or unfavorable environmental changes, may negatively affect the air traffic level. On the other hand, developments of additional infrastructure and tourism facilities, especially on the islands of Maui, Hawaii and Kauai, may attract additional visitors or repeat visitors.

3.4.2.3 Airline Industry Status

The realization of air traffic forecast depends on the supply of service, the airlines' willingness and ability to provide air seats at certain price levels, and demand, the travelers' willingness and ability to travel to Hawaii. According to U.S. Department of Transportation, U.S. passenger airlines had a combined operating profit of \$5.7 billion in 2000, and an operating loss of \$10.4 billion in 2001 as a result of the September 11th terrorist attack and ensuing economic recession. The operating result improved to a profit of \$6.7 billion in 2007 before declining to a loss of \$5.6 billion in 2008. The airline industry's profitability has since improved gradually, largely due to improving economy and capacity disciplines, leading to an operating profit of \$28.0 billion in 2015. During the economic downturns, many airlines reduced capacity or may go bankrupt.

Also as shown in Table 2.3-15, interisland enplaned passengers at the Hawaii Airports System declined from a peak of 9.0 million in 2007 to a trough of 6.8 million in 2009, partially due to bankruptcy of Aloha Airlines and reduced competition since. Changes in airline profitability, fare level, and consolidation will continue affect air traffic level at the Hawaii Airports System. Among other issues, Alaska Air Group Inc., parent company of Alaska Airlines, announced a merger with Virgin America Inc., and determined on March 22, 2017 to operate all Virgin America flights under Alaska Airlines' brand name starting 2019. This change may lead to the elimination of duplicate routes to Hawaii. In FY 2016, Virgin America accounted for 0.4 percent of system-wide enplaned passengers. Further airline consolidations may happen, and its effect on the forecast air traffic level is uncertain.

3.4.2.4 Future Jet Fuel Prices

Accordingly to U.S. Department of Transportation, the costs of jet fuel accounted for more than one-quarter of operating expenses for U.S. airlines. Bureau of Transportation Statistics reported that average cost per gallon of jet fuel increased to as high as \$3.69 in July 2008, and declined to as low as \$1.24 in February 2016, before recovering to \$1.65 in January 2017. Fluctuating jet fuel prices as well as availability of jet fuel will continue to affect the forecast air traffic level at the Hawaii Airports System.

3.4.2.5 Changes in Air Travel Propensity

Technology development and other changes may affect the propensity of air travel in the future. Historically, those concerns have been focused on issues such as electronical communication, video conferencing, and other technologies that may reduce the needs for business travel. The recent developments of virtual reality, drones and remote-controlled cameras may also lead to a reduction of the willingness for personal travel.

In addition, the development of alternative transportation mode may affect the air travel propensity. The potential implementation of Hyperloop, driverless car, or personal flying equipment may divert traffic from commercial airports, although it is a lesser concern for the State due to the nature of being an island. However, an interisland ferry service at a commercially viable price may affect air traffic level at the Hawaii Airports System. As of the date of this Report, there is no known plan to expand interisland ferry service, and the feasibility to develop such services is unknown.

3.4.2.6 Other General Factors

There are many other factors that potentially could affect the realization of the air traffic forecast, which includes, among other factors:

- Aviation security and terrorist attack;
- Natural disaster or accident;
- Governmental foreign or economic policy;
- Issuance of visitor visas to the U.S.;
- Environmental regulation and cost implication;
- Individual airline route decisions; and
- Airport capacity limitation

In general, it is assumed that no unfavorable event will occur during the forecast period that will materially and negatively affect the air traffic level forecast in this Report.

3.4.3 Enplaned Passenger Forecast Summary

Historical and forecast enplaned passengers are shown in Exhibit 3-43. The number of enplaned passengers in the Hawaii Airports System is projected to increase 4.3 percent in FY 2017. Overseas passenger traffic is expected to increase 4.4 percent while interisland passenger traffic is expected to increase 4.1 percent.

In the long term, the passenger traffic in the State is expected to increase consistent with the growth of the overall economy.

- 1. International enplaned passengers are forecast to increase at an average growth rate of 2.7 percent per year between FY 2016 and FY 2023, reaching over 3.5 million in FY 2023;
- 2. Domestic overseas enplaned passengers is forecast to increase at an average growth rate of 2.2 percent per year between FY 2016 and FY 2023, reaching over 8.2 million in FY 2023; and
- 3. Interisland enplaned passengers is forecast to increase at an average growth rate of 1.2 percent per year between FY 2016 and FY 2023, reaching over 7.7 million in FY 2023.

Overall, the Hawaii Airports System is forecast to welcome over 19.6 million enplaned passengers in FY 2023.



Exhibit 3-43: Hawaii Airports System Enplaned Passenger Forecast

Fiscal Years ended June 30

Note: Historical data in this exhibit was based on a range of publicly available sources. The analysis and assumptions relied on this information, and were reviewed and approved by the Airport Division management.

Source: Actual enplanement figures for FY 2011 to FY 2016 were provided by State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation. Forecast figures for FY 2017 to FY 2023 were provided by ICF.



[■]Overseas ■Interisland

3.4.4 Visitor Forecast Summary

Historical and forecast visitor are shown in Exhibit 3-44. The number of visitors in the Hawaii Airports System is projected to increase 4.5 percent in FY 2017. Overseas visitors are expected to increase 4.5 percent while interisland visitors are expected to increase 4.6 percent.

In the long term, visitors in the State is expected to increase consistent with the growth of the overall economy.

- 4. International visitors are forecast to increase at an average growth rate of 3.6 percent per year between FY 2016 and FY 2023, reaching over 4.5 million in FY 2023;
- 5. Domestic overseas visitors are forecast to increase at an average growth rate of 1.6 percent per year between FY 2016 and FY 2023, reaching over 8.4 million in FY 2023; and
- Interisland visitors are forecast to increase at an average growth rate of 1.2 percent per year between FY 2016 and FY 2023, reaching over 1.2 million in FY 2023.

Overall, the Hawaii Airports System is forecast to welcome over 14.2 million visitors in FY 2023.



Exhibit 3-44: Hawaii Airports System Visitor Forecast Fiscal Years ended June 30

■ Overseas ■ Interisland

Note: Historical data in this exhibit was based on a range of publicly available sources. The analysis and assumptions relied on this information, and were reviewed and approved by the Airport Division management.

Source: Visitor figures for FY 2011 to FY 2016 were provided by DBEDT. Forecast figures for FY 2017 to FY 2023 were provided by ICF.

3.4.5 Forecasts of Enplaned Passengers and Visitors

The forecasts of enplaned passengers and visitors for the Hawaii Airports System through FY 2023 are shown in Exhibit 3-45 and Exhibit 3-46. Historical and forecast enplaned passengers are presented for the Hawaii Airports System, HNL and each of the PNI Airports, including breakout between international, domestic overseas, and interisland markets. The visitor counts for a particular market may be higher or lower than the number of enplaned passengers for that market, because some visitors may visit multiple islands, and arrive at other airports on interisland flights.



Exhibit 3-45: Hawaii Airports System Enplaned Traffic Forecast

Fiscal Years ended June 30

			Actu	al						Forecast				C/ Historical	AGR Forecast
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023		2016-2023
Hawaii Airports System															
Mainland	6,326,228	6,291,224	6,659,078	6,481,469	6,834,201	7,099,220	7,387,673	7,527,671	7,668,980	7,811,694	7,956,053	8,101,765	8,248,281	2.3%	
International	2,155,555	2,490,098	2,764,881	2,791,219	2,850,528	2,978,827	3,133,879	3,211,085	3,286,433	3,362,881	3,440,562	3,519,329	3,598,885	6.7%	2.7%
Overseas Total	8,481,783	8,781,322	9,423,959	9,272,688	9,684,729	10,078,047	10,521,552	10,738,756	10,955,413	11,174,575	11,396,615	11,621,094	11,847,166	3.5%	
Interisland	6,800,509	6,784,974	7,118,800	7,022,309	6,998,066	7,137,313	7,431,170	7,507,209	7,565,820	7,622,432	7,677,230	7,729,846	7,779,665	1.0%	1.2%
Total	15,282,292	15,566,296	16,542,759	16,294,997	16,682,795	17,215,360	17,952,722	18,245,965	18,521,234	18,797,007	19,073,845	19,350,940	19,626,831	2.4%	1.9%
							er-Year Percentage								
Overseas		3.5%	7.3%	-1.6%	4.4%	4.1%	4.4%	2.1%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	1.9%		
Interisland Total		-0.2% 1.9%	4.9% 6.3%	-1.4% -1.5%	-0.3% 2.4%	2.0% 3.2%	4.1% 4.3%	1.0% 1.6%	0.8% 1.5%	0.7% 1.5%	0.7% 1.5%	0.7% 1.5%	0.6% 1.4%		
Total		1.576	0.070	-1.070	2.470	0.270	4.070	1.070	1.070	1.070	1.070	1.070	1.470		
Honolulu International Airport															
Mainland	3,965,863	3,792,079	4,037,079	3,905,540	3,961,181	4,043,179	4,103,331	4,181,090	4,259,578	4,338,845	4,419,026	4,499,959	4,581,339	0.4%	1.8%
International	2,020,020	2,312,063	2,583,545	2,598,258	2,644,456	2,755,117	2,896,704	2,965,321	3,034,902	3,105,499	3,177,235	3,249,972	3,323,440	6.4%	2.7%
Overseas Total	5,985,883	6,104,142	6,620,624	6,503,798	6,605,637	6,798,296	7,000,036	7,146,411	7,294,480	7,444,344	7,596,261	7,749,932	7,904,778	2.6%	2.2%
Interisland	3,176,115	3,154,076	3,232,462	3,196,439	3,101,890	3,138,295	3,291,910	3,306,136	3,318,866	3,330,107	3,339,931	3,348,158	3,354,499	-0.2%	1.0%
Total	9,161,998	9,258,218	9,853,086	9,700,237	9,707,527	9,936,591	10,291,945	10,452,547	10,613,346	10,774,451	10,936,192	11,098,090	11,259,277	1.6%	1.8%
						Year-Ove	er-Year Percentage	e Change							
Overseas		2.0%	8.5%	-1.8%	1.6%	2.9%	3.0%	2.1%	2.1%	2.1%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%		
Interisland Total		-0.7% 1.1%	2.5% 6.4%	-1.1% -1.6%	-3.0% 0.1%	1.2%	4.9%	0.4% 1.6%	0.4% 1.5%	0.3% 1.5%	0.3% 1.5%	0.2% 1.5%	0.2%		
Total		1.1%	0.4%	-1.0%	0.1%	2.4%	3.6%	1.0%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%		
Primary Neighboring Island (Pl	NI) Airports														
Overseas	2,495,900	2,677,180	2,803,335	2,768,890	3,079,092	3,279,752	3,521,517	3,592,345	3,660,934	3,730,231	3,800,354	3,871,162	3,942,388	5.6%	2.7%
Interisland	3,447,770	3,456,265	3,709,984	3,653,149	3,693,242	3,779,314	3,917,779	3,975,851	4,017,969	4,059,551	4,100,706	4,141,253	4,180,883	1.9%	1.5%
Total	5,943,670	6,133,445	6,513,319	6,422,039	6,772,334	7,059,066	7,439,295	7,568,196	7,678,902	7,789,782	7,901,060	8,012,415	8,123,271	3.5%	2.0%
						Year-Ove	er-Year Percentage	e Change							
Overseas		7.3%	4.7%	-1.2%	11.2%	6.5%	7.4%	2.0%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	1.8%		
Interisland		0.2%	7.3%	-1.5%	1.1%	2.3%	3.7%	1.5%	1.1%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%		
Total		3.2%	6.2%	-1.4%	5.5%	4.2%	5.4%	1.7%	1.5%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%		

Note: U.S. Pacific Territories is categorized under Overseas International; Primary Neighboring Island (PNI) airports include Kahului, Kona, Lihue, and Hilo; Historical data in this exhibit was based on a range of publicly available sources. The analysis and assumptions relied on this information, and were reviewed and approved by the Airport Division management.

Source: Actual enplanement figures for FY 2011 to FY 2016 were provided by State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation. Forecast figures for FY 2017 to FY 2023 were provided by ICF.



														CA	GR
	_		Actua							Forecast					Forecast
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2011-2016	2016-2023
Kahului															
Overseas	1,537,593	1,591,597	1,642,016	1,637,202	1,822,152	1,949,229	2,092,655	2,133,159	2,174,062	2,215,392	2,257,219	2,299,457	2,341,950	4.9%	2.7%
Interisland	1,209,611	1,246,166	1,388,773	1,371,867	1,424,740	1,449,726	1,454,757	1,464,849	1,474,508	1,483,742	1,492,586	1,500,972	1,508,783	3.7%	0.6%
Total	2,747,204	2,837,763	3,030,789	3,009,069	3,246,892	3,398,955	3,547,413	3,598,008	3,648,570	3,699,134	3,749,805	3,800,430	3,850,733	4.3%	1.8%
							-Year Percentage								
Overseas Interisland		3.5%	3.2%	-0.3%	11.3%	7.0%	7.4%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	1.8%		
Total		3.0% 3.3%	11.4% 6.8%	-1.2% -0.7%	3.9% 7.9%	1.8% 4.7%	0.3% 4.4%	0.7% 1.4%	0.7% 1.4%	0.6% 1.4%	0.6% 1.4%	0.6% 1.4%	0.5% 1.3%		
						,.									
<u>Kona</u> Overseas	538,395	539,293	569,962	561,167	649.683	707,101	781,507	799.450	814,635	829,975	845,497	861,168	876.930	5.6%	3.1%
Interisland	812,928	823,064	874,418	854,022	841,251	859,215	941,071	966,325	976,029	985,578	994,997	1,004,242	1,013,236	1.1%	2.4%
Total	1,351,323	1,362,357	1,444,380	1.415.189	1,490,934	1.566.316	1,722,578	1,765,775	1.790.664	1,815,553	1,840,494	1,865,410	1,890,166	3.0%	2.47
lotai	1,551,525	1,302,337	1,444,500	1,413,103	1,430,334	,,.	-Year Percentage		1,730,004	1,013,333	1,040,434	1,003,410	1,030,100	5.078	2.17
Overseas		0.2%	5.7%	-1.5%	15.8%	8.8%	10.5%	2.3%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	1.8%		
Interisland		1.2%	6.2%	-2.3%	-1.5%	2.1%	9.5%	2.7%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	0.9%	0.9%		
Total		0.8%	6.0%	-2.0%	5.4%	5.1%	10.0%	2.5%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.3%		
Lihue															
Overseas	416,522	494,375	542,820	544,551	569,900	588,443	610,982	622,674	634,479	646,403	658,468	670,648	682,899	7.2%	2.1%
Interisland	804,383	787,492	815,736	808,574	816,117	844,190	895,768	907,050	918,270	929,434	940,568	951,633	962,559	1.0%	1.9%
Total	1,220,905	1,281,867	1,358,556	1,353,125	1,386,017	1,432,633	1,506,750	1,529,725	1,552,748	1,575,837	1,599,035	1,622,281	1,645,457	3.3%	2.0%
							-Year Percentage								
Overseas Interisland		18.7% -2.1%	9.8% 3.6%	0.3% -0.9%	4.7% 0.9%	3.3% 3.4%	3.8% 6.1%	1.9% 1.3%	1.9% 1.2%	1.9% 1.2%	1.9% 1.2%	1.8% 1.2%	1.8% 1.1%		
Total		-2.1%	6.0%	-0.9%	2.4%	3.4%	5.2%	1.5%	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%	1.1%		
Hilo Overseas	3,390	51,915	48,537	25,970	37,357	34,978	36,373	37,062	37,758	38,460	39,171	39,888	40,610	59.5%	2.2%
Interisland	620,848	599,543	631.057	618,686	611,134	626,183	626,183	637,626	649,162	660,798	672,555	684,407	696,305	0.2%	1.5%
Total	624.238	651,458	679.594	644.656	648.491	661,161	662.556	674.688	686.919	699.258	711.726	724,295	736.915	1.2%	1.6%
Total	024,200	001,400	010,004	044,000	040,401		-Year Percentage		000,010	000,200	711,720	124,200	100,010	1.2 /0	1.07
Overseas		1431.4%	-6.5%	-46.5%	43.8%	-6.4%	4.0%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%		-
Interisland		-3.4%	5.3%	-2.0%	-1.2%	2.5%	0.0%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.7%		
Total		4.4%	4.3%	-5.1%	0.6%	2.0%	0.2%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.7%		
All Other	176,624	174,633	176,354	172,721	202,934	219,704	221,481	225,222	228,986	232,774	236,593	240,435	244,283	4.5%	1.5%
							-Year Percentage								
		-1.1%	1.0%	-2.1%	17.5%	8.3%	0.8%	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%		

Exhibit 3.4-3: Hawaii Airports System Enplaned Traffic Forecast Fiscal Years ended June 30

Note: U.S. Pacific Territories is categorized under Overseas International; Historical data in this exhibit was based on a range of publicly available sources. The analysis and assumptions relied on this information, and were reviewed and approved by the Airport Division management.

Source: Actual enplanement figures for FY 2011 to FY 2016 were provided by State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation. Forecast figures for FY 2017 to FY 2023 were provided by ICF.



Hawaii Airports System Mainland 6 International 2 Overseas Total 9	2011 6,806,598	2012	Actual 2013	l 2014	2015	2016				Forecast					Forecast
l <mark>awaii Airports System</mark> Mainland 6 International 2 Overseas Total 9	6,806,598	2012	2013	2014	2015										
Mainland6International2Overseas Total9						2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2011-2016	2016-202
Mainland6International2Overseas Total9															
Overseas Total 9		6,871,914	7,135,458	7,028,980	7,354,334	7,547,128	7,899,567	8,004,483	8,096,640	8,187,875	8,278,412	8,367,888	8,455,668	2.1%	1.6
	2,532,822	2,882,366	3,248,107	3,417,265	3,539,656	3,577,539	3,723,454	3,861,215	3,998,467	4,138,591	4,281,737	4,427,752	4,576,314	7.2%	3.6
Interisland 1	9,339,420	9,754,280	10,383,565	10,446,245	10,893,991	11,124,667	11,623,021	11,865,698	12,095,107	12,326,466	12,560,149	12,795,640	13,031,982	3.6%	2.3
	1,247,160	1,179,847	1,207,473	1,130,799	1,076,928	1,150,330	1,203,327	1,213,705	1,221,803	1,229,556	1,236,994	1,244,062	1,250,664	-1.6%	1.2
Total 10	0,586,579	10,934,126	11,591,038	11,577,044	11,970,919	12,274,997	12,826,348	13,079,403	13,316,910	13,556,021	13,797,144	14,039,703	14,282,646	3.0%	2.2
						Year-Over	-Year Percentage	Change							
Overseas		4.4%	6.5%	0.6%	4.3%	2.1%	4.5%	2.1%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	1.8%		
Interisland		-5.4%	2.3%	-6.3%	-4.8%	6.8%	4.6%	0.9%	0.7%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%		
Total		3.3%	6.0%	-0.1%	3.4%	2.5%	4.5%	2.0%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.7%		
				-											
Mainland 2	2,661,781	2,662,150	2,769,533	2,728,021	2,818,883	2,895,294	2.998.836	3,040,824	3.082.393	3,123,560	3,164,405	3.204.773	3,244,400	1.7%	1.
	1.743.791	1,987,965	2,709,555	2,728,021	2,451,837	2,501,124	2,590,569	2.679.230	2,769,834	2,862,433	2,957,137	3.053.844	3,152,330	7.5%	3
	4,405,572	4.650.115	5,009,403	5,101,840	5,270,720	5,396,418	5,589,406	5,720,053	5,852,227	5,985,992	6,121,542	6,258,616	6,396,730	4.1%	2
	515,766	480,927	483,449	456,845	431,509	455,309	471,591	475,896	480,033	484,005	487,821	491,456	494,869	-2.5%	1.
Total 4	4,921,338	5,131,043	5,492,851	5,558,685	5,702,229	5,851,726	6,060,997	6,195,949	6,332,260	6,469,997	6,609,363	6,750,073	6,891,599	3.5%	2.
							-Year Percentage								
Overseas		5.6%	7.7%	1.8%	3.3%	2.4%	3.6%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	2.2%	2.2%		
Interisland		-6.8%	0.5%	-5.5%	-5.5%	5.5%	3.6%	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%	0.7%		
Total		4.3%	7.1%	1.2%	2.6%	2.6%	3.6%	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	2.1%	2.1%		
imary Neighboring Island (PNI) Ai	irporte														
	4.036.095	4,110,851	4,266,103	4,199,614	4,428,289	4,564,980	4.813.174	4.875.264	4.925.024	4.974.277	5.023.164	5.071.480	5.118.859	2.5%	1.
International	767,207	867,218	982,271	1,017,386	1,057,929	1,049,292	1,105,542	1,153,381	1,198,738	1,244,943	1,292,033	1,339,959	1,388,624	6.5%	4
	4,803,302	4,978,069	5,248,375	5,217,000	5,486,218	5,614,272	5,918,716	6,028,645	6,123,763	6,219,220	6,315,197	6,411,439	6,507,483	3.2%	2
Interisland	689,903	661,108	688,547	643,949	607,018	653.035	689,409	694.927	698.334	701,562	704,631	707,511	710,152	-1.1%	1
	5.493.205	5.639.177	5,936,921	5.860.949	6,093,236	6.267.307	6.608.125	6,723,572	6.822.097	6.920.783	7.019.828	7.118.950	7,217,634	2.7%	2
10(4)	0,400,200	5,005,117	0,000,021	0,000,040	0,000,200	., . ,	-Year Percentage		0,012,037	0,020,700	1,013,020	7,110,000	7,217,034	2.1 /0	2
Overseas		1.9%	3.8%	-1.6%	5.4%	3.1%	5.4%	1.3%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	0.9%		
		-4.2%	4.2%	-6.5%	-5.7%	7.6%	5.6%	0.8%	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%		
Interisland		2.7%	5.3%	-1.3%	4.0%	2.9%	5.4%	1.7%	1.5%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%		

Exhibit 3-46: Hawaii Airports System Visitor Forecast

Fiscal Years ended June 30

Note: U.S. Pacific Territories is categorized under Overseas International; Primary Neighboring Island (PNI) airports include Kahului, Kona, Lihue, and Hilo; Historical data in this exhibit was based on a range of publicly available sources. The analysis and assumptions relied on this information, and were reviewed and approved by the Airport Division management.

Source: Visitor figures for FY 2011 to FY 2016 were provided by State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation. Forecast figures for FY 2017 to FY 2023 were provided by ICF.



			Actu	al						Forecast				CAGE Historical F	२ orecast
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2011-2016	
Kahului															
Mainland	1,896,889	1,899,240	1,975,419	1,946,063	2,062,513	2,142,237	2,235,805	2,255,579	2,274,992	2,294,066	2,312,865	2,331,295	2,349,187	2.5%	1.3%
International	315,660	382,305	411,990	450,228	452,422	467,975	488,415	510,523	533,053	556,008	579,406	603,222	627,413	8.2%	4.3%
Overseas Total	2,212,548	2,281,545	2,387,409	2,396,291	2,514,935	2,610,212	2,724,219	2,766,102	2,808,045	2,850,074	2,892,271	2,934,517	2,976,600	3.4%	1.9%
Interisland	254,285	243,823	254,289	245,700	235,155	250,722	261,673	262,377	262,993	263,524	263,978	264,343	264,600	-0.3%	0.8%
Total	2,466,834	2,525,368	2,641,697	2,641,991	2,750,090	2,860,935	2,985,893	3,028,479	3,071,038	3,113,598	3,156,248	3,198,860	3,241,200	3.0%	1.8%
		0.10/					r-Year Percentage						0.00/		
Overseas Interisland		0.1% -4.1%	4.0% 4.3%	-1.5% -3.4%	6.0% -4.3%	3.9% 6.6%	4.4% 4.4%	0.9% 0.3%	0.9% 0.2%	0.8% 0.2%	0.8% 0.2%	0.8% 0.1%	0.8% 0.1%		
Total		-4.1%	4.3%	-3.4%	-4.3% 4.1%	4.0%	4.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.3%		
Kona															
Mainland	886,555	906,765	936,325	910,534	973,232	1,008,215	1,108,798	1,130,033	1,139,298	1,148,377	1,157,304	1,166,030	1,174,471	2.6%	2.2%
International	223,634	234,410	279,118	283,265	293,551	283,972	312,302	328,347	341,304	354,493	367,923	381,581	395,437	4.9%	4.8%
Overseas Total	1,110,189	1,141,176	1,215,444	1,193,800	1,266,783	1,292,186	1,421,100	1,458,380	1,480,602	1,502,870	1,525,227	1,547,611	1,569,908	3.1%	2.8%
Interisland	175,741	172,340	182,641	164,267	156,579	164,920	181,373	184,279	185,210	186,096	186,940	187,735	188,468	-1.3%	1.9%
Total	1,285,930	1,313,516	1,398,085	1,358,066	1,423,362	1,457,106	1,602,473	1,642,658	1,665,812	1,688,966	1,712,167	1,735,346	1,758,376	2.5%	2.7%
							r-Year Percentage								
Overseas Interisland		2.3% -1.9%	3.3%	-2.8% -10.1%	6.9%	3.6%	10.0% 10.0%	1.9% 1.6%	0.8% 0.5%	0.8% 0.5%	0.8% 0.5%	0.8% 0.4%	0.7% 0.4%		
Total		-1.9%	6.0% 6.4%	-10.1%	-4.7% 4.8%	5.3% 2.4%	10.0%	2.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%	1.3%		
Lihue															
Mainland	901,603	949,016	995,001	980,970	1,009,307	1,028,914	1,082,144	1,098,645	1,115,181	1,131,763	1,148,424	1,165,119	1,181,764	2.7%	2.0%
International	91,433	101,967	116,112	129,280	145,497	138,098	145,242	148,885	152,576	156,316	160,110	163,952	167,831	8.6%	2.8%
Overseas Total	993,036	1,050,983	1,111,113	1,110,250	1,154,805	1,167,012	1,227,386	1,247,530	1,267,756	1,288,078	1,308,534	1,329,071	1,349,595	3.3%	2.1%
Interisland	177,342	166,391	169,009	160,198	150,823	170,673	179,503	180,812	182,083	183,319	184,525	185,693	186,809	-0.8%	1.3%
Total	1,170,378	1,217,374	1,280,122	1,270,448	1,305,628	1,337,685	1,406,889	1,428,342	1,449,840	1,471,398	1,493,059	1,514,764	1,536,404	2.7%	2.0%
							r-Year Percentage								
Overseas		5.3%	4.8%	-1.4%	2.9%	1.9%	5.2%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.4%		
Interisland Total		-6.2% 4.0%	1.6% 5.2%	-5.2% -0.8%	-5.9% 2.8%	13.2% 2.5%	5.2% 5.2%	0.7% 1.5%	0.7% 1.5%	0.7% 1.5%	0.7% 1.5%	0.6% 1.5%	0.6% 1.4%		
Hilo															
Mainland	351,048	355,828	359,359	362,047	383,237	385,614	386,427	391,007	395,554	400,071	404,571	409,036	413,436	1.9%	1.0%
International	136,481	148,536	175,051	154,612	166,458	159,248	159,584	165,626	171,806	178,126	184,594	191,204	197,943	3.1%	3.2%
Overseas Total	487,529	504,365	534,409	516,660	549,695	544,861	546,011	556,633	567,360	578,197	589,165	600,240	611,380	2.2%	1.7%
Interisland	82,535	78,555	82,608	73,784	64,462	66,719	66,860	67,460	68,048	68,623	69,188	69,740	70,274	-4.2%	0.7%
Total	570,064	582,920	617,017	590,443	614,157	611,581	612,870	624,093	635,407	646,821	658,353	669,980	681,654	1.4%	1.6%
							r-Year Percentage								
Overseas		1.4%	1.0%	0.7% -10.7%	5.9%	0.6%	0.2% 0.2%	1.2% 0.9%	1.2% 0.9%	1.1% 0.8%	1.1%	1.1% 0.8%	1.1%		
		-4.8% 2.3%	5.2% 5.8%	-10.7%	-12.6% 4.0%	3.5% -0.4%	0.2%	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%	0.8% 1.8%	0.8%	0.8% 1.7%		
Interisland Total															
Total	170.000		101.005	457.440	175 150	455.007	457.005	450.001	400 550	105 010	107.053	470.000	170 110	1.001	4 501
	172,036	163,907	161,265	157,410	175,453	155,964	157,225 r-Year Percentage	159,881	162,553	165,242	167,953	170,680	173,412	-1.9%	1.5%

Exhibit 3.4-4: Hawaii Airports System Visitor Forecast Fiscal Years ended June 30

Note: U.S. Pacific Territories is categorized under Overseas International; Historical data in this exhibit was based on a range of publicly available sources. The analysis and assumptions relied on this information, and were reviewed and approved by the Airport Division management.

Source: Visitor figures for FY 2011 to FY 2016 were provided by State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation. Forecast figures for FY 2017 to FY 2023 were provided by ICF.

4. RENTAL CAR INDUSTRY

According to *Auto Rental News*, a publication for the global car and truck rental industry, the U.S. rental car industry generated approximately \$28.4 billion of revenue in 2016 with a fleet of 2.3 million vehicles. The U.S. rental car industry operates in two distinct markets: the airport market serving air travelers, and the local market serving local residents. According to airport filings on the FAA's *Certification Activity Tracking System*, U.S. airports reported combined rental car concession fee revenues of \$1.7 billion in their respective fiscal years 2015. Assuming that concession fees equal 10 percent of gross revenues, 2015 gross revenues from the airport rental car market would be \$17 billion, or approximately two-thirds of industry revenues. When grouped as a single entity, Hawaii Airports System was the 5th largest airport rental car market in the U.S., ranked after the airports serving Orlando, Los Angeles, San Francisco, and Denver.

4.1 The Rental Car Companies

The U.S. rental car industry has experienced various consolidations over the last twenty years. The eight major rental car companies in 1995 were consolidated into three companies: Avis Budget Group, Inc. (Avis Budget), Enterprise Holdings, Inc. (Enterprise), and Hertz Global Holdings, Inc. (Hertz). The 3 companies have a combined market share of 95 percent in 2016, according to *Auto Rental News*. Advantage Opco, LLC. (Advantage) owns Advantage Rent A Car and E-Z Rent-A-Car, and is the 4th largest company accounting for more than 1 percent of market share. Below are brief profiles of each major national brand, acquired from their respective sites, grouped by parent organization.

Avis Budget was established in 2006 when Cendant Corp. separated into four independent companies. Cendant Corp. acquired Budget Car Rental in November 2002, consolidated the administrative functions of Avis and Budget, but continued to operate them as stand-alone brands. As provided in the *2016 Investor Day Presentation* in November 2016 (from Avis Budget website), Avis Budget operates the Avis and Budget brands at more than 11,000 locations in approximately 180 countries throughout the world. 71 percent of the group's annual revenue is from U.S. domestic market, with the other 29 percent coming from the international market. Within the U.S. domestic business, 75 percent comes from the airport market and 25 percent comes from the local market; 45 percent of customers are commercial and 55 percent are leisure.

- Avis Car Rental was founded in 1946. The company has 5,500 locations in more than 165 countries.
- **Budget Car Rental** was founded in 1958. The company has more than 3,000 locations in more than 120 countries.
- ▶ **Zipcar** was founded in 1999 and is the world's largest car sharing and car club service and was acquired by Avis Budget in 2013. The company provides over 950,000 members on-demand access to more than 12,000 vehicles in over 30 major metro markets, at over 500 college campuses, and at over 50 airports.
- ▶ Payless Car Rental was founded in 1971 and acquired by Avis Budget in 2013. It operates approximately 120 rental locations worldwide.

Enterprise is the largest car rental company in the world as measured by revenue and fleet. The company operates at more than 9,600 locations in more than 85 countries.

- Enterprise Rent A Car was founded in 1957 in St. Louis. The company has more than 7,600 locations worldwide, with more than 5,800 offices located within 15 miles of 90 percent of the U.S. population. In August 2007, Enterprise Rent A Car acquired Vanguard Car Rental USA Inc. which owned Alamo and National brands. Enterprise continues to operate Enterprise Rent A Car as a stand-alone brand while continuing to dual brand Alamo and National at many U.S. airport locations.
- Alamo Rent A Car was founded in 1974 in Florida. The company provides rental cars primarily to leisure travelers. And it is the largest car rental provider to international travelers visiting North America.
- ▶ National Car Rental was founded in 1947 by a group of 24 independent car rental operators. The company brands itself as a premium, international recognized brand serving the daily rental needs of the frequent airport traveler.

Hertz acquired Dollar Thrifty Automotive Group, Inc. in November 2012, and owns the following brands:

- Hertz Car Rental was founded in 1918 in Chicago. The company has approximately 10,300 locations in 150 countries.
- **Dollar Rent A Car** was founded in 1965 in Los Angeles, California. The company has about 570 locations in 61 countries including approximately 260 locations in the United States and Canada.
- Thrifty Car Rental was founded in 1958. The company operates in 77 countries and territories with over 1,000 locations.
- Firefly Car Rental was first launched in March 2013 to provide discount rates for leisure customers. Hertz discontinued its U.S. segment in the second quarter of 2016. Firefly Car Rental keeps operating in the international market.

4.2 Rental Car Market Trend

Since 1980s, the ownership of rental car companies have changed from automobile manufacturers to independent operating entities, which are concentrated on profitability rather than market share. Consolidation of the rental car companies also provides the opportunity to manage fleet volume more efficiently.

4.2.1 Industry Revenues and Market Share

Exhibit 4-1 shows the rental car companies' revenues from 2005 to 2016. The industry's revenue increased six percent in 2006, seven percent in 2007 before slowed to two percent in 2008 when the financial crisis began. Revenue declined sharply at six percent in 2009 and remained flat in 2010 due to the economic recession. The rental car market started to recover in 2011 with a growth rate of nine percent and kept the growth rate around four to six percent after that. Industry revenues post-recession have increased 39 percent from \$20.5 billion in 2009 to \$28.4 billion in 2016, at an average annual growth rate of 4.8 percent.

As mentioned in the companies' profiles, Enterprise is the largest car rental company by gross sales. As Exhibit 4-1 shows, its U.S. segment had consistently expanded, with market share increased from 44 percent in 2005 to 54 percent in 2016. In the meantime, Hertz's business declined from 29 percent to 21 percent. Avis Budget's share remained flat at 20 percent. While all other companies also declined slightly from seven percent to five percent.





Note: Revenues are grouped by holding company, assuming ownership structure in 2016.

Source: Auto Rental News.

The U.S. rental car industry operates a total fleet volume of 2.3 million vehicles in 2016, as shown in Exhibit 4-2. The fleet size increased by 35 percent from 2005 to 2016, or 2.8 percent annually. As with gross revenues, the fleet size fluctuates with the economic cycles. The fleet size decreased by two percent in 2006, before increasing by 11 percent in 2007. When the economic recession started, the RACs reduced the fleet size by three percent in 2008, and another 10 percent in 2009. The fleet size remained flat in 2010, followed by an

eight percent increase in 2011 when the U.S. economy started to recover and increased at an annual growth rate between five percent and six percent. The growth rates of the fleet size exceed the growth rates of gross revenues, resulting in the relatively oversupply of rental car vehicles and downward pressure on pricing. Avis Budget management commented in the 4th quarter 2016 earnings call that the fleet may tighten up in 2017, and that fleet optimization is one of their key strategies to achieve long-term margin growth.



Exhibit 4-2: U.S. Rental Car Fleet Volume

Source: Auto Rental News.

4.2.2 Revenues per Vehicle

The industry's average revenues per rental vehicle improved 15 percent from \$30 in 2005 to \$35 2012 but declined by 0.9 percent annually through 2016. As shown in Exhibit 4-3, Avis Budget has the highest daily revenues per vehicle in the past 10 years in the industry, which reached \$41 in 2016. Hertz has the second highest rate at \$34 with Enterprise at \$32 and other firms averaging \$26.



Exhibit 4-3: Daily Revenues per Rental Vehicle

Source: Auto Rental News.

4.2.3 Other Industry Trends

Increasing roadway congestion at airports in the past 20 years led to the idea of ConRACs. A ConRAC brings all the on-airport RACs together at a single location with a single transit system, typically a bus system, transporting rental car customers to and from the terminal. Similar to the ConRACs at the Hawaii Airports System, the availability of CFCs has enabled the construction of ConRACs at many U.S. airports.

RACs continue to implement and improve sophisticated yield management systems that help with fleet management and optimize price strategies. Avis Budget has a demand fleet pricing yield management tool which they rely heavily on to make fleet and pricing strategies. Hertz also launched a new customer relationship management system in 2016 and will implement a new fleet management system in early 2017.

The internet and smart phones are making life much more convenient, not only for air travelers booking tickets but also for rental car customers to reserve cars. Avis Budget rolled out its app Avis Now in 2016, which can

[■]Avis Budget ■Enterprise ■Hertz ■Other

help customers control the whole car rental experience.

Traditionally, RACs purchase fleet through residual value programs, under which manufacturers agree to purchase back the vehicles at a certain price. These vehicles are referred as program cars. In spite of the fact that program cars have fixed costs, the cost is higher than non-program cars. In recent years, some companies have leveraged more non-program cars to reduce fleet cost.

Car sharing allows customers to have access to vehicular transportation without ownership costs and is becoming more popular in urban environments and on college campuses. RACs see opportunities in this new industry as well. Avis Budget acquired Zipcar in 2013. Shortly after that, Enterprise introduced its Carshare program, offering customers hourly rentals, a service it had operated under a different brand previously. Other than that, Avis Budget, Enterprise and Hertz all have partnered with Uber to provide the discount rate for qualified drivers.

4.3 Overview of Rental Car Market at Hawaii Airports System

Exhibit 4-4 shows the brands owned by the Signatory RACs currently operating at Hawaii Airports System. All the eight major brands have locations in each of the Primary Airports.

Rental Car Brand			Airp	port		
	HNL	OGG	KOA	LIH	ITO	МКК
Advantage Rent A Car	On	Off	-	On	-	-
Alamo Rent A Car	Off	On	On	On	On	On
Avis Car Rental	On	On	On	On	On	-
Budget Car Rental	On	On	On	On	On	-
Dollar Rent A Car	Off	On	On	On	On	-
Enterprise Rent A Car	On	On	On	On	On	-
EZ Rent A Car	-	Off	-	-	-	-
Hertz Car Rental	On	On	On	On	On	-
National Car Rental	On	On	On	On	On	-
Payless Car Rental	-	On	On	On	On	-
Thrifty Car Rental	Off	On	On	On	On	-

Exhibit 4-4: RACs by Airport

Source: RAC websites.

The Airport Division classifies rental car operators as follows:

- a) On-airport RACs: operating inside airport perimeter and having an agreement with the Department. Under various permits and agreements, on-airport RACs pay a minimum annual guarantee or a concession fee at 10 percent of gross receipts to the Airports Division, whichever is higher;
- b) Off-airport RACs: operating outside the airport perimeter, but having a valid permit with the Department, such as Alamo at HNL. Off-airport RACs pay a nominal fee per vehicle to the Airports Division and do not pay a concession fee; and
- c) Local RACs: operating outside the airport perimeter, and having no permit with the Department, such as Fox Rent A Car at OGG and LIH, Economy Rent A Car at HNL and LIH, Harper Car and Truck Rental at ITO and KOA, among other RACs. Local RACs do not pay any fees to the Airports Division.

As part of the bidding process for the RAC Agreements, the Signatory RACs began to collect CFCs in July 2014 even if one or all of their brands operate off-airport. Such additional collections incorporate the CFCs related to Advantage and EZ at OGG, and Alamo, Dollar, Thrifty at HNL. Upon relocation to the respective ConRAC, those Signatory RACs that currently operate off-airport will also pay the corresponding concession fees.

4.3.1 Gross Revenues

Exhibit 4-5 shows total revenues of all on-airport RACs operating at Hawaii Airports System, totaled at \$571 million in FY 2016. OGG accounted for 36 percent of system-wide revenues, followed by HNL (22 percent), KOA (20 percent), LIH (18 percent), ITO (3.8 percent), and MKK (0.5 percent). Similar to the whole rental car industry, total RAC revenues at Hawaii Airports System have increased 35 percent from FY 2009 to FY 2016, at an average annual growth rate of 4.3 percent. The decline in total revenues in the year 2014 is primarily because Dollar Rent A Car and Thrifty Car Rental at HNL moved their operations to off-airport locations in May 2013, and thus were no longer required to report gross revenues or pay concession fees to the Airports Division.

Exhibit 4-5: RAC Revenues On-airport



Source: Airports Division records.

4.3.2 Market Share

As of FY 2016, Enterprise holds the largest market share with 35 percent market share at Hawaii Airports System airports, followed by Hertz with 33 percent and Avis Budget with 30 percent. Advantage Rent A Car shares two percent of the market. Exhibit 4-6 shows the market share in each primary airport. Each of the three RACs holds one-third of the market at each primary airport with slight variances.

		1 2010			
	Avis Budget	Enterprise	Hertz	Other	Total
Gross Revenu	ıes (in millions)				
HNL	\$46	\$35	\$37	\$10	\$128
OGG	62	76	68	-	206
KOA	29	41	42	-	112
LIH	28	35	34	4	100
ITO	7	8	7	-	21
МКК	=	<u>3</u>	<u>-</u>	=	<u>3</u>
System-wide	\$172	\$197	\$188	\$14	\$571
Percent of Gro	oss Revenues				
HNL	36%	27%	29%	8%	100%
OGG	30%	37%	33%	0%	100%
КОА	26%	36%	38%	0%	100%
LIH	28%	35%	33%	4%	100%
ITO	32%	38%	31%	0%	100%
МКК	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%
System-wide	30%	35%	33%	2%	100%

Exhibit 4-6: On-Airport RAC Gross Revenues, Hawaii Airports System

Note: Excluding Signatory RAC's off-airport operations, such as Dollar Rent A Car at HNL.

Source: Airports Division Records.

[This page intentionally left blank]



5. FORECAST OF TRANSACTION DAYS

The number of CFC rental car transaction days can be seen as the product of three inputs:

- Visitor arrivals, as forecast in Section 3 of this Report;
- CFC Car rental ratios, which can be expressed as the number of rental car transactions divided by the number of visitors; and
- Average duration of each rental car transaction.

In FY 2016, total system-wide CFC rental car transaction days were 15.2 million, as presented in Exhibit 5-1. CFC transaction days at OGG were 5.2 million, the highest in Hawaii Airports System and accounting for one-third of total CFC transaction days, in spite of the fact that it only accounted for one-fifth of system-wide enplaned passengers. HNL accounted for 58.0% of system-wide enplaned passengers but only 29.7% of CFC transaction days, likely due to unfavorable visitor mix, convenient transportation options at Waikiki, and other factors detailed later in this section.

	Enplaned Pas	sengers	CFC Transaction	on Days
	Number	percent	Number	percent
By Airport				
HNL	9,937	58.0%	4,502	29.7%
OGG	3,399	19.8%	5,172	34.1%
KOA	1,566	9.1%	2,462	16.2%
LIH	1,433	8.4%	2,546	16.8%
ITO	661	3.9%	444	2.9%
МКК	129	0.8%	41	0.3%
Others	<u> </u>	<u>0.0%</u>		<u>0.0%</u>
System-wide	17,125	100.0%	15,167	100.0%

Exhibit 5-1: Enplaned Passengers and CFC Transaction Days
FY 2016

Note: Figures are in thousands

Source: Airports Division records. The CFC transaction days were compiled from monthly reports at 15.167 million, compared to 15.199 million implied in the audited financial statements.

5.1 Key Factors Affecting CFC Transaction Days at Hawaii Airports System

Other than the number of visitors, a list of the key factors affect the generation of CFC Transaction Days at Hawaii Airports System, including, among other factors:

- 5.1.1. Visitor characteristics
- 5.1.2. Cost of car rentals
- 5.1.3. Alternative means of transportation
- 5.1.4. Availability of rental cars
- 5.1.5. Other general factors

5.1.1 Visitor Characteristics

As discussed in Section 2, DBEDT conducts surveys for all overseas visitors and publishes a data summary on a monthly basis. The visitor count published by DBEDT (DBEDT Visitors) is different from the visitor numbers forecast in Section 2 in two aspects:

The number of DBEDT Visitors does not include residents of the State traveling from one island to another, such as Maui residents visiting the island of Oahu; and The number of system-wide DBEDT Visitors count each overseas visitor only once, regardless how many islands an overseas visitor may visit.

For these reasons, a separate term of DBEDT Visitors is used, to differentiate from the term "visitors" in the visitor forecast in Section 2.

5.1.1.1 Trip purposes

Trip purposes determine several key issues regarding CFC transaction days. In the visitor survey, DBEDT provides several purposes, including pleasure, meeting/convention/incentive, other business, visiting friends and relatives, government/military, attending school, sports events and other purposes. In the calendar-year 2016, approximately 88.3 percent of DBEDT visitors traveled to Hawaii for leisure purposes, with 9.4 percent traveling for business purposes and 2.3 percent for other reasons. As shown in Exhibit 5-2, the split of trip purposes is generally consistent across different islands and different visiting regions.





Source: DBEDT, compiled by ICF.

DBEDT has not provided a selection of trip purpose for combined business/leisure purpose. It is likely that business travelers may combine business travels with leisure time when visiting Hawaii, considering Hawaii's reputation as one of the top global tourism destinations. Business travelers are generally deemed to be price-insensitive and focus more on convenience. Leisure travelers are generally considered to be price-sensitive and may take alternative means of transportation if the car rental costs are perceived to be high.

Although each island may have a major tourism attraction, such as Waikiki on the island of Oahu, tourism attractions are scattered all over each island. This means leisure travelers may take multiple days on each island or even multiple trips to the State. In case visitors decide to rent a car, the duration of those leisure rentals may exceed the duration of business travelers. In the calendar year 2016, DBEDT reported that approximately two-thirds of DBEDT Visitors are repeat visitors to the State. Repeating DBEDT Visitors on average have visited the State more than five times.

5.1.1.2 Originating Region

The visitor mix by originating region has a distinctive effect on CFC transaction days – visitors from Japan tend to visit the State via tour group or package trip that includes a ground transportation package. Because cars in Japan are driven on the left side of the road, different from U.S., package trips for Japanese visitors typically include ground transportation from public busing companies or private charter companies such as JTB Hawaii, Inc. This is different from package trips for U.S. visitors, which may include an option for rental cars instead of shuttle bus services.

Exhibit 5-3 presents the type of trip purchase from DBEDT data. More than two-thirds of Japan visitors in the calendar year 2016 purchased the trip as group tour or package trips, compared to less than one-third for all visitors.





Source: DBEDT.

The lower tendency for Japan visitors to rent a car is further validated by DBEDT's survey on per-visitor spending. As shown in Exhibit 5-4, Japanese visitors spent a daily average of \$3.9 on rental cars, compared to an average of \$11.9 for all visitors. Australia, another country with cars driven on the left side of the road, has the second lowest average of \$7.5.





Source: DBEDT.

5.1.1.3 Other visitor characteristics

Other visitor characteristics may affect the realization of CFC transaction days. According to DBEDT, the average party size of DBEDT Visitors in the calendar year 2016 was 2.2, the average age was 45, and the average length of stay was 9.04 days.

In addition to DBEDT Visitors, RAC customers also include Hawaii residents visiting other islands for business or leisure purposes. Those rental car transactions are considered to have shorter durations when compared to rentals by DBEDT Visitors.

5.1.2 Cost of Car Rentals

Cost and convenience are two major factors when visitors select the mode of transportation and are allocated distinctive weights during the decision-making process of each visitor. For example, business travelers are considered to focus on convenience instead of cost, but may also balk at paying the walk-in price higher than \$100 per day. The cost of car rentals are potentially gauged in three ways: (a) base rental costs, (b) total rental costs charged by RACs, and (c) all-in costs.

5.1.2.1 Historical Costs Paid to RACs

As shown in Exhibit 5-5, average rental car revenues per transaction day have increased from \$40 in FY 2009 to \$44 in FY 2016, or 1.1 percent annually. The RACs may continue to implement yield management procedures, aiming to improve profitability instead of increasing transaction count, which may have an adverse impact on the CFC collection.



Exhibit 5-5: Average Rental Car Revenues per CFC Transaction Day

Note: FY 2009 includes data from September 2008 to June 2009. FY 2012 data is not available due to hiatus of CFC collection in 2012. Source: Airports Division Records.

5.1.2.2 Snapshot of Rental Car Pricing

Starting 2012, U.S. Department of Transportation requires all airlines and ticket agents to quote full airfare including all taxes and mandatory fees. This requirement does not extend to rental car companies, which continue to advertise base rental cost. Exhibit 5-6 presents the base rental costs and total rental costs, using a random example at OGG for the week of April 23, 2017 (Sunday) to April 30, 2017 (Sunday):

- 1. Because Avis Car Rental is a business-oriented brand, Avis Budget set the base rental rate for Avis Car Rental at \$354, compared to \$288 for Budget Car Rental and \$198 for Payless Car Rental;
- 2. Hertz and Enterprise adopted similar strategies, setting the rate of their business-oriented brands higher than those of other brands;
- 3. Advantage has the same rate for its brands because both are leisure-oriented; and
- 4. Total rental costs for brands under Advantage are the lowest because they operate off-airport and do not currently pay a concession fee. Advantage will move on-airport upon the opening of the OGG ConRAC.



Exhibit 5-6: Rental Car Prices (Full size) at OGG Week of April 23, 2017

■Base ■CFC ■Other Charges

Source: Expedia.com, accessed in February 2017.

Total rental costs include the base rental costs, CFCs, and other charges, such as airport concession recovery, vehicle license recovery, and other mandated fees. As of the date of this Report, the mandated fees include a rental motor vehicle surcharge tax of \$3.00 per day for highway projects, and general excise tax when applicable. As shown in Exhibit 5-7, CFCs and other charges accounted for approximately one-third of base rental costs. In an online research conducted in September 2016 for a total of 130 airports, 69 airports have a CFC by the transaction day, and 10 airports have a CFC by transaction. The CFC level by transaction day is presented in Exhibit 5-7 below, compared to \$4.50 at Hawaii Airports System.





Source: data collected on Avis.com.

5.1.2.3 All-in costs

In addition to total rental costs paid to RACs, there could be others costs related to car rentals, such as the needs to purchase insurance, particularly for international travelers that do not have coverage for credit card companies, gas costs, and parking charges at destinations. Almost all hotels in Waikiki, a major tourist destination on the island of Oahu, charge a daily parking fee that may exceed \$35 daily. This cost is one of the several factors affecting rental car demand at HNL.

5.1.3 Alternative means of transportation

The rental car provides a high level of convenience to visitors at a relatively high cost, compared to other forms of ground transportations, which may include shuttle buses, taxies, rail, or service from Transportation Network Companies (TNCs).

5.1.3.1 TNCs

The popularity of ride-hailing services such as Uber has grown rapidly in recent years, triggering concern as to whether it is eroding airport parking and rental car revenues. According to Certify, the second-largest provider of travel and expense management software in North America, Uber's share of ground transportation transactions among business travelers increased from 43 percent in the fourth quarter of 2015 to 52 percent in the fourth quarter of 2016, while the market share of rental car decreased from 38 percent to 33 percent in the same period. Exhibit 5-8 presents the year-over-year changes in transaction days for Avis Budget, compiled using data from earnings calls. The total transaction days of the leisure segment increased consistently from the 4th quarter of 2015 to the 3rd quarter of 2016 but declined by 0.5 percent in the 4th quarter of 2016. Rental days for the commercial segment diminished reliably in the course of the most recent 12 months.

Exhibit 5-8: Year-Over-Year Change of U.S. Rental Car Transaction Days Avis Budget Group, Inc.

	Q4'2015	Q1'2016	Q2'2016	Q3'2016	Q4'2016
Leisure	7% -2%	7% -3%	3% -1%	2%	-0.5% -2%
Commercial	-2%	-3%	-1%	n.a.	-2%

Source: Avis Budget (CAR) Earnings Call Transcript.

While the data may look concerning, Avis Budget management seems to believe that TNC services mainly compete against taxis. The Avis Budget management mentioned in the conference call for the 4th quarter of 2015:

- Short-length and low-mileage rentals would appear to be the ones most susceptible to replacement by taxi, radio car, or ride-hailing services;
- Volume of one-day rentals actually increased in 2015; and
- The percentage of volume that comes from customers driving less than 50 miles during the rental was unchanged year-over-year, same for less than 75 miles, same as less than 100 miles.

The Avis Budget management mentioned in the conference call for the 4th quarter of 2016 that they have not observed anything to the contrary. RACs continue to improve their customer service level to compete against TNCs and other transportation modes, including introducing new apps, such as Avis Now, and promoting membership such as Hertz Gold Plus Rewards.

TNCs operate on the islands of Oahu and Maui. However, as of the date of this Report, the Airports Division has not granted a permit to any TNCs.

5.1.3.2 Honolulu Rail Transit Project

Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transportation (HART) is constructing Honolulu Rail Transit Project (the Rail Project) on the south shore of the island of Oahu. The Rail Project is a 20-mile-long elevated fixed guideway rail system between East Kapolei and Ala Moana Center, but does not extend to Waikiki, one of the major tourism attractions on the island of Oahu. According to HART, the first phase from East Kapolei to Aloha Stadium is scheduled to open in late 2020, which does not extend to HNL, The target date of full revenue service is December 2025. The Rail Project has an estimated cost of \$6.7 billion, with \$1.55 billion of federal funding and the remaining amount funded through a half percent surcharge on goods and services purchased on Oahu and other grants and revenues. There are four park-and-ride facilities planned, and none is located at HNL.

The Rail Project includes a station at HNL, which may be opened before December 2025, with the planned location indicated in Exhibit 5.1-8. The Department does not expect to have moving sidewalks between the rail station and the HNL terminals. Considering the inconvenience of transferring between modes with luggage

and that the Rail Project does not extend to Waikiki, the Rail Project is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the rental car demand at HNL during the forecast period.



Exhibit 5-9: Proposed Concept for HNL Rail Station

Source: Airports Division records.

5.1.3.3 Other Transportation Modes

Shuttle bus services and taxis are available from Primary Airports to the main destinations on all islands.

TheBus is the public transportation system on the island of Oahu, providing extensive bus services throughout the island. Waikiki trolley is another option for visitors to Oahu to get around. The county of Maui funds a public bus system on the island of Maui. Public transportation at other islands is less developed when compared to Oahu and Maui.

5.1.4 Availability of Rental Car

The supply of rental cars is generally limited by the size of ConRACs or rental car sites, and by the availability of cars on each island. Because of the challenges of transporting cars between islands, the RACs may not be able to adjust car availability on a timely basis to accommodate changes in rental car demand. The Airports Division expects the HNL ConRAC and the OGG ConRAC to be able to accommodate the rental car demand during the forecast period.

5.1.5 Other General Factors

Any changes affecting visitor characteristics, the cost of car rentals, alternative transportation modes and availability of car rentals are likely to affect the number of CFC transaction days. Also, other general factors may influence the rental car demand, such as changes in the general economy and visitor's disposal incomes, currency exchange rates, additional state regulations and taxes, changes in tourist attractions, road conditions, among other factors.

As discussed above, the Signatory RACs include the top 4 largest U.S. rental car companies but do not include several companies claiming to be serving the local market, such as Fox Rent A Car or Economy Rent A Car. If those Local RACs expand to serve the visitors without paying concession fees or CFCs, those companies may establish a pricing advantage over the Signatory RACs, and negatively affect the CFC collection.

5.2 Forecast of CFC Transaction Days

As discussed previously, the forecast of CFC transaction days relies on three key factors:

- Visitor arrivals, as forecast in Section 3 of this Report;
- CFC car rental ratios, which can be expressed as the number of CFC transactions divided by the number of visitors; and
- Average duration of each rental car transaction.

5.2.1 CFC Car Rental Ratios

The number of CFC transactions by the Signatory RACs at Hawaii Airports System increased from approximately 1.9 million in FY 2013 and FY 2014 to 2.4 million in FY 2015, primarily due to collection of CFC from Signatory RACs' off-airport operations. The transaction count was compiled using monthly numbers. In case historical data are not available, an estimate has been used. The number of CFC transactions increased to 2.5 million in FY 2016 and is expected to increase to 2.7 million in FY 2017, based on an estimate using 6 months of actual data.

The calculated system-wide car rental ratios was 20.2 percent in FY 2016. As shown in Exhibit 5-10, CFC car rental ratios are relatively stable from FY 2013 to FY 2016, except for an increase at HNL in FY 2015, due to Signatory RACs collecting CFCs for their off-airport operations starting July 2014. The following assumptions have been made regarding car rental ratios during the forecast period through FY 2023:

- Average car rental costs paid to the RACs and all-in costs would increase at growth rates similar to or lower than general inflation, taking into account offsetting effects of competition from TNCs and RACs' desire for fleet optimization;
- Further development of TNCs may exert pressure on car rental ratios at airports near urban area, such as HNL, but may not affect ratios at other islands due to regulations and potential lack of drivers;
- RACs would continue supplying adequate available cars at each island currently served;
- The Rail Project and other forms of public or private transportation, such as driverless cars, would not be commercially available during the forecast period, and thus would not impact CFC car rental ratios during the forecast period; and
- The market share of Local RACs would not increase, due to Signatory RACs operating at convenient locations the HNL and OGG ConRACs.

Therefore, CFC car rental ratios are forecast to decline by 0.1 percentage point annually for HNL, and to stay flat for other airports during the forecast period. Exhibit 5-10 presents historical and forecast visitors, CFC car rental ratios, and CFC transactions. Total CFC transactions are forecast to increase from 2.7 million estimated for FY 2017 to 2.9 million in FY 2023, at an average annual growth rate of 1.4 percent.

Exhibit 5-10: Visitors, CFC Car Rental Ratios, and CFC Transactions

				Actual	Estimated	Forecast					
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Visitor Arrivals											
HNL	5,493	5,559	5,702	5,852	6,061	6,196	6,332	6,470	6,609	6,750	6,892
OGG	2,642	2,642	2,750	2,861	2,986	3,028	3,071	3,114	3,156	3,199	3,241
KOA	1,398	1,358	1,423	1,457	1,602	1,643	1,666	1,689	1,712	1,735	1,758
LIH											
ITO	1,280	1,270	1,306	1,338	1,407	1,428	1,450	1,471	1,493	1,515	1,536
Others	617	590	614	612	613	624	635	647	658	670	682
	<u>161</u>	157	175	156	<u>157</u>	160	163	165	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	173
Total	11,591	11,577	11,971	12,275	12,826	13,079	13,317	13,556	13,797	14,040	14,283
CFC Car Rental	Ratios										
HNL (a)	9.3%	8.0%	13.8%	13.8%	14.1%	14.0%	13.9%	13.8%	13.7%	13.6%	13.5%
OGG	25.5%	26.2%	27.2%	27.0%	26.9%	26.9%	26.9%	26.9%	26.9%	26.9%	26.9%
KOA	24.0%	25.1%	25.2%	25.8%	26.1%	25.9%	25.9%	25.9%	25.9%	25.9%	25.9%
LIH	28.7%	26.9%	27.6%	29.7%	30.3%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%
ITO	16.8%	18.1%	18.3%	18.8%	22.1%	20.5%	20.5%	20.5%	20.5%	20.5%	20.5%
Others	6.5%	7.0%	6.7%	7.7%	7.9%	7.8%	7.8%	7.8%	7.8%	7.8%	7.8%
System-wide	17.3%	16.8%	19.9%	20.2%	20.7%	20.5%	20.4%	20.3%	20.3%	20.2%	20.1%
CFC Transaction	าร										
HNL	508	447	788	807	855	868	881	894	906	919	931
OGG	673	693	749	773	802	816	827	839	850	862	873
KOA	336	341	359	376	418	426	432	438	444	450	456
LIH	368	342	360	397	426	428	435	441	448	454	461
ITO	104	107	112	115	136	128	130	132	135	137	140
Others	<u>11</u>	11	12	12	<u>12</u>	12	13	13	13	13	14
Total	2,000	1,941	2,379	2,480	2,650	2,679	2,718	2,757	2,796	2,835	2,874
% Change of Vis		als									
HNL		1.2%	2.6%	2.6%	3.6%	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	2.1%	2.1%
OGG		0.0%	4.1%	4.0%	4.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.3%
KOA		-2.9%	4.8%	2.4%	10.0%	2.5%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.3%
LIH		-0.8%	2.8%	2.5%	5.2%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.4%
ITO		-4.3%	4.0%	-0.4%	0.2%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.7%
Others		-2.4%	11.5%	-11.1%	0.8%	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%
System-wide		-0.1%	3.4%	2.5%	4.5%	2.0%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.7%
% Change of CF	C Transac										
HNL		-12.1%	76.4%	2.4%	6.0%	1.5%	1.5%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.3%
OGG		2.9%	8.0%	3.2%	3.8%	1.7%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.3%
KOA		1.7%	5.2%	4.6%	11.4%	1.9%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.3%
LIH		-7.0%	5.1%	10.4%	7.2%	0.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.4%
ΙΤΟ		3.1%	4.8%	2.6%	17.6%	-5.7%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.7%
Others		4.8%	6.1%	2.9%	2.5%	0.8%	1.7%	1.7%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%
System-wide		-2.9%	22.6%	4.2%	6.9%	1.1%	1.5%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%

Note: Figures are in thousands; (a) Increase in FY 2015 was due to Signatory RACs collecting CFC for off-airport operations.

Sources: Historical - Airports Division records; Estimated and Forecast - ICF.

5.2.2 Average Duration of CFC Transactions

Total CFC transaction days by the Signatory RACs at Hawaii Airports System increased from 12 million in FY 2013 and 2014 to 14.4 million in FY 2015, due to collection of CFC from Signatory RACs' off-airport operations. Total CFC transaction days increased to 15.2 million in FY 2016 and is expected to increase to 15.7 million in FY 2017, based on an estimate using 6 months of actual data.

The average duration system-wide was 6.12 days in FY 2016, reflecting rental car duration for DBEDT Visitors from overseas as well as duration for visitors from other islands in the State. Exhibit 5-11 presents the average duration of DBEDT Visitors at the four major islands. Average durations for DBEDT Visitors visiting Oahu increased from 2007 to 2011, and declined thereafter, due to shorter duration of visitors of all market segments. Average duration of DBEDT Visitors visiting Maui increased from 2007 to 2009 and stayed flat thereafter. Average duration of DBEDT Visitors visiting the islands of Hawaii and Kauai keeps increasing, which is likely driven by continuing development of tourism facilities at both islands.



Exhibit 5-11: Average Duration of DBEDT Visitors CY 2007 – 2016, 2007=100

As shown in Exhibit 5-12, average duration per CFC transaction generally follows the pattern of average duration of DBEDT Visitors, except for declines at KOA and ITO in FY 2016 and FY 2017. Such declines are attributable to higher growth of interisland visitors, which are considered to have a shorter duration of stay than DBEDT Visitors.

The following assumptions have been made in regards to the average duration of CFC Transactions during the forecast period through FY 2023:

- Because the growth rates of visitors from other regions are forecast to be higher than those for interisland visitors, average duration per CFC transaction is anticipated to be higher, everything else being equal; and
- There will be no changes to the distribution of attractions in the State that may negatively affect the length of stay, and thus, average duration.

Therefore, average duration per CFC transaction at HNL and OGG is forecast to stay flat during the forecast period, while average duration per CFC transaction at ITO, LIH and KOA are forecast to increase by 1 percent annually. Exhibit 5-12 presents historical and forecast average duration per CFC transaction, and CFC transaction days. Total CFC transaction days are forecast to increase from 15.7 million in FY 2017 to 17.4 million in FY 2023, at an average annual growth rate of 1.8 percent.

Exhibit 5-12: Average Duration and CFC Transaction Days

2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
508	447	788	807	855	868	881	894	906	919	931
										873
										456
										461
										140
										14
										2,874
2,000	.,	2,010	2,.00	2,000	2,010	2,110	2,101	2,	2,000	2,011
5 4 5	5 27	5 34	5 58	5 4 3	5 4 3	5 4 3	5.43	5.43	5.43	5.43
										6.57 6.60
										6.60
										3.63 3.09
										6.05
	0.19	0.00	0.12	5.91	5.95	5.97	5.99	0.01	0.05	0.05
-	2 252	4 200	4 502	1 611	4 714	1 792	4 952	4 021	4 090	5,056
					,					5,740
										3,011
										3,042
										507
										42
		14,410	15,167	15,671	15,925	16,216	16,508	16,803	17,101	17,399
		70 40/	2 40/	C 00/	1 50/	1 50/	4 40/	4 40/	4 40/	1.00/
										1.3%
										1.3%
										1.3%
										1.4%
										1.7%
										1.6%
Transact		22.0%	4.270	0.9%	1.170	1.5%	1.4 %	1.4 %	1.4 %	1.4%
	-	70.00/	7.00/	2.00/	1 50/	1 50/	4 40/	4 40/	4 40/	1.00/
										1.3%
										1.3%
										2.3%
										2.4%
										2.8%
										1.6% 1.7%
	508 673 336 104 11 2,000 5.45 6.89 6.51 6.43 3.74 3.93 6.20 Days 2,770 4,639 2,185 2,365 388 41 12,389 Transact	508 447 673 693 336 341 368 342 104 107 11 11 2,000 1,941 5.45 5.27 6.89 6.86 6.51 6.56 6.43 6.52 3.74 3.80 3.93 3.67 6.20 6.19 Days 2,770 2,365 2,231 388 407 41 41	508 447 788 673 693 749 336 341 359 368 342 360 104 107 112 104 107 112 2,000 1,941 2,379 5.45 5.27 5.34 6.89 6.86 6.72 6.51 6.56 6.60 6.43 6.52 6.48 3.74 3.80 3.79 3.93 3.67 3.42 6.20 6.19 6.06 Days 2,370 2,352 4,209 4,639 4,752 5,032 2,185 2,239 2,370 2,365 2,231 2,333 388 407 426 4.1 40 10 12,389 12,020 14,410 12,389 12,020 14,410 12,389 12,020 14,410 2,9% 8.0% <td>508 447 788 807 673 693 749 773 336 341 359 376 368 342 360 397 104 107 112 115 11 11 2 12 2,000 1,941 2,379 2,480 5.45 5.27 5.34 5.58 6.89 6.86 6.72 6.69 6.51 6.56 6.60 6.56 6.43 6.52 6.48 6.41 3.74 3.80 3.79 3.85 3.93 3.67 3.42 3.36 6.20 6.19 6.06 6.12 Days 2 2,370 2,462 2,365 2,231 2,333 2,546 388 407 426 444 41 41 40 41 12,389 12,020 14,410 15,167 77.0%</td> <td>508$447$$788$$807$$855$$673$$693$$749$$773$$802$$336$$341$$359$$376$$418$$368$$342$$360$$397$$426$$104$$107$$112$$115$$136$$11$$11$$12$$12$$12$$2,000$$1,941$$2,379$$2,480$$2,650$$5.45$$5.27$$5.34$$5.58$$5.43$$6.89$$6.86$$6.72$$6.69$$6.57$$6.51$$6.56$$6.60$$6.56$$6.22$$6.43$$6.52$$6.48$$6.41$$6.22$$3.74$$3.80$$3.79$$3.85$$3.42$$3.93$$3.67$$3.42$$3.36$$3.09$$6.20$$6.19$$6.06$$6.12$$5.91$Days$V$$V$$V$$V$$V$$2,770$$2,352$$4,209$$4,502$$4,644$$4,639$$4,752$$5,032$$5,172$$5,274$$2,365$$2,231$$2,333$$2,546$$2,650$$388$$407$$426$$444$$464$$41$$40$$41$$38$$12,389$$12,020$$14,410$$15,167$$15,671$$76.4%$$2.4%$$6.0%$$2.9%$$8.0%$$3.2%$$3.8%$$1.7%$$5.2%$$4.6%$$11.4%$$4.8%$$6.1%$$2.9%$$2.5%$$2.9%$$2.6%$$4.2%$<</td> <td>5084477888078558686736937497738028163363413593764184263683423603974264281041071121151361281111121212122,0001,9412,3792,4802,6502,6795.455.275.345.585.435.576.516.566.606.566.226.286.436.526.486.416.226.283.743.803.793.853.423.453.933.673.423.363.093.096.206.196.066.125.915.95Days$I$$I$$I$$I$$I$$I$$I$$I$2,3652,2312,3332,5462,6602,661388407426444464442414041383912,38912,02014,41015,16715,67115,925TransactionTransactionTransactionTransactionTransactionTransactionTransactionTransactionTransactionTransactionTransactionTransaction</td> <td>508447788807855868881673693749773802816827336341359376418426432368342360397426428435104107112115136128130111112121212132,0001,9412,3792,4802,6502,6792,7185,455,275,345,585,435,435,436,896,866,726,696,576,576,576,516,566,606,566,226,286,353,743,803,793,853,423,453,493,933,673,423,363,093,093,096,206,196,066,125,915,955,97Days$2$$2$,7702,3524,2094,5024,6444,7144,7834,6394,7525,0325,1725,2745,3635,4392,1852,2312,3332,5462,6502,6912,7593884074264444644424544141404138393912,18512,02014,41015,16715,67115,%11,5%38840742644446444245441414041</td> <td>508$447$$788$$807$$855$$868$$881$$894$$673$$693$$749$$773$$802$$816$$827$$839$$336$$341$$359$$376$$418$$426$$432$$438$$368$$342$$360$$397$$426$$428$$435$$4411$$104$$107$$112$$115$$136$$128$$130$$132$$11$$11$$12$$12$$12$$12$$13$$13$$2,000$$1,941$$2,379$$2,480$$2,650$$2,679$$2,718$$2,757$$5.45$$5.27$$5.34$$5.58$$5.43$$5.43$$5.43$$5.43$$5.43$$6.89$$6.86$$6.72$$6.69$$6.57$$6.57$$6.57$$6.57$$6.51$$6.56$$6.60$$6.56$$6.22$$6.28$$6.35$$6.41$$6.43$$6.52$$6.48$$6.41$$6.22$$6.28$$6.35$$6.41$$3.43$$3.67$$3.42$$3.36$$3.09$$3.09$$3.09$$3.09$$3.93$$3.67$$3.42$$3.36$$3.09$$3.09$$3.09$$2,770$$2,352$$4,209$$4,502$$4,644$$4,714$$4,783$$4,852$$4,639$$4,752$$5,032$$5,172$$5,274$$5,363$$5,439$$5,514$$2,185$$2,239$$2,370$$2,462$$2,601$$2,677$$2,741$$2,807$<</td> <td>508$447$$788$$807$$855$$868$$881$$894$$906$$673$$693$$744$$773$$802$$816$$827$$839$$850$$336$$341$$359$$376$$418$$426$$432$$438$$444$$368$$342$$360$$397$$426$$428$$435$$441$$448$$104$$107$$112$$115$$136$$128$$130$$132$$135$$11$$11$$12$$12$$12$$12$$13$$-13$$13$$2.000$$1.941$$2.379$$2.480$$2.650$$2.679$$2.718$$2.757$$2.796$$5.45$$5.27$$5.34$$5.58$$5.43$$5.43$$5.43$$5.43$$5.43$$6.86$$6.72$$6.69$$6.57$$6.57$$6.57$$6.57$$6.57$$6.51$$6.56$$6.60$$6.56$$6.22$$6.28$$6.35$$6.41$$6.47$$3.74$$3.80$$3.79$$3.85$$3.42$$3.45$$3.49$$3.52$$3.56$$3.93$$3.67$$3.42$$3.36$$3.09$$3.09$$3.09$$3.09$$3.09$$2.770$$2.352$$4.209$$4.502$$4.644$$4.714$$4.783$$4.852$$4.921$$4.639$$4.752$$5.032$$5.172$$5.274$$5.363$$5.439$$5.514$$5.590$$2.170$$2.352$$4.269$$4.661$<td< td=""><td>508 447 788 807 855 868 881 894 906 919 673 693 749 773 802 816 827 839 850 862 336 341 359 376 418 426 432 438 444 450 388 342 360 397 426 428 435 441 448 454 104 107 112 115 136 12 13 13 13 13 2000 1.941 2.379 2.480 2.650 2.679 2.718 2.757 2.796 2.835 5.45 5.27 5.34 5.58 5.43 <</td></td<></td>	508 447 788 807 673 693 749 773 336 341 359 376 368 342 360 397 104 107 112 115 11 11 2 12 2,000 1,941 2,379 2,480 5.45 5.27 5.34 5.58 6.89 6.86 6.72 6.69 6.51 6.56 6.60 6.56 6.43 6.52 6.48 6.41 3.74 3.80 3.79 3.85 3.93 3.67 3.42 3.36 6.20 6.19 6.06 6.12 Days 2 2,370 2,462 2,365 2,231 2,333 2,546 388 407 426 444 41 41 40 41 12,389 12,020 14,410 15,167 77.0%	508 447 788 807 855 673 693 749 773 802 336 341 359 376 418 368 342 360 397 426 104 107 112 115 136 11 11 12 12 12 $2,000$ $1,941$ $2,379$ $2,480$ $2,650$ 5.45 5.27 5.34 5.58 5.43 6.89 6.86 6.72 6.69 6.57 6.51 6.56 6.60 6.56 6.22 6.43 6.52 6.48 6.41 6.22 3.74 3.80 3.79 3.85 3.42 3.93 3.67 3.42 3.36 3.09 6.20 6.19 6.06 6.12 5.91 Days V V V V V $2,770$ $2,352$ $4,209$ $4,502$ $4,644$ $4,639$ $4,752$ $5,032$ $5,172$ $5,274$ $2,365$ $2,231$ $2,333$ $2,546$ $2,650$ 388 407 426 444 464 41 40 41 38 $12,389$ $12,020$ $14,410$ $15,167$ $15,671$ $76.4%$ $2.4%$ $6.0%$ $2.9%$ $8.0%$ $3.2%$ $3.8%$ $1.7%$ $5.2%$ $4.6%$ $11.4%$ $4.8%$ $6.1%$ $2.9%$ $2.5%$ $2.9%$ $2.6%$ $4.2%$ <	5084477888078558686736937497738028163363413593764184263683423603974264281041071121151361281111121212122,0001,9412,3792,4802,6502,6795.455.275.345.585.435.576.516.566.606.566.226.286.436.526.486.416.226.283.743.803.793.853.423.453.933.673.423.363.093.096.206.196.066.125.915.95Days I I I I I I I I 2,3652,2312,3332,5462,6602,661388407426444464442414041383912,38912,02014,41015,16715,67115,925TransactionTransactionTransactionTransactionTransactionTransactionTransactionTransactionTransactionTransactionTransactionTransaction	508447788807855868881673693749773802816827336341359376418426432368342360397426428435104107112115136128130111112121212132,0001,9412,3792,4802,6502,6792,7185,455,275,345,585,435,435,436,896,866,726,696,576,576,576,516,566,606,566,226,286,353,743,803,793,853,423,453,493,933,673,423,363,093,093,096,206,196,066,125,915,955,97Days 2 2 ,7702,3524,2094,5024,6444,7144,7834,6394,7525,0325,1725,2745,3635,4392,1852,2312,3332,5462,6502,6912,7593884074264444644424544141404138393912,18512,02014,41015,16715,67115,%11,5%38840742644446444245441414041	508 447 788 807 855 868 881 894 673 693 749 773 802 816 827 839 336 341 359 376 418 426 432 438 368 342 360 397 426 428 435 4411 104 107 112 115 136 128 130 132 11 11 12 12 12 12 13 13 $2,000$ $1,941$ $2,379$ $2,480$ $2,650$ $2,679$ $2,718$ $2,757$ 5.45 5.27 5.34 5.58 5.43 5.43 5.43 5.43 5.43 6.89 6.86 6.72 6.69 6.57 6.57 6.57 6.57 6.51 6.56 6.60 6.56 6.22 6.28 6.35 6.41 6.43 6.52 6.48 6.41 6.22 6.28 6.35 6.41 3.43 3.67 3.42 3.36 3.09 3.09 3.09 3.09 3.93 3.67 3.42 3.36 3.09 3.09 3.09 $2,770$ $2,352$ $4,209$ $4,502$ $4,644$ $4,714$ $4,783$ $4,852$ $4,639$ $4,752$ $5,032$ $5,172$ $5,274$ $5,363$ $5,439$ $5,514$ $2,185$ $2,239$ $2,370$ $2,462$ $2,601$ $2,677$ $2,741$ $2,807$ <	508 447 788 807 855 868 881 894 906 673 693 744 773 802 816 827 839 850 336 341 359 376 418 426 432 438 444 368 342 360 397 426 428 435 441 448 104 107 112 115 136 128 130 132 135 11 11 12 12 12 12 13 -13 13 2.000 1.941 2.379 2.480 2.650 2.679 2.718 2.757 2.796 5.45 5.27 5.34 5.58 5.43 5.43 5.43 5.43 5.43 6.86 6.72 6.69 6.57 6.57 6.57 6.57 6.57 6.51 6.56 6.60 6.56 6.22 6.28 6.35 6.41 6.47 3.74 3.80 3.79 3.85 3.42 3.45 3.49 3.52 3.56 3.93 3.67 3.42 3.36 3.09 3.09 3.09 3.09 3.09 2.770 2.352 4.209 4.502 4.644 4.714 4.783 4.852 4.921 4.639 4.752 5.032 5.172 5.274 5.363 5.439 5.514 5.590 2.170 2.352 4.269 4.661 <td< td=""><td>508 447 788 807 855 868 881 894 906 919 673 693 749 773 802 816 827 839 850 862 336 341 359 376 418 426 432 438 444 450 388 342 360 397 426 428 435 441 448 454 104 107 112 115 136 12 13 13 13 13 2000 1.941 2.379 2.480 2.650 2.679 2.718 2.757 2.796 2.835 5.45 5.27 5.34 5.58 5.43 <</td></td<>	508 447 788 807 855 868 881 894 906 919 673 693 749 773 802 816 827 839 850 862 336 341 359 376 418 426 432 438 444 450 388 342 360 397 426 428 435 441 448 454 104 107 112 115 136 12 13 13 13 13 2000 1.941 2.379 2.480 2.650 2.679 2.718 2.757 2.796 2.835 5.45 5.27 5.34 5.58 5.43 <

Note: Figures are in thousands; (a) Increase in FY 2015 was due to Signatory RACs collecting CFC for off-airport operations.

Sources: Historical - Airports Division records; Estimated and Forecast - ICF.

[This page intentionally left blank]

6. FINANCIAL SECTION

The purpose of this financial analysis is to evaluate the Department's ability to generate adequate CFCs and other revenues to meet the requirement of the Rate Covenant and other covenants through FY 2023, taking into account the EB-5 Bonds, the proposed 2017 CFC Bonds and the 2019 CFC Bonds to be issued associated with the Hawaii ConRAC Program. The Airports Division manages the Hawaii Airports System as an enterprise fund of the State, and its financial operation is largely governed by a Revenue Bond Certificate (defined below), the airline agreements, and other contractual arrangements. Collection and use of CFCs are governed by the State legislation, the CFC Indenture, and the RAC Agreements.

6.1 Financial Framework

The Airports Division issues Airports System Revenue Bonds under the "Certificate of the Director of Transportation Providing for the Issuance of State of Hawaii Airports System Revenue Bonds" dated as of May 1, 1969, as amended and supplemented (the Revenue Bond Certificate). Section 11.01 of the Revenue Bonds Certificate permits the construction of Special Facilities, such as Hawaii ConRAC Program, and execution of Special Facility Leases, such as RAC Agreements. Section 11.02 provides for the issue of Special Obligation Bonds, such as Bonds issued under the CFC Indenture. Ground rents and concession revenues paid by the RACs are part of Aviation Revenues and Fuel Taxes pledged for the payment of revenue bonds, but CFCs and Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments are not included in Aviation Revenues and Taxes, and are not pledged to the Airports System Revenue Bonds.

6.1.1 Hawaii Legislation Regarding CFCs

Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) Section 261-5.6 Rental motor vehicle customer facility charge special fund governs the collection and use of customer facility charge in the State. CFC revenues can only be used for enhancement, renovation, operation, and maintenance of existing rental motor vehicle customer facilities and the development of new rental motor vehicle customer facilities and related services at State airports. HRS Section 261-7(f) provides the CFC level per transaction day - \$4.50 as of the date of this Report. The Department has the power to adjust the CFC level when necessary, without rulemaking or legislative approval.

The following key legislative actions are related to the CFC:

- 1. Act 226, Session Laws of Hawaii 2008, revised HRS Sections 261-5.6 and 261-7(f) and established the CFC collection level at \$1.00 per transaction day, effective September 1, 2008;
- 2. Act 204, Session Laws of Hawaii 2010, increased the CFC collection level to \$4.50 per transaction day, effective September 1, 2010; and
- 3. Act 104, Session Laws of Hawaii 2011, eliminated the CFC collection from July 1, 2011 to June 30, 2012. At the same time, Act 104 provides for the increase of the rental motor vehicle surcharge tax from \$2 per day to \$7.50 per day, and the deposit of the equivalent of \$4.50 per day into the state treasury to the credit of the general fund.

Other CFC-related bills were introduced and vetoed in previous state legislative sessions. Future legislations cannot violate the Contract Clause of the U.S. Constitution, and the DOT has established a contractual obligation to use the CFCs for the debt service on the Bonds through the Indenture, among other uses of the CFCs. For the purpose of this Report, it is expected that there will be no additional legislation regarding the collection and use of CFC revenues.

The Department has covenanted that it would review the level of the CFC and the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency and may adjust both, to the extent permitted by Law, to meet the Annual CFC Target, as discussed in the section "Financial Covenant".

6.1.2 RAC Agreements

In May 2015, the Department executed RAC Agreements with 5 RACs, which have been conducting the majority of rental car operations at Hawaii Airports System airports. Advantage Opco, LLC and E-Z Rent-A-car Holding, LLC merged in June 2015.

1. Advantage Opco, LLC (Advantage Rent A Car/E-Z Rent-a-car);

- 2. Avis/Budget Rent A Car LLC (the Avis Group), doing business as Avis Rent A Car (Avis), Budget Rent A Car (Budget), Payless Car Rental (Payless), and Zipcar;
- 3. Enterprise Holdings Inc. (the Enterprise Group), doing business as Enterprise Rent-A-Car (Enterprise), Alamo Rent A Car (Alamo), and National Car Rental (National); and
- 4. The Hertz Corporation, doing business as Hertz, Dollar Rent A Car (Dollar), Thrifty Car Rental (Thrifty), and Firefly.

Several Local RACs operate outside the airport, including Fox Rent A Car at OGG and LIH, Economy Rent A Car at HNL and LIH, Harper Car and Truck Rental at ITO and KOA, among other RACs. Local RACs do not pay any fees or CFCs to the Airports Division.

RAC Agreements cover access to statewide rental car facilities, including the HNL ConRAC, the OGG ConRAC, and future ConRACs that the Department may construct. RAC Agreements are valid on the day of execution and expire on the 30th-anniversary date of facility occupancy or the retirement date of all Bonds issued under the CFC Indenture, whichever is later unless terminated earlier. RAC Agreements cover the following issues, among other provisions:

- The premises to be occupied by each RAC in the ConRACs;
- Payments to be made by the RACs, and associated reporting requirements, auditing provisions, condition of relief, among other issues;
- Construction of ConRACs and RAC improvements;
- Maintenance, repair and operating responsibilities and expenses;
- Permitted use of the ConRACs;
- Condition of issuing additional bonds to fund facilities other than the HNL ConRAC and the OGG ConRAC; and
- A clause regarding initial cost threshold, which no longer applies.

Starting from the Payment Commencement Date that is estimated to be May 2019 for the OGG ConRAC and January 1, 2021 for the HNL ConRAC, the Signatory RACs will:

- Starting paying ground rents;
- Pay Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency, which is required if 115 percent of debt service on Bonds and other required fund deposits are higher than annual CFC revenues;
- Continue paying concession fee, which equals the Minimum Annual Guarantee, or 10 percent of Gross Receipts, whichever is higher; and
- Continue collecting CFCs and promptly remitting to the Trustee. RAC shall have no legal or equitable ownership or property interest in or to the CFCs.

Ground rent and concession fees are not pledged for the payment of principal and interest on Bonds.

6.1.3 The CFC Indenture

The CFC Indenture provides for establishments of funds and accounts and specifies that all Revenues received by the Trustee shall be deposited to the CFC Revenue Fund. Revenues mean CFCs, Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments and any other sums paid to the Trustee for deposit in the CFC Revenue Fund.

Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency is defined as "...the sum of 115 percent of Aggregate Debt Service for all Outstanding Bonds plus the amount necessary, from time to time, to increase the amount on deposit in the Rolling Coverage Fund, Debt Service Reserve Fund, Rebate Fund, Administrative Expense Fund, Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund and CFC Stabilization Fund to the amount required to be deposited into such Fund hereunder."

Aggregate Debt Service is defined as "... the amount of all interest accrued for such period plus the amount required to pay principal coming due during such period on such Bonds... net of interest earned on any Fund or Account and deposited into the Debt Service Fund and Subordinate Debt Service Fund (as applicable) during such period and available for payment of principal of or interest on such Bonds.

6.1.4 Flow of Funds

After the Permanent Financing Date, which is the time of issuance for the proposed 2017 CFC Bonds, the Trustee will apply the money in the CFC Revenue Fund to the Debt Service Fund, and then to other funds and accounts under Section 5.03(c) of the CFC Indenture.

In the supplemental indenture associated with the proposed 2017 CFC Bonds, the CFC Stabilization Fund Minimum Requirement is defined as 50 percent of the Maximum Annual Debt Service on the Bonds (other than Subordinate Bonds) then outstanding. The Department intends to use the bond proceeds to meet the initial requirements of Rolling Coverage Fund, Debt Service Reserve Fund and CFC Stabilization Fund and does not expect further deposit requirements for such funds unless additional Bonds are issued.

6.1.5 Financial Covenants

In Section 6.04, the Department covenants to:

- 1. Require the Signatory RACs to remit the CFC collection and the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments directly to the Trustee;
- 2. Set the CFC to meet the Annual CFC Target, to the extent permitted by law;
- 3. Require the Signatory RACs to remit the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments to the Trustee, which means 115 percent of debt service less annual CFC collect, as provided in the RAC Agreements; and
- 4. Ensure the sum of CFCs and Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments, together with the funds in the Rolling Coverage Fund (up to 25 percent of the Aggregate Debt Service) is no less than 140 percent of the Aggregate Debt Service, referred to as the Rate Covenant.

The Annual CFC Target is defined as the sum of payments to (a) pay principal of and interest on the Bonds, (b) reimburse the Rolling Coverage Fund, the Debt Service Reserve Fund or the Subordinate Reserve Fund for any drawings, (c) pay yield reduction payments if needed, (d) maintain the balance of the CFC Stabilization Fund, and (e) maintain the balance of the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund.

	Exhibit 6-1: Flow of Funds after Permanent Financing Date The CFC Indenture Hawaii Airports System
Priority	CFC Revenue Fund
-	Depository for Customer Facility Charge
1	Debt Service Fund
	To pay principal and interest on the Bonds
2	Rolling Coverage Fund
	To maintain the Rolling Coverage Requirement
3	Debt Service Reserve Fund
	To maintain the Debt Service Fund Requirement
4	Cubardinata Daht Comvine Fund
4	Subordinate Debt Service Fund
	To pay debt service for Subordinate Bonds
5	Subordinate Reserve Fund
5	To provide a reserve for Subordinate Bonds
6	Rebate Fund
0	To pay arbitrage rebate required for any Tax-Exempt Bonds
7	Administrative Expense Fund
	To pay the Department's expenses in administering the ConRAC
	System
8	Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund
	To provide for repairs and improvements to the ConRAC System
9	Operation and Maintenance Fund
9	Operation and Maintenance Fund To provide for operation and maintenance of the ConRAC System
	To provide for operation and maintenance of the Contrac System
10	CFC Stabilization Fund
10	To establish a stabilization reserve
	Unreimbursed Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments
11	and Operation and Maintenance Requests Fund
	To fund the Unreimbursed Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency
	Payments and Operation and Maintenance Requests Fund Requirement
12	Discretionary Fund
	To repay certain amounts under the EB-5 Agreement and to develop,
	enhance, renovate, operate and maintain the ConRAC System and
	related services

Source: the CFC Indenture.

6.1.6 Additional Bond Tests

The 2017 CFC Bonds are to be issued as the Initial Bonds under the CFC Indenture. To issue Additional Bonds, the Department would deliver to the Trustee:

- a. a report of a Consultant to the effect that for each of the three Fiscal Years following the date of issuance of such Additional Bonds or the date of final expenditure of capitalized interest funded from such Additional Bonds, whichever is later, (i) the CFCs, at the then current level and taking into account any other level as has been approved and will be imposed during the forecast period, projected to be remitted to the Trustee (together with investment earnings on the Funds, excluding the Project Fund, held under this Indenture) are expected, as of the end of each such Fiscal Year, to be at least equal to 1.25 times the Maximum Annual Debt Service on all Bonds Outstanding (including such Additional Bonds), other than Subordinate Bonds, (ii) the Rate Covenant is expected to be satisfied, and (iii) the CFCs are projected to be sufficient to meet the Annual CFC Target; or
- b. a certificate of the Department to the effect that for any consecutive 12 months out of the immediately preceding 18 months (i) the CFCs received by the Trustee (together with investment earnings on the Funds, excluding the Project Fund, held under this Indenture) were at least equal to 1.25 times the Maximum Annual Debt Service due on all Bonds Outstanding (including such Additional Bonds), other than Subordinate Bonds, (ii) the Rate Covenant was satisfied, and (iii) the CFCs met the Annual CFC Target.

As of the date of this Report, the Department does not plan to issue Additional Bonds other than the 2017 CFC Bonds and the 2019 CFC Bonds.

6.2 Financial Forecasts

6.2.1 Project Costs and Sources of Funding

Exhibit A presents the expected costs of the Hawaii ConRAC Program.

- ► The HNL ConRAC Program has a total estimated cost of \$438.2 million, with \$59.4 million or 13.5 percent spent through FY 2016. The HNL ConRAC has a total cost of \$329.9 million, including approximately \$15.0 million of project contingency, or 4.8 percent of the base construction contract value;
- ➤ The OGG ConRAC Program has a total estimated cost of \$436.4 million, with \$49.5 million or 11.3 percent spent through FY 2016. The OGG ConRAC has a total cost of \$376.6 million, including approximately \$20.2 million of project contingency, or 6.1 percent of the base construction contract value; and
- The LIH land acquisition and program management expenses have a total cost of \$26.6 million.

The cost estimates presented in Exhibit A do not include costs for potential future ConRAC at other airports of the Hawaii Airports System.

6.2.2 Outstanding CFC Indebtedness – EB-5 Bonds

The Department entered into a special facility financing agreement (the EB-5 Agreement) with Hawaii Regional Center, LP 1 and LP IA (the Lenders) and CanAm HI GP I, LLC (the Agent) in August 2014, referred to as the EB-5 Bonds. The loan agreement has a capacity of \$76 million, which must be used to fund the costs of the HNL ConRAC and its enabling projects.

The Lenders were created to permit foreign investors to invest in an immigration investor program (the EB-5 Program) administered by the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services. The EB-5 Program permits foreign citizens to receive permanent United States residency in exchange for making a minimum investment of \$500,000 in a new commercial enterprise (such as rental car projects at HNL) that will create at least 10 direct and/or indirect full-time jobs per minimum investment unit. Article 4.8 of the EB-5 Agreement has specified a job creation requirement and a timeline to withdraw the funds. Due to the bid protest of the OGG ConRAC,

which is a force majeure event that was resolved, the Department has worked with the Lenders in revising the timeline and has fully withdrawn the loan facility in FY 2017. The Department expects to be in compliance with other requirements of the EB-5 Agreement.

The CFC Indenture governs the issuance of the EB-5 Bonds. The principal and interest are payable from Pledged Receipts, which primarily includes future CFC collections and balance of certain funds. The EB-5 Bonds carry an annual interest rate of 1.5 percent, and has a principal repayment date of September 2019, 5 years after the initial borrowing in September 2014, unless prepaid one year prior to maturity as allowed under Article 2.3 of the EB-5 Agreement or refunded.

6.2.3 Proposed 2017 CFC Bonds and Planned 2019 CFC Bonds

The proposed 2017 CFC Bonds are to be issued as fixed-rate bonds with a term of 30 years and leveled debt service. Public Financial Management, Inc. (PFM), the Airports Division's financial advisor, provided estimated sources and uses of the bond fund for the Proposed 2017 CFC Bonds, and annual principal and interest payments. The proposed 2017 CFC Bonds has an all-in true-interest-cost (TIC) of 5.30 percent, estimated in May 2017, which is higher than comparable rates in May 2017.

PFM also provided the estimated sources and uses and annual principal and interest for the planned 2019 CFC Bonds, which are expected to be issued in January 2019 to provide additional funding for the ConRAC Program and to refund the EB-5 Bonds. The 2019 CFC Bonds are expected to be issued as fixed-rate bonds with a term of 30 years and level debt service, carrying an estimated all-in TIC of 6.06 percent.

6.2.4 Future Bonds

The Department has started evaluating a potential ConRAC at LIH. However, as of the date of this Report, the Department has not determined the potential cost for construction, or whether it is financially feasibility to proceed with the potential LIH ConRAC. In FY 2016, CFC transaction days at LIH were 2.5 million, less than half of the CFC transaction days at OGG. For the purpose of this Report, it was assumed that no future Bonds would be issued beyond the planned 2019 CFC Bonds.

As of the date of this Report, the Department has no Subordinate Bonds and does not expect to issue Subordinate Bonds in the future.

6.2.5 Debt Service and Other Fund Deposits

Exhibit C presents the estimated debt service for the Bonds to be issued under the CFC Indenture. Interest earnings of the Debt Service Fund will be kept within the fund to reduce the required fund deposits. Also, interest earnings of the Debt Service Reserve Fund are expected to be transferred to the Debt Service Fund, reducing the anticipated amount of Aggregate Debt Service. Aggregate Debt Service is expected to be \$12.8 million in FY 2018, increasing to \$26.2 million in FY 2018 and \$33.5 million in FY 2019 upon the issuance of the planned 2019 CFC Bonds, and to stay virtually flat thereafter through the final maturity date of FY 2048.

Among all the fund requirements presented in the flow of funds chart, the requirements related to the Rolling Coverage Fund, Debt Service Reserve Fund, and CFC Stabilization Fund are expected to be funded from bond proceeds. Exhibit C presents the expected fund deposit requirements for the Administrative Expenses Fund, the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund, and the Operation and Maintenance Fund.

- The funds in Administrative Expenses Fund are to be used for annual expenses incurred by the Department in connection with the administration of the Bonds, including, without limitation, Rating Agency fees and audit fees. The annual requirement is assumed to be \$0.2 million, escalated at 5 percent annually;
- The funds in the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund are to be used for annual capital improvements, repairs and replacements related to the ConRACs. The annual deposit is assumed to be 0.5 percent of the replacement value, escalated at 5 percent annually; and
- The funds in the Operation and Maintenance Fund are to be used to reimburse the Signatory RACs for annual operation and maintenance expenses for the ConRACs and related bus/Tram operations, escalated at 5 percent annually.

- The O&M expenses for the HNL ConRAC and related bussing costs are assumed to be approximately \$13 million annually starting from January 2021, or half year in FY 2021; and
- The O&M expenses for the OGG ConRAC and related Tram are assumed to be approximately \$8 million annually starting from April 1, 2019.

As of the date of this Report, there are no anticipated deposits into Subordinate Debt Service Fund, Subordinate Reserve Fund, or the Rebate Fund.

6.2.6 CFCs and Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments

Exhibit D presents the forecast domestic O&D visitors, CFC transactions, CFC transaction days and CFCs. It was assumed that the CFC level would stay at \$4.50 throughout the forecast period. CFCs are forecast to increase from \$70.5 million estimated for FY 2017 to \$78.3 million in FY 2023. As of June 30, 2016, the Airports Division has a CFC cash balance of \$216.5 million (including unspent proceeds of the EB-5 Bonds), and an additional borrowing capacity of \$41.1 million under the EB-5 loan. The Department has fully withdrawn the proceeds of the EB-5 Bonds in FY 2017.

Exhibit E presents the calculation of Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments, comparing the sum of 115 percent of Aggregate Debt Service and deposits to Rolling Coverage Fund, Debt Service Reserve Fund, Rebate Fund, Administrative Expense Fund, Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund, and CFC Stabilization Fund with CFCs collected. Based on the assumptions detailed in this Report, there is no anticipated Minimum Annual Requirement Deficient Payment through 2023.

6.2.7 Application of Revenues

Exhibit F presents the application of Revenues in the CFC Revenue Fund, which includes CFCs remitted to the Trustee and interest earnings generated from certain funds. After applying to priority 1 through 12 shown in In the supplemental indenture associated with the proposed 2017 CFC Bonds, the CFC Stabilization Fund Minimum Requirement is defined as 50 percent of the Maximum Annual Debt Service on the Bonds (other than Subordinate Bonds) then outstanding. The Department intends to use the bond proceeds to meet the initial requirements of Rolling Coverage Fund, Debt Service Reserve Fund and CFC Stabilization Fund and does not expect further deposit requirements for such funds unless additional Bonds are issued.

6.2.8 Financial Covenants

In Section 6.04, the Department covenants to:

- 5. Require the Signatory RACs to remit the CFC collection and the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments directly to the Trustee;
- 6. Set the CFC to meet the Annual CFC Target, to the extent permitted by law;
- Require the Signatory RACs to remit the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments to the Trustee, which means 115 percent of debt service less annual CFC collect, as provided in the RAC Agreements; and
- 8. Ensure the sum of CFCs and Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments, together with the funds in the Rolling Coverage Fund (up to 25 percent of the Aggregate Debt Service) is no less than 140 percent of the Aggregate Debt Service, referred to as the Rate Covenant.

The Annual CFC Target is defined as the sum of payments to (a) pay principal of and interest on the Bonds, (b) reimburse the Rolling Coverage Fund, the Debt Service Reserve Fund or the Subordinate Reserve Fund for any drawings, (c) pay yield reduction payments if needed, (d) maintain the balance of the CFC Stabilization Fund, and (e) maintain the balance of the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund.

Exhibit 6-1, the remaining amount is to be deposited into the Discretionary Fund, which can be used for any legal purposes, including funding the construction costs of the ConRACs.

6.2.9 Conclusions

Exhibit G presents the evaluation of certain CFC covenants in Section 6.04 of the CFC Indenture (the Base Case). At the current CFC level of \$4.50, the Department is expected to generate enough CFCs to cover the Annual CFC Target, as required in Section 6.04(b), without incurring Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payment.

Besides, the Department is forecast to generate enough CFCs, together with the balance in the Rolling Coverage Fund to be at least 140 percent of Aggregate Debt Service, as required by the Rate Covenant in Section 6.04(d) of the CFC Indenture. For the purpose of this test, the funding balance in the Rolling Coverage Fund is limited to no more than 25 percent of Aggregate Debt Service. Debt service coverage calculated under this section are forecast to be more than 2.40x throughout the forecast period.

6.3 Sensitivity Tests

Exhibits G1 and G2 presents two hypothetical sensitivity tests regarding the Department's ability to satisfy the CFC covenants.

Exhibit G1 presents the evaluation of CFC covenants, assuming that CFC transaction days would decline by 20 percent starting FY 2019, and resume the annual growth rates presented in the Base Case. CFCs would decline from \$71.7 million in FY 2018 to \$58.4 million in FY 2019, and increase to \$62.6 million in FY 2023. The Department is expected to generate enough CFCs to cover the Annual CFC Target, without incurring Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payment. Debt service coverage would decline by approximately 40 to 50 percentage points, but would stay above 2.00x throughout the forecast period.

Exhibit G2 presents the evaluation of CFC covenants, assuming that the Department would issue additional bonds in July 2020 to fund additional \$150 million of project costs. The hypothetical bonds are assumed to be issued at the same borrowing rates and structure as the planned 2017 Bonds. The Department is expected to generate enough CFCs to cover the Annual CFC Target, without incurring Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payment. Debt service coverage would decline by approximately 60 to 70 percentage points, but would stay above 1.80x throughout the forecast period.
					-										
Projects		Total	hrough 2016		2017		2018		2019	2020	2021	20	22	2	023
HNL ConRAC Program															
Consolidated Car Rental Facility-Design	\$	22,500	\$ 17,064	\$	1,359	\$	1,359	\$	1,359	\$ 1,359	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
HNL Roadway and Misc. Improvements		8,848	8,745		103		-		-	-	-		-		-
Consolidated Car Rental Facility-CM		24,500	2,913		5,397		5,397		5,397	5,397	-		-		-
HNL Interim Car Rental Facility		36,410	30,633		5,777		-		-	-	-		-		-
HNL Consolidated Car Rental Facility		329,900	-		73,930		102,249		80,013	71,507	2,200		-		-
HNL ConRAC Buses		16,000	 -	_	16,000	_	-	_	-	 -	 -				-
Total HNL	\$	438,158	\$ 59,355	\$	102,566	\$	109,005	\$	86,769	\$ 78,263	\$ 2,200	\$	-	\$	-
OGG ConRAC Program															
Airport Access Road To Hana Highway	\$	59,088	\$ 37,945	\$	14,200	\$	6,944	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Roadway Improvements and ConRAC Facility		376,558	10,998		149,549		188,550		26,256	1,205	-		-		-
New Rental Car Storage Lot, Kahului		800	 590		210		-		-	 -	 -		-		-
Total OGG	\$	436,446	\$ 49,533	\$	163,958	\$	195,494	\$	26,256	\$ 1,205	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
LIH ConRAC Program															
Lihue Airport Land Acquisition, Phase 2	\$	21,300	\$ 9,300	\$	12,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Lihue Consolidated Rental Car Facility	_	-	 -	_	-	_	-	_	-	 -	 -		_		-
Total LIH	\$	21,300	\$ 9,300	\$	12,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Other Rental Car Projects															
CONRAC Program Mgmt Support, Phase I	\$	1,760	\$ 1,760	\$	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
CONRAC Program Mgmt Support, Phase II		2,000	1,320		680		-		-	-	-		-		-
Future Program Management Services		1,440	-		-		720		720	-	-		-		-
Statewide ConRAC Facilities Plan		292	292		-		-		-	-	-		-		-
KOA & ITO Studies	_	-	 -	_	-	_	-	_	-	 -	 -		-		-
Total Other Projects	\$	5,492	\$ 3,371	\$	680	\$	720	\$	720	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Total Consolidated Rental Car Program	\$	901,395	\$ 121,560	\$	279,204	\$	305,219	\$	113,745	\$ 79,468	\$ 2,200	\$	-	\$	-

Table A: Project Costs and Funding Sources Hawaii Airports System (for the 12 months ending June 30; in thousands)

Note: Historical data in this exhibit was based on a range of publicly available sources. The analysis and assumptions relied on this information, and were reviewed and approved by the Airport Division management.

Source: Airports Division records, as of May 2017.



Table B: Sources and Uses of Funds Hawaii Airports System (in thousands)

	EB-5 3onds		7 CFC onds	019 CFC Bonds	(Others		Total
Sources of Funds								
Bond Proceeds	\$ 76,000	\$ 2	53,990	\$ 225,980	\$	-	\$	555,970
Premium	-		-	-		-		-
Interest Earnings	-		-	-		-		-
Cash and Grants	-		-	-		1,076		1,076
CFC Collected as of December 2016						342,515		342,515
Future CFC Collection	 -		-	 -	_	124,804	_	124,804
Total Sources of Funds	\$ 76,000	\$ 2	53,990	\$ 225,980	\$	468,395	\$	1,024,365
Uses of Funds								
Deposit to Project Fund	\$ 76,000	\$ 23	30,000	\$ 127,000	\$	468,395	\$	901,395
Refunding EB-5 Bonds	-			76,000		-		76,000
Capitalized Interest	-		-	-		-		-
Deposit to Debt Service Reserve Fund	-		17,364	16,623		-		33,987
Deposit to Rolling Coverage Fund	-		4,341	4,155		-		8,497
Costs of Issuance	 -		2,284	 2,202	_	-	_	4,486
Total Uses of Funds	\$ 76,000	\$ 2	53,990	\$ 225,980	\$	468,395	\$	1,024,365

Note: Historical data in this exhibit was based on a range of publicly available sources. The analysis and assumptions relied on this information, and were reviewed and approved by the Airport Division management.

Source: Public Financial Management, Inc., May 2017.



Table C: Fund Deposit Requirements Hawaii Airports System (for the 12 months ending June 30; in thousands)

	;	2015		torical 2016		imated 2017		orecast 2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		2023
Aggregate Debt Service	•		•	504	•	005	•	4.440	•	005	•		•		•		•	
EB-5 Bonds	\$	442	\$	524	\$	685	\$	1,140	\$	665	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Series 2017 CFC Bonds		-		-		-		11,911		17,363		17,363		17,362		17,361		17,360
Series 2019 CFC Bonds	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	8,650	_	16,618	_	16,621	_	16,621	_	16,616
Subtotal	\$	442	\$	524	\$	685	\$	13,051	\$	26,678	\$	33,981	\$	33,983	\$	33,982	\$	33,976
Less: Debt Service Fund Interest Earnings		-		-		-	-	(65)		(133)		(170)	-	(170)	-	(170)	_	<u>(170</u>)
Deposit to Debt Service Fund	\$	442	\$	524	\$	685	\$	12,985	\$	26,545	\$	33,811	\$	33,813	\$	33,812	\$	33,806
Less:																		(
Debt Service Reserve Fund Interest Earnings		-		-		-		(174)		(340)		(340)	_	(340)		(340)	_	(340)
Aggregate Debt Service	\$	442	\$	524	\$	685	\$	12,812	\$	26,205	\$	33,471	\$	33,473	\$	33,473	\$	33,466
Administrative Expenses Fund	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	200	\$	210	\$	221	\$	232	\$	243	\$	255
Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund																		
HNL ConRAC	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	825	\$	1,732	\$	1,819
OGG ConRAC		-		-		-		-		471		1,977		2,076		2,180		2,289
LIH ConRAC		-		-		-		-	_	-		-		-		-		-
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	471	\$	1,977	\$	2,901	\$	3,912	\$	4,107
Operation and Maintenance Fund																		
HNL Facility Maintenance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,735	\$	5,743	\$	6,030
HNL Busing Expenses		-		-		-		-		-		-		3,647		7,658		8,041
OGG Facility Maintenance		-		-		-		-		1,240		5,209		5,470		5,743		6,030
OGG Tram Expenses		-		-		-		-		689		2,894		3,039		3,191		3,350
LIH Facility Maintenance		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
LIH Busing Expenses		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,929	\$	8,103	\$	14,890	\$	22,335	\$	23,452

Note: Historical data in this exhibit was based on a range of publicly available sources. The analysis and assumptions relied on this information, and were reviewed and approved by the Airport Division management.

Source: Gross Debt Service – PFM; other fund deposits – ICF.

Table D: Forecast of Transaction Days and CFC Revenues Hawaii Airports System (for the 12 months ending June 30; in thousands)

	2015	istorical 2016	Es	timated 2017	F	orecast 2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Visitors											
HNL	5,702	5,852		6,061		6,196	6,332	6,470	6,609	6,750	6,892
OGG	2,750	2,861		2,986		3,028	3,071	3,114	3,156	3,199	3,241
KOA	1,423	1,457		1,602		1,643	1,666	1,689	1,712	1,735	1,758
LIH	1,306	1,338		1,407		1,428	1,450	1,471	1,493	1,515	1,536
ITO	614	612		613		624	635	647	658	670	682
Others	 175	 156		157		160	 163	 165	 168	 171	 173
Total Visitors	11,971	12,275		12,826		13,079	13,317	13,556	13,797	14,040	14,283
Change	3.4%	2.5%		4.5%		2.0%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.7%
Transaction Days											
HNL	4,209	4,502		4,644		4,714	4,783	4,852	4,921	4,989	5,056
OGG	5,032	5,172		5,274		5,363	5,439	5,514	5,590	5,665	5,740
KOA	2,370	2,462		2,601		2,677	2,741	2,807	2,874	2,942	3,011
LIH	2,333	2,546		2,650		2,691	2,759	2,828	2,898	2,970	3,042
ITO	426	444		464		442	454	467	480	493	507
Others	 40	 41		38		39	 39	 40	 40	 41	 42
Total Transaction Days	14,410	15,167		15,671		15,925	16,216	16,508	16,803	17,101	17,399
Change	19.9%	5.2%		3.3%		1.6%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.7%
Customer Facility Charge Level	\$ 4.50	\$ 4.50	\$	4.50	\$	4.50	\$ 4.50	\$ 4.50	\$ 4.50	\$ 4.50	\$ 4.50
Customer Facility Charge Revenues											
HNL	\$ 19,064	\$ 20,260	\$	20,899	\$	21,213	\$ 21,525	\$ 21,835	\$ 22,144	\$ 22,450	\$ 22,753
OGG	22,654	23,274		23,732		24,136	24,475	24,814	25,154	25,493	25,831
KOA	10,671	11,081		11,707		12,045	12,337	12,633	12,935	13,241	13,551
LIH	10,505	11,456		11,925		12,110	12,415	12,725	13,042	13,364	13,690
ITO	1,918	1,997		2,086		1,987	2,043	2,101	2,159	2,220	2,281
Others	 180	 182		172		173	 176	 179	 182	 185	 188
Total CFC Revenues (a)	\$ 64,992	\$ 68,250	\$	70,520	\$	71,663	\$ 72,970	\$ 74,287	\$ 75,616	\$ 76,953	\$ 78,293
Change	20.2%	5.0%		3.3%		1.6%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.7%

Note: (a) CFC Revenues shown are higher than audited numbers by approximately \$175,000 in FY 2015, and lower by \$146,000 in FY 2016; Historical data in this exhibit was based on a range of publicly available sources. The analysis and assumptions relied on this information, and were reviewed and approved by the Airport Division management.



Table E: Calculation of Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments Hawaii Airports System (for the 12 months ending June 30; in thousands)

		2015	Hi	istorical 2016	Es	stimated 2017	F	orecast 2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Minimum Annual Requirement													
Aggregate Debt Service	\$	442	\$	524	\$	685	\$	12,812	\$ 26,205	\$ 33,471	\$ 33,473	\$ 33,473	\$ 33,466
Additional Requirement Ratio		<u>115.0</u> %		<u>115.0</u> %		<u>115.0</u> %		<u>115.0</u> %	<u>115.0</u> %	<u>115.0</u> %	<u>115.0</u> %	<u>115.0</u> %	115.0%
115% of Aggregate Debt Service	\$	509	\$	602	\$	788	\$	14,733	\$ 30,135	\$ 38,491	\$ 38,494	\$ 38,494	\$ 38,486
Deposit to Rolling Coverage Fund		-		-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Deposit to Debt Service Reserve Fund		-		-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Deposit to Rebate Fund		-		-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Deposit to Administrative Expense Fund		-		-		-		200	210	221	232	243	255
Deposit to CIRRF (a)		-		-		-		-	471	1,977	2,901	3,912	4,107
Deposit to CFC Stabilization Fund	_	-		-		-	_	-	 -	 -	 -	 -	 -
Minimum Annual Requirement	\$	509	\$	602	\$	788	\$	14,933	\$ 30,816	\$ 40,689	\$ 41,626	\$ 42,648	\$ 42,849
CFCs	\$	64,992	\$	68,250	\$	70,520	\$	71,663	\$ 72,970	\$ 74,287	\$ 75,616	\$ 76,953	\$ 78,293
Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Note: (a) CIRRF = Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund; Historical data in this exhibit was based on a range of publicly available sources. The analysis and assumptions relied on this information, and were reviewed and approved by the Airport Division management.



Table F: Application of Revenues Hawaii Airports System (for the 12 months ending June 30; in thousands)

		2015	H	istorical 2016	E	stimated 2017	F	orecast 2018		2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Revenues														
CFC Revenues	\$	64,992	\$	68,250	\$	70,520	\$	71,663	\$	72,970	\$ 74,287	\$ 75,616	\$ 76,953	\$ 78,293
Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments		-		-		-		-		-	-	-	-	-
Other Payments Made to Trustee		-		-		-		-		-	-	-	-	-
Total Revenues	\$	64,992	\$	68,250	\$	70,520	\$	71,663	\$	72,970	\$ 74,287	\$ 75,616	\$ 76,953	\$ 78,293
Interest Earnings		-		-		1,033		985		1,731	1,943	1,921	2,246	2,488
Available from CFC Revenue Fund	\$	64,992	\$	68,250	\$	71,553	\$	72,648	\$	74,702	\$ 76,230	\$ 77,537	\$ 79,199	\$ 80,781
Deposit from CFC Revenue Fund to														
Debt Service Fund	\$	442	\$	524	\$	685	\$	12,985	\$	26,545	\$ 33,811	\$ 33,813	\$ 33,812	\$ 33,806
Rolling Coverage Fund		-		-		-		-		-	-	-	-	-
Debt Service Reserve Fund		-		-		-		-		-	-	-	-	-
Subordinate Debt Service Fund		-		-		-		-		-	-	-	-	-
Subordinate Reserve Fund		-		-		-		-		-	-	-	-	-
Rebate Fund		-		-		-		-		-	-	-	-	-
Administrative Expense Fund		-		-		-		200		210	221	232	243	255
Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund		-		-		-		-		471	1,977	2,901	3,912	4,107
Operation and Maintenance Fund		-		-		-		-		1,929	8,103	14,890	22,335	23,452
CFC Stabilization Fund		-		-		-		-		-	-	-	-	-
Unreimbursed Fund (a)		-		-		-		-		-	-	-	-	-
Discretionary Fund	_	64,550		67,726	_	70,867		59,462	_	45,547	 32,119	 25,702	 18,897	 19,161
Total Requirements	\$	64,992	\$	68,250	\$	71,553	\$	72,648	\$	74,702	\$ 76,230	\$ 77,537	\$ 79,199	\$ 80,781

Note: (a) Unreimbursed Fund = Unreimbursed Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments and Operation and Maintenance Requests Fund; Historical data in this exhibit was based on a range of publicly available sources. The analysis and assumptions relied on this information, and were reviewed and approved by the Airport Division management.



Table G: CFC Covenants and Debt Service Coverage Hawaii Airports System (for the 12 months ending June 30; in thousands)

		2015	Н	istorical 2016	E	stimated 2017	F	orecast 2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		2023
Adequacy of Annual CFC Target - Section 6.04(b) CFCs Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments	\$	64,992	\$	68,250	\$	70,520	\$	71,663	\$	72,970	\$	74,287	\$	75,616	\$	76,953	\$	78,293
Total Available	\$	64,992	\$	68,250	\$	70,520	\$	71,663	\$	72,970	\$	74,287	\$	75,616	\$	76,953	\$	78,293
Annual CFC Target Principal and Interest on Bonds	\$	442	\$	524	\$	685	\$	13,051	\$	26,678	\$	33,981	\$	33,983	\$	33,982	\$	33,976
Fund Reimbursements	Ψ	-	Ψ	- 52	Ψ	-	Ψ	-	Ψ	- 20,070	Ψ	-	Ψ	-	Ψ	- 00,002	Ψ	-
Yield Reduction Payments Deposit to CFC Stabilization Fund		-		-		-		-		- - 471		- - 1,977		- - 2,901		- - 3,912		- - 4,107
Deposit to CIRRF (a) Total	\$	442	\$	524	\$	685	\$	- 13,051	\$	27,149	\$	35,958	\$	36,884	\$	37,894	\$	38,083
Available Amount Above Annual CFC Target	\$	64,550	\$	67,726	\$	69,834	\$	58,612	\$	45,822	\$	38,330	\$	38,732	\$	39,059	\$	40,210
Rate Covenant - Section 6.04(d)																		
CFCs	\$	64,992	\$	68,250	\$	70,520	\$	71,663	\$	72,970	\$	74,287	\$	75,616	\$	76,953	\$	78,293
Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments Rolling Coverage Fund Balance (b)		-		-		-		- 3,203		- 6,551		- 8,368		- 8,368		- 8,368		- 8,367
Total Available Aggregate Debt Service	\$	64,992 442	\$	68,250 524	\$	70,520 685	\$	74,866 12,812	\$	79,521 26,205	\$	82,655 33,471	\$	83,984 33,473	\$	85,321 33,473	\$	86,660 33,466
Debt Service Coverage Required Coverage	1	14698% 140%	1	3033% 140%	1	140%		584% 140%		303% 140%		247% 140%		251% 140%		255% 140%		259% 140%

Note: (a) CIRRF = Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund (b) Limited to no more than 25% of Aggregate Debt Service.

Historical data in this exhibit was based on a range of publicly available sources. The analysis and assumptions relied on this information, and were reviewed and approved by the Airport Division management.



Table G1: CFC Covenants and Debt Service Coverage – Sensitivity Test 1 (a) Hawaii Airports System (for the 12 months ending June 30; in thousands)

		2015	Н	istorical 2016	E	stimated 2017	F	orecast 2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		2023
Adequacy of Annual CFC Target - Section 6.04(b) CFCs	\$	64.992	\$	68,250	\$	70,520	\$	71.663	\$	58,376	\$	59,430	\$	60,493	\$	61.562	\$	62,635
Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments	Ŷ		Ŷ	-	Ť		Ť	-	Ŷ	-	Ŷ	-	Ť	-	Ŷ		Ť	- 02,000
Total Available	\$	64,992	\$	68,250	\$	70,520	\$	71,663	\$	58,376	\$	59,430	\$	60,493	\$	61,562	\$	62,635
Annual CFC Target																		
Principal and Interest on Bonds	\$	442	\$	524	\$	685	\$	13,051	\$	26,678	\$	33,981	\$	33,983	\$	33,982	\$	33,976
Fund Reimbursements		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Yield Reduction Payments		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Deposit to CFC Stabilization Fund		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Deposit to CIRRF (a)	<u>_</u>	442	\$	- 524	\$	685	¢	-	<u>م</u>	471	e	1,977	¢	2,901	<u>_</u>	3,912	¢	4,107
Total	¢	442	Ф	524	Þ	685	Þ	13,051	\$	27,149	\$	35,958	Ф	36,884	\$	37,894	\$	38,083
Available Amount Above Annual CFC Target	\$	64,550	\$	67,726	\$	69,834	\$	58,612	\$	31,228	\$	23,472	\$	23,609	\$	23,668	\$	24,552
Rate Covenant - Section 6.04(d)															-			
CFCs	\$	64,992	\$	68,250	\$	70,520	\$	71,663	\$	58,376	\$	59,430	\$	60,493	\$	61,562	\$	62,635
Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Rolling Coverage Fund Balance (c)		-		-		-		3,203		6,551		8,368		8,368	_	8,368		8,367
Total Available	\$	64,992	\$	68,250	\$	70,520	\$	74,866	\$	64,927	\$	67,797	\$	68,861	\$	69,931	\$	71,001
Aggregate Debt Service		442		524		685		12,812		26,205		33,471		33,473		33,473		33,466
Debt Service Coverage		4698%	1	3033%	1	0288%		584%		248%		203%		206%		209%		212%
Required Coverage		140%		140%		140%		140%		140%		140%		140%		140%		140%

Note: (a) Assuming a hypothetical decrease of visitor count by 20 percent in FY 2019. (b) CIRRF = Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund.

(c) Limited to no more than 25% of Aggregate Debt Service.

Historical data in this exhibit was based on a range of publicly available sources. The analysis and assumptions relied on this information, and were reviewed and approved by the Airport Division management.



Table G2: CFC Covenants and Debt Service Coverage - Sensitivity Test 2 (a) Hawaii Airports System (for the 12 months ending June 30; in thousands)

		2015	Η	istorical 2016	E	stimated 2017	F	orecast 2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		2023
Adequacy of Annual CFC Target - Section 6.04(b) CFCs Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments	\$	64,992	\$	68,250 -	\$	70,520	\$	71,663	\$	72,970	\$	74,287	\$	75,616 -	\$	76,953 -	\$	78,293
Total Available	\$	64,992	\$	68,250	\$	70,520	\$	71,663	\$	72,970	\$	74,287	\$	75,616	\$	76,953	\$	78,293
Annual CFC Target																		
Principal and Interest on Bonds	\$	442	\$	524	\$	685	\$	13,051	\$	26,678	\$	33,981	\$	45,306	\$	45,305	\$	45,298
Fund Reimbursements		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Yield Reduction Payments		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Deposit to CFC Stabilization Fund		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Deposit to CIRRF (b)	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	471	-	1,977	_	2,901	_	3,912	-	4,107
Total	\$	442	\$	524	\$	685	\$	13,051	\$	27,149	\$	35,958	\$	48,207	\$	49,216	\$	49,405
Available Amount Above Annual CFC Target	\$	64,550	\$	67,726	\$	69,834	\$	58,612	\$	45,822	\$	38,330	\$	27,409	\$	27,737	\$	28,889
Rate Covenant - Section 6.04(d)																		
CFCs	\$	64,992	\$	68,250	\$	70,520	\$	71,663	\$	72,970	\$	74,287	\$	75,616	\$	76,953	\$	78,293
Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Rolling Coverage Fund Balance (c)		-		-		-		3,203		6,551		8,368		8,497		8,497		8,497
Total Available	\$	64,992	\$	68,250	\$	70,520	\$	74,866	\$	79,521	\$	82,655	\$	84,112	\$	85,449	\$	86,790
Aggregate Debt Service		442		524		685		12,812		26,205		33,471		44,627		44,625		44,618
Debt Service Coverage	1	14698%	1	3033%	1	10288%		584%		303%		247%		188%		191%		195%
Required Coverage		140%		140%		140%		140%		140%		140%		140%		140%		140%

Notes: (a) Assuming a hypothetical increase in capital costs for \$150 million in FY 2021. (b) CIRRF = Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund.

(c) Limited to no more than 25% of Aggregate Debt Service.

Historical data in this exhibit was based on a range of publicly available sources. The analysis and assumptions relied on this information, and were reviewed and approved by the Airport Division management.



[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

APPENDIX B

Certain Economic Information About the State of Hawaii

General

The following material pertaining to economic factors in the State has been excerpted from the State of Hawaii Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism (DBEDT) Second Quarter 2017 Quarterly Statistical and Economic Report (QSER) or from other materials prepared by DBEDT, some of which may be found at http://dbedt.hawaii.gov/. Unless otherwise stated, the following information is historical, estimated figures are used only when the definitive figures are unavailable. Unless otherwise specifically stated, all references to years and quarters in the following information are for calendar years and calendar quarters, respectively. The text refers to certain enumerated tables found under "CERTAIN ECONOMIC INFORMATION." The following descriptions of certain components of the State's economy primarily related to the visitor industry, and DBEDT's outlook for the economy are below under "State of the Economy" and there is a brief description in the "Outlook for the Economy" section below explaining the impact of these components on the State's fiscal position.

DBEDT's latest forecast for the State's nominal Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (the value of all goods and services produced within the State, formerly called the Gross State Product or GSP) growth in 2017 is 3.7 percent. In real terms (adjusting for inflation), DBEDT estimates that the 2017 State's GDP growth to be 1.8 percent over that of the previous year. DBEDT calculates that the State's GDP has diversified from 67% to 83% in non-tourism sectors from 1988 to 2015.

State of the Economy

Hawaii's major economic indicators were mostly positive in the first quarter of 2017. Visitor arrivals, visitor expenditures, State general fund tax revenues, wage and salary jobs, personal income (through the third quarter), private building authorizations, government contracts awarded and State CIP expenditures all increased in the quarter compared to the first quarter of 2016.

In the first quarter of 2017, the total number of visitors arriving by air to Hawaii increased 50,593 or 2.3 percent. Due to longer lengths of stay, the daily visitor census increased 3.8 percent in the quarter. Since visitors spent more on a daily basis in the first quarter of 2017, total visitors by air spending increased 10.3 percent in the quarter. Historical data shows that after seventeen quarters of positive growth from the third quarter of 2009 to the third quarter of 2013, Hawaii's tourism sector experienced one quarter of negative growth in the fourth quarter of 2013. Since the first quarter of 2014, however, Hawaii's tourism sector returned to positive growth compared with the same quarter in the previous year.

The U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) estimates of quarterly GDP show in the fourth quarter of 2016, total annualized nominal GDP increased \$3,239 million or 4.0 percent from the fourth quarter of 2015. In the first three quarters of 2016, total annualized nominal GDP increased \$3.317 million or 4.1 percent from the same period of the previous year. In the fourth quarter of 2016, total annualized real GDP (in chained 2009 dollar) increased \$1,491 million or 2.1 percent from the third quarter of 2015. In 2016, total annualized real GDP increased \$1,538 million or 2.1 percent from the previous year.

Outlook for the Economy

Hawaii's economy is expected to continue positive growth for 2017 and 2018. This outlook is based on the most recent developments in the national and global economies, the performance of Hawaii's tourism industry, labor market conditions, and the growth of personal income and tax revenues.

Hawaii's economy depends significantly on conditions in the U.S. economy and key international economies, especially Japan. According to the May 2017 *Blue Chip Economic Consensus Forecasts*, U.S. real GDP is expected to increase by 2.1 percent in 2017, 0.2 of a percentage point below the growth rate projected in the January 2017 forecast. For 2018, the consensus forecast predicts an overall 2.4 percent growth in U.S. real GDP.

According to the May 2017 *Blue Chip Economic Consensus Forecast*, real GDP growth for Japan is now expected to increase 1.3 percent in 2017; 0.2 of a percentage point below the growth rate in the January 2017 forecast. For 2018, the consensus forecast now expects an overall 0.9 percent growth rate for Japanese real GDP.

Overall, Hawaii's economy, as measured by real GDP of \$72,391 million in 2016, is projected to show a 1.9 percent increase in 2017, 0.1 of a percentage point above the growth rate forecasted last quarter. The real GDP growth forecast in 2018 is 1.7 percent, also the same as the previous forecast.

Visitor arrivals of 8,941,394 in 2016 are expected to increase 2.0 percent to 9,124,468 in 2017, 0.5 of a percentage point above the previous forecast. The forecast for visitor days in 2017 increased 0.8 of a percentage point to 2.2 percent. The forecast for visitor expenditure growth in 2017 was revised upward to 5.1 percent, from 2.9 percent growth projected in the previous forecast. For 2018, the growth rate of visitor arrivals, visitor days, and visitor expenditures are now expected to be 1.5 percent, 1.4 percent, and 1.9 percent, respectively.

Beyond 2018, the economy is expected to continue its expansion path, with job growth projected to be 1.0 percent in 2019 and 0.8 percent in 2020. Visitor arrivals are expected to increase 1.6 percent in 2019 and 1.5 percent in 2020. Visitor expenditures are expected to increase 3.7 percent in 2019 and 3.6 percent in 2020. Real personal income is projected to increase 2.5 percent in 2019 and 2.6 percent in 2020. Hawaii's real GDP growth is expected to increase 1.6 percent in 2019 and 2020. The unemployment rate is expected to increase to 3.2 percent in 2019 and 3.4 percent in 2020.

		1st QUARTER		Y	EAR-TO-DATE	
			% CHANGE			% CHANGE
SERIES	2016	2017	YEAR AGO	2016	2017	YEAR AGO
Civilian labor force, NSA (persons) 1/	680.750	695.650	2.2	680.750	695.650	2.2
Civilian employed, NSA	660,000	676,400	2.5	660,000	676,400	2.2
Civilian unemployed, NSA	20,750	19,250	-7.2	20,750	19,250	-7.2
Unemployment rate, NSA (%) 1/ 2/	3.0	2.8	-0.2	3.0	2.8	-0.2
Total wage and salary jobs, NSA	650,000	(NA)	(NA)	650,000	(NA)	(NA)
Total non-agric. wage & salary jobs	644,000	649,600	0.9	644,000	649,600	0.9
Nat. Resources, Mining, Constr.	37,600	37,000	-1.6	37,600	37,000	-1.6
Manufacturing	14,100	13,700	-2.8	14,100	13,700	-2.8
Wholesale Trade	17,700	17,500	-1.1	17,700	17,500	-1.1
Retail Trade	70,500	71,500	1.4	70,500	71,500	1.4
Transp., Warehousing, Util.	31,100	31,500	1.3	31,100	31,500	1.3
Information	8,400	9,100	8.3	8,400	9,100	8.3
Financial Activities	28,200	28,100	-0.4	28,200	28,100	-0.4
Professional & Business Services	83,100	83,400	0.4	83,100	83,400	0.4
Educational Services	15,200	15,600	2.6	15,200	15,600	2.6
Health Care & Social Assistance	67,800	67,600	-0.3	67,800	67,600	-0.3
Arts, Entertainment & Recreation	11,700	12,000	2.6	11,700	12,000	2.6
Accommodation	40,000	40,500	1.3	40,000	40,500	1.3
Food Services & Drinking Places	64,900	67,500	4.0	64,900	67,500	4.0
Other Services	27,000	27,200	0.7	27,000	27,200	0.7
Government	126,600	127,400	0.6	126,600	127,400	0.6
Federal	32,900	33,100	0.6	32,900	33,100	0.6
State	75,000	75,600	0.8	75,000	75,600	0.8
Local	18.800	18.800	0.0	18.800	18.800	0.0
Agriculture wage and salary jobs	6,000	(NA)	(NA)	6,000	(NA)	(NA)
State general fund revenues (\$1,000)	1,504,155	1,576,072	4.8	1,504,155	1,576,072	4.8
General excise and use tax revenues	818,316	831,893	1.7	818,316	831,893	1.7
Income-individual	495,998	556,298	12.2	495,998	556,298	12.2
Declaration estimated taxes	124,311	171,295	37.8	124,311	171,295	37.8
Payment with returns	22,260	24,786	11.3	22,260	24,786	11.3
Withholding tax on wages	469,338	525,726	12.0	469,338	525,726	12.0
Refunds ('-' indicates relative to State)	-119,911	-165,511	38.0	-119,911	-165,511	38.0
Transient accommodations tax	123,793	139,611	12.8	123,793	139,611	12.8
Honolulu County Surcharge 3/	67,744	(NA)	(NA)	67,744	(NA)	(NA)
Private Building Permits (\$1,000)	545,567	907,437	66.3	545,567	907,437	66.3
Residential	223,066	505,908	126.8	223,066	505,908	126.8
Commercial & industrial	30,183	91,507	203.2	30,183	91,507	203.2
Additions & alterations	292,319	310,022	6.1	292,319	310,022	6.1
Visitor Days - by air	20,603,582	21,155,927	2.7	20,603,582	21,155,927	2.7
Domestic visitor days - by air	14,433,420	14,648,285	1.5	14,433,420	14,648,285	1.5
International visitor days - by air	6,170,161	6,507,643	5.5	6,170,161	6,507,643	5.5
Visitor arrivals by air - by air	2,173,346	2,223,939	2.3	2,173,346	2,223,939	2.3
Domestic flight visitors - by air	1,427,864	1,451,142	1.6	1,427,864	1,451,142	1.6
International flight visitors - by air	745,482	772,797	3.7	745,482	772,797	3.7
Visitor expend arrivals by air (\$1,000)	3,958,841	4,367,913	10.3	3,958,841	4,367,913	10.3
Hotel occupancy rates (%) 2/	80.8	81.2	0.4	80.8	81.2	0.4

TABLE 1 2017 QUARTERLY ECONOMIC INDICATORS: STATE OF HAWAII

1/ Labor force and jobs are Hawaii DLIR monthly and annual data. Quarterly averages computed by the Hawaii DBEDT.

2/ Change represents absolute change in rates rather than percentage change in rates.

3/ 0.5% added to the general excise tax to pay for O'ahu's mass transit system and took effect January 1, 2007.

Includes taxpayers who have business activities on Oahu but whose businesses are located outside Oahu.

Source: Hawaii State Department of Business, Economic Development, & Tourism http://www.hawaii.gov/dbedt/inf>,

Hawaii State Department of Labor & Industrial Relations http://www.hiwi.org/cgi/dataanalysis/?PAGEID=94;

Hawaii State Department of Taxation http://www.hawaii.gov/tax/a5_3txcolrpt.htm and Hospitality Advisors, LLC.

KEY ECONOMIC INDICATORS TABLE 2 ACTUAL AND FORECAST OF KEY ECONOMIC INDICATORS FOR HAWAII: 2015 TO 2020

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Economic Indicators	(Act	ual)		(For	ecast)	
Total population (thousands)	1,425	1,429	1,436	1,447	1,459	1,470
Visitor arrivals (thousands) (1)	8,680	8,941	9,124	9,264	9,408	9,551
Visitor days (thousands) (1)	78,620	80,383	82,181	83,292	84,613	85,928
Visitor expenditures (million dollars) (1)	15,111	15,748	16,550	16,859	17,476	18,106
Honolulu CPI-U (1982-84=100)	260.2	265.3	271.9	278.2	284.6	291.1
Personal income (million dollars)	69,129	72,215	75,609	79,163	82,963	86,945
Real personal income (millions of 2009\$) (2)	53,830	55,284	56,610	57,912	59,360	60,904
Non-agricultural wage & salary jobs (thousands)	638.6	647.6	654.1	660.0	666.6	671.9
Civilian unemployment rate (3)	3.6	3.0	2.9	3.1	3.2	3.4
Gross domestic product (million dollars)	80,599	83,917	87,022	90,416	93,942	97,606
Real gross domestic product (millions of 2009\$)	71,714	73,252	74,644	75,913	77,127	78,361
(Gross domestic product deflator (2009=100)	112.4	114.6	116.6	119.1	121.8	124.6
Annua	al Percenta	age Chang	ge			
Total population	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.8	0.8	0.8
Visitor arrivals (1)	4.3	3.0	2.0	1.5	1.6	1.5
Visitor days (1)	3.5	2.2	2.2	1.4	1.6	1.6
Visitor expenditures (1)	0.9	4.2	5.1	1.9	3.7	3.6
Honolulu CPI-U	1.0	2.0	2.5	2.3	2.3	2.3
Personal income	4.8	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8
Real personal income (2)	3.8	2.7	2.4	2.3	2.5	2.6
Non-agricultural wage & salary jobs	1.8	1.4	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.8
Civilian unemployment rate (3)	-0.8	-0.6	-0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2
Gross domestic product	4.9	4.1	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.9
Real gross domestic product	2.3	2.1	1.9	1.7	1.6	1.6
Gross domestic product deflator (2009=100)	2.5	1.9	1.8	2.2	2.3	2.3

(1) Visitors who came to Hawaii by air or by cruise ship. Expenditures includes supplementary expenditures. 2016 supplementary expenditure was estimated by DBEDT.

(2) Using personal income deflator developed by the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis and estimated by DBEDT.(3) Absolute change from previous year.

Source: Hawaii State Department of Business, Economic Development & Tourism, May 12, 2017.

Tourism

Visitor arrivals continue to be strong with both domestic and international visitor arrivals increasing in the first quarter of 2017. Due to longer lengths of stay, the daily visitor census increased more than the increase of visitor arrivals in the quarter. Since visitors spent more on a daily basis during the first quarter of 2017, total visitor spending increased more than the growth of the average total daily visitor census in the quarter. With the exception of the fourth quarter of 2013, visitor arrivals have increased since the third quarter of 2009.

The total number of visitor arrivals by air increased 50,593 or 2.3 percent to 2,223,939 in the first quarter of 2017, compared to the same quarter of 2016. The total average daily census was up 8,653 or 3.8 percent in the quarter. In 2016, total visitor arrivals by air increased 269,580 or 3.1 percent to 8,832,598, while the average daily census increased 4,255 or 2.0 percent from the previous year.

In the first quarter of 2017, total visitor arrivals on domestic flights increased 23,278 to 1,451,142 or 1.6 percent compared to the same quarter of 2016. In 2016, domestic arrivals were up 182,302 or 3.2 percent from the previous year.

In terms of major market areas, from the first quarter of 2016 to the same period of 2017, arrivals from the U.S. West increased 14,441 or 1.7 percent, arrivals from the U.S. East increased 25,819 or 5.2 percent, and arrivals from Japan increased 26,168 or 7.3 percent. In 2016, arrivals from the U.S. West were up 150,728 or 4.3 percent; arrivals from the U.S. East were up 66,157 or 3.7 percent; and Japanese arrivals were up 6,093 or 0.4 percent from the previous year.

In the first quarter of 2017, the length of stay per visitor also increased. Due to the longer length of stay, the average total daily visitor census increased more than the growth of visitor arrivals in the quarter. The total average daily visitor census was up 3.8 percent or 8,653 visitors per day in the first quarter of 2017, over the same quarter of 2016. The domestic average daily census increased 2.6 percent or 4,150 visitors per day, while the international average daily census increased 6.6 percent or 4,503 visitors per day. In 2016, the domestic average daily census increased 1,787 or 1.1 percent; and the international average daily census increased 2,468 or 4.3 percent from the previous year.

Nominal visitor expenditures by air totaled \$4,367.9 million in the first quarter of 2017, up 10.3 percent or \$409.1 million from the same quarter of 2016. In 2016, visitor expenditures increased \$632.1 million or 4.2 percent compared with the previous year.

Total airline capacity, as measured by the number of available seats flown to Hawaii, decreased 1.6 percent or 49,146 seats in the first quarter of 2017, domestic seats decreased 2.3 percent or 46,227 seats; international seats decreased 0.3 percent or 2,919 seats, compared to the same quarter of 2016. In 2016, the number of total available seats increased 0.7 percent or 82,693 seats from the previous year.

In the first quarter of 2017, the statewide hotel occupancy rate averaged 81.2 percent, 0.4 of a percentage point higher than the same quarter of 2016. In 2016, the statewide hotel occupancy rate averaged 79.2 percent, 0.5 of a percentage point higher than the previous year.

TABLE 3

VISITOR ARRIVALS BY AIR

2013 2014 2015 2016 % Change % Change % Change 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 **Total Arrivals** Total 8,196,342 8,003,474 8,563,018 8,832,598 2.4 4.5 3.1 Domestic 1.5 5.4 3.2 5,405,300 5,486,059 5,782,140 5,964,442 International 2,598,174 2,710,283 2,780,878 4.3 2.6 3.1 2,868,156 Average Length of Stay Total 9.25 9.18 9.12 9.04 -0.7 -0.7 -0.9 Domestic 10.0810.05 9.85 9.68 -0.2 -2.0 -1.7 International 7.54 7.43 7.60 7.70 -1.5 2.4 1.3 Visitor Days Total 74,049,772 75,269,197 78,086,081 79,857,331 1.6 3.7 2.3 Domestic 56,949,633 1.2 3.3 1.4 54,462,717 55,142,678 57,759,805 International 19,587,054 20,126,519 21,136,447 22,097,526 2.8 5.0 4.5 Average Daily Census Total 202,876 206,217 213,934 218,189 1.6 3.7 2.0 Domestic 149,213 151,076 156,026 157,814 1.2 3.3 1.1 International 53,663 55,141 57,908 2.8 5.0 4.3 60,376

Average Length of Stay, Visitor Days, Average Daily Census

Source: Hawaii Tourism Authority.

TABLE 4

Year	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Annual Average
		In	Percent		
2006	83.6	78.2	82.5	74.1	79.5
2007	77.5	72.0	78.6	72.0	75.0
2008	78.7	68.8	70.5	63.8	70.4
2009	66.7	63.7	67.3	63.5	64.8
2010	70.6	67.3	75.2	69.5	70.7
2011	76.6	68.6	76.1	71.9	73.2
2012	80.3	73.8	78.9	74.5	76.9
2013	82.0	74.2	77.8	72.3	76.5
2014	80.8	74.0	78.7	74.7	77.0
2015	80.0	77.7	79.4	77.8	78.7
2016 (1)	80.8	77.6	80.6	77.8	79.2
2017 (1)	81.2	(NA)	(NA)	Year-to-Date	81.2

HOTEL OCCUPANCY RATE (%)

The 2nd, 3rd, and 4th Quarter averages are computed by Hawaii State Department of Business, Economic Development & Tourism from PKF-Hawaii monthly averages through January 1995 and Hospitality Advisors LLC monthly averages from February 1995. The 1st quarter and Annual are as released or revised by source.

Source: Hawaii State Department of Business, Economic Development & Tourism, PKF-Hawaii and Hospitality Advisors LLC.

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

APPENDIX C

Certain Definitions in the Indenture

The following are definitions of certain terms used in this Official Statement, the Indenture, the Second Supplemental Indenture and the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities Concession Agreements and Facilities Leases between the State of Hawaii and the rental car operators. Reference is made to the Indenture, the Second Supplemental Indenture and the Concession Agreements and Facilities Leases for a complete recital of the terms, some of which terms are set forth below.

Account or Accounts means any of the accounts established within any of the Funds pursuant to the provisions of the Indenture.

Additional Bonds means one or more Series of additional Bonds issued pursuant to the Indenture and a Supplemental Indenture. The Initial Bonds and EB-5 Bonds shall not constitute Additional Bonds.

Administrative Expense Fund means the fund of such name established pursuant to the Indenture.

Administrative Expense Fund Requirement means, for each month during a Fiscal Year, an amount equal to the amount determined by the Department and shown in the annual budget for Administrative Expenses multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which being the number of months which have passed since the beginning of such Fiscal Year and the denominator of which being twelve, minus all disbursements made from the Administrative Expense Fund during such Fiscal Year.

Administrative Expenses means annual expenses incurred by the Department in connection with the administration of the Bonds, including, without limitation, Rating Agency and audit fees.

Agreement means the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities Concession Agreement and Facility Lease for a nonexclusive rental car concession together with a lease of certain premises at the Airports.

Agreement Year means such consecutive twelve month period as the State shall determine from time to time to properly provide for payments under an Agreement to satisfy principal and interest payment and funding requirements applicable to the Bonds.

Airports means all airports within the State of Hawaii for which the State, pursuant to Chapters 171, 261, and 263 of the Hawaii Revised Statutes, is vested with control and jurisdiction over the operation of.

Authorized Denomination means, with respect to the Series 2017A Bonds, the principal amount of \$5,000 or any integral multiple thereof.

Authorized Officer means, (a) in the case of the Department, the Director of Transportation, the Director of Finance or any other official of the Department so designated by a certificate signed by the Director of Transportation and filed with the Trustee for so long as that designation is in effect; and (b) in the case of the Trustee, any officer within the corporate trust department (or similar group) of the Trustee responsible for the administration of the Indenture, including any vice president, assistant vice president, assistant secretary, assistant treasurer, trust officer or any other officer of the Trustee who customarily performs functions similar to those performed by the persons who at the time shall be such officers, respectively, or to whom any corporate trust matter is referred because of such person's knowledge of and familiarity with the particular subject.

Bond Counsel means any attorney at law or firm of attorneys, selected by the Department and reasonably acceptable to the Trustee, of nationally recognized standing in matters pertaining to the validity of and the tax-exempt nature of interest on bonds issued by states and their political subdivisions, duly admitted to the practice of law before the highest court of any state of the United States of America.

Bond Year means, with respect to any Series of Bonds, any one-year period ending on June 30, other than the first Bond Year, which shall commence on the closing date of such Series and end on June 30 of the following deal; <u>provided</u>, <u>however</u>, that any Series of Bonds may designate an alternate Bond Year for such Series in the Supplemental Indenture providing for the issuance thereof.

Business Day means any day other than a Saturday, Sunday or legal holiday or the equivalent (other than a moratorium) on which banking institutions generally in either of the Cities of Honolulu, Hawaii or New York, New York are authorized or required by law or executive order to close.

Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund means the fund of such name established pursuant to Indenture.

Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund Requirement means, for each month during a Fiscal Year, an amount equal to the amount determined by the Department and shown in the annual budget for annual capital improvements, repairs and replacements for the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System, multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which being the number of months which have passed since the beginning of such Fiscal Year and the denominator of which being twelve, minus all disbursements made from the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund during such Fiscal Year.

CFC or **Customer Facility Charge** means the customer facility charge or customer facility charges to be collected by the RACs or Off-Airport RACs and remitted to the Trustee for the benefit of the Department, or, if no Bonds remain Outstanding, remitted directly to the Department, as further defined and provided in each RAC Agreement or in any agreements with Off-Airport RACs.

CFC Revenue Fund means the fund of such name established pursuant to Indenture.

CFC Revenues means the Customer Facility Charges actually collected and remitted to the State or its designee during any period.

CFC Stabilization Fund means the fund of such name established pursuant to Indenture.

CFC Stabilization Fund Minimum Requirement means, unless modified pursuant to the provisions of a future Supplemental Indenture, \$0.

CFC Statute means Section 261-7 of the Hawaii Revised Statutes.

Code or **IRC** means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as from time to time amended, and any regulations promulgated thereunder, including without limitation any Treasury Regulations or Temporary or Proposed Regulations, as the same shall from time to time be amended including (until modified, amended or superseded) Treasury Regulations or Temporary or Proposed Regulations under the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended.

Completion Certificate means, with respect to each CRCF, a certificate from the Department delivered to the Trustee stating that such CRCF has been completed.

Completion Date means, with respect to each CRCF, the earlier to occur of (i) the date on which such CRCF is completed as evidenced by the delivery of a Completion Certificate and (ii) the date of abandonment of such CRCF.

Concession means the right and obligation to operate a nonexclusive concession on the Premises for the rental or other similar use of Automobiles, subject to the terms of the applicable Agreement.

Concession Recovery Fee means a separate statement of and charge on Customer invoices or rental agreements, which is not required but will not be prohibited by the State, provided that such Concession Recovery Fee meets all of the following conditions: (a) such Concession Recovery Fee is permitted by the laws of the State

and all other applicable laws, including, without limitation, the requirements of the United States Federal Trade Commission, as in effect from time to time, as well as any commitment to or contractual obligation by RAC with the Attorney General of the State or any group of State Attorneys General; (b) such Concession Recovery Fee shall be titled "Concession Recovery Fee", "Concession Recovery Fee", or such other name as is first approved by the State in writing; (c) the Concession Recovery Fee must be shown on the Customer rental car agreement and invoiced with other RAC charges (i.e. "above the tax line"); (d) the Concession Recovery Fee as stated on the invoice and charged to the Customer shall be no more than eleven and eleven one hundredths of a percent (11.11%) of Gross Receipts (and specifically included in Gross Receipts for purposes of this calculation); (e) RAC shall neither identify, treat, or refer to the Concession Recovery Fee as a tax or levy, nor state or imply that the State is requiring the pass-through or collection of such Concession Recovery Fee; and (f) RAC shall not pass through, unbundle, or list any fees (other than a Concession Recovery Fee, vehicle license fee payable to the State or the City, and CFC) as a separate item on its Customer invoices, except with the State's prior written approval in each instance.

Consultant means any one or more consultants selected by the Department with expertise in the administration, financing, planning, maintenance and operations of airports and facilities thereof, and who, in the case of an individual, shall not be a member, officer or employee of the Department.

Consulting Engineer means a registered or licensed engineer or engineers, or firm or firms of engineers, with expertise in the field of designing, preparing plans and specifications for, supervising the construction, improvement and expansion of, and supervising the maintenance of, airports and aviation facilities, entitled to practice and practicing as such under the laws of the State, who, in the case of any individual, shall not be a director, officer or employee of the Department.

Continuing Disclosure Undertakings means the Continuing Disclosure Undertakings of the Department relating to Bonds, as from time to time in effect.

Contract Day means, with respect to rentals of Automobiles, up to a 25-hour period (or fraction thereof) for the first Contract Day, and successive 24-hour periods (or fractions thereof) for each successive Contract Day.

Counsel means an attorney or a firm of attorneys admitted to practice law in the highest court of any state of the United States of America.

CRCF means, individually, a consolidated car rental facility at an Airport, including the associated structures, roadways, facilities, infrastructure improvements to utilities and other infrastructure to support the consolidated car rental facility and the real property on which such facility is located, and all other property, improvements, fixtures, equipment and facilities incorporated in and that support such consolidated car rental facility.

CRCF Project Costs means, with respect to each CRCF, all costs of such CRCF, including without limitation costs of the construction manager, the program manager and allocated costs of program administration, the costs of issuing any related series of Bonds, and other costs chargeable to the capital account of such CRCF, in each case to the extent that such costs are permitted under the CFC Statute.

Customer means a Person that rents, picks up, or enters into a written agreement for the rental of an Automobile from RAC either (i) at the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System, (ii) at a location other than the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System if, and only if, Common Use Transportation Systems are used to transport such customer to and from the rental car facilities comprising the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System or (iii) at a location other than the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System or (iii) at a location other than the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System or (iii) at a location other than the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System if RAC has entered into a valid Agreement with respect of such CRCF at such Airport.

CUTS or Common Use Transportation System means the buses, busing systems and other systems and vehicles related thereto and used on roadways or fixed guideways on the Airport premises to or from the CRFCs.

Date of Issuance means, with respect to the Series 2017A Bonds, July 27, 2017, the date of original issuance and delivery of such Bonds.

Debt Service means, with respect to one or more designated series of Bonds or, if no Bonds are designated, all Bonds outstanding under the Indenture, for any period, the amount of all interest accrued in such period plus the amount required to pay principal coming due in such period on such Bonds; provided, however, that if the stated period is a Fiscal Year, the amount of principal shall be the principal payable on any date commencing with July 2 in such Fiscal Year and ending with July 1 in the following Fiscal Year, both inclusive, net of certain interest earned on certain funds and accounts available for payment of principal of or interest on such Bonds; provided, <u>further</u>, that Debt Service for the EB-5 Note shall be controlled as provided in the Indenture.

Debt Service Fund means the fund established pursuant to the Indenture.

Debt Service Reserve Fund means the fund established pursuant to the Indenture.

Default means any Event of Default or any event or condition which, with the passage of time or giving of notice or both, would constitute an Event of Default.

Default Rate means the rate of twelve percent (12.0%) per annum, unless a lesser interest rate shall then be the maximum rate permissible by Law with respect thereto, in which event said lesser rate shall be the Default Rate.

Defeasance Obligations means non-callable investment securities of the type set forth in paragraphs (a), or (b) of the definition of Qualified Investments.

Deficiency Certificate means a certificate signed by an Authorized Officer of the Department and filed with the Trustee setting forth the monthly deposit amounts to be made pursuant hereto in order to fully restore the amount on deposit in the CFC Stabilization Fund, Debt Service Reserve Fund or Rolling Coverage Fund, as applicable, to the applicable Fund Requirement within one year of any Funding Event.

Department means the Department of Transportation of the State of Hawaii.

Department of Budget and Finance means the Department of Budget and Finance of the State of Hawaii.

Depositary means any bank or trust company, which may include the Trustee, duly authorized by Law to engage in the banking business and selected by the Department as a depositary of moneys under the provisions of the Indenture.

Discount Rate means the rate of interest equal to the average interest rate for United States treasury bills with a remaining term most closely approximating one-half (1/2) of the remaining scheduled Term of the applicable Agreement, determined as of the date for which such Discount Rate is to be first applied thereunder.

Discretionary Fund means the fund of such name established pursuant to Indenture.

Draw Down Date means the twenty-fifth (25th) day of each month or, if such day is not a Business Day, the next succeeding Business Day of each month that any Bonds remain Outstanding.

DSRF Requirement means (a) at any time when no Tax-Exempt Bonds are Outstanding, one hundred percent (100%) of the Maximum Annual Debt Service on the Bonds (expressly excluding Subordinate Bonds and EB-5 Bonds) then outstanding, or (b) when any Tax-Exempt Bonds are Outstanding, the lowest of (i) one hundred percent (100%) of the Maximum Annual Debt Service on the Bonds (expressly excluding Subordinate Bonds and EB-5 Bonds) then outstanding, (ii) one hundred and twenty five percent (125%) of average annual debt service on the Bonds (expressly excluding Subordinate Bonds and EB-5 Bonds) then outstanding or (iii) one hundred and EB-5 Bonds) then outstanding or (iii) ten percent (10%) of the stated principal amount of the Bonds (expressly excluding Subordinate Bonds and EB-5 Bonds) then outstanding.

EB-5 Bonds means the State of Hawaii's Statewide System of Airports of the State of Hawaii Customer Facility Charge Revenue Bond, Series EB-5 dated August 27, 2014 issued under the Indenture.

Eligible Costs means (a) Debt Service; (b) any and all costs incurred, paid or required to be paid by the State in connection with or otherwise relating to the design, construction, and financing of the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System; (c) any and all costs incurred, paid or required to be paid by the State in connection with or otherwise relating to the operation, maintenance, and repair of the CUTS, and including, specifically and without limitation, the capital cost of acquisition of Common Use Transportation System buses, busing systems, and other vehicles used on roadways or fixed guideways, to the extent related to the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System; (d) any and all costs incurred, paid or required to be paid by the State in connection with or otherwise relating to the operation, maintenance, repair, and replacement of the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System, or any portion or portions thereof, from time to time; (e) any and all costs incurred, paid or required to be paid by the State in connection with or otherwise relating to the operation, maintenance, repair, and replacement of the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System, or any portion or portions thereof, from time to time; (e) any and all costs incurred, paid or required to be paid by the State in connection with or otherwise relating to RAC reallocation at a CRCF in accordance with Appendix A hereto, and (f) such other permitted costs as may be identified in the CFC Statute, the Bond Statute, the Bond Documents, and/or any loan documents from time to time, (except to the extent that any of the foregoing costs described in clauses (b) through (f) are funded from the initial proceeds of a Series of Bonds and comprise part of the Debt Service, it being the intention that there shall be no "double counting" of any such costs).

Facility means the CRCF at which the Premises under the applicable Agreement is located.

Federal Obligation means any direct obligation of, or any obligation the full and timely payment of principal of and interest on which is guaranteed by, the United States of America.

Fiscal Year means the Department's Fiscal Year, commencing on July 1 and expiring on the following June 30.

Fitch means Fitch Ratings, Inc. a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, its successors and assigns.

Fixed Rate means one or more nonfloating, nonvariable interest rates which apply to a Series of Bonds.

Funding Event shall mean shall mean (i) the issuance of a Series of Bonds which increases the Fund Requirement of the Debt Service Reserve Fund or Rolling Coverage Fund, but only to the extent that such increased Fund Requirement is not otherwise satisfied pursuant to the provisions of the Supplemental Indenture providing for the issuance thereof; (ii) a draw upon the CFC Stabilization Fund, Debt Service Reserve Fund or Rolling Coverage Fund; or (iii) a decrease in the amount on deposit in the CFC Stabilization Fund, Debt Service Reserve Fund or Rolling Coverage Fund to less than 90% of the applicable Fund Requirement as a result of a decline in the market value of investments on deposit therein.

Gross Receipts means all revenues paid or due to RAC arising out of or in connection with its operations at the Facility, including, without limitation: (a) all time and mileage revenues, all amounts charged to Customers at the commencement or conclusion of the rental transaction for the cost of furnishing and/or replacing fuel by RAC and all revenues from the sale of personal accident insurance, or any insurance of a similar nature; (b) all Concession Recovery Fees; and (c) all other revenues paid or due to RAC arising out of or in connection with its operations at the Facility. Gross Receipts shall not include: (i) amounts of any federal, State of Hawaii, or municipal taxes; (ii) CFCs collected by RAC; (iii) amounts for credits, refunds, or adjustments to Customers for transactions made at the Facility at the time of, or prior to, the close-out of the rental transaction and shown on the Customer contract (without mark-up or additional fees); (iv) sums received by RAC for damage to Automobiles or RAC's property or premises, or from loss, conversion, or abandonment of Automobiles (without mark-up or additional fees) (v) sums received by reason of RAC's disposal of personal property (capital assets) (without mark-up or additional fees); (vi) sums received by RAC from its Customers for traffic tickets, parking tickets, highway tolls, towing charges, impound fees, and other similar governmental fines and charges actually paid by RAC on behalf of such Customers (without mark-up or additional fees); and (vii) sums received by RAC for pass-through charges collected by RAC from its Customers with respect to damage repair, parts replacement, and extraordinary cleaning of vehicles, and towing and transporting of damaged vehicles, rented by such Customers, and replacement of keys for such vehicles (without mark-up or additional fees). The retroactive adjustment by RAC of Gross Receipts designated as volume discounts or rebates, corporate discounts or rebates, or any other designation of any nature, or for any purpose, is prohibited. RAC shall not be credited with nor allowed to have any reduction in the amount of the Gross Receipts which results from any arrangements for a rebate, kickback, or hidden credit given or allowed to any customer; provided, however, that RAC may allow customary discounts on sales of commodities and products to its own employees and to volume purchasers pursuant to the applicable Agreement.

Impositions mean any and all charges, assessments, taxes, and other fees which are charged, assessed, or otherwise imposed upon the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System by any private or public authority.

Interest Payment Date means, for the Series 2017 Bonds, January 1 and July 1 of each year, commencing January 1, 2018.

Maturity Date means, for the Series 2017 Bonds, July 1, 2047.

Maximum Annual Debt Service for all Bonds that are Outstanding means the maximum annual scheduled payments of principal of and interest on such Bonds in any future Bond Year, excluding any accrued or capitalized interest; <u>provided</u>, <u>however</u>, that for purposes of the EB-5 Bonds, for purposes of determining Maximum Annual Debt Service, debt service shall be calculated assuming level debt service over the course of 30 years at the rate set forth in the Supplemental Indenture entered into in connection with the issuance of the Initial Bonds.

Maximum Rate means the maximum rate of interest on the relevant obligation as may be established by the Supplemental Indenture providing for the issuance thereof, and in all events, a rate not exceeding that permitted by applicable Law.

Minimum Annual Requirement means, for each Bond Year, the sum of 115% of Aggregate Debt Service for all Outstanding Bonds plus the amount necessary, from time to time, to increase the amount on deposit in the Rolling Coverage Fund, Debt Service Reserve Fund, Rebate Fund, Administrative Expense Fund, Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund and CFC Stabilization Fund to the amount required to be deposited into such Fund.

Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payment means the payments of the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency pursuant to the RAC Agreements.

Monthly CFC Stabilization Fund Minimum Requirement shall mean, for each month, (i) the amount specified by the Department in a Deficiency Certificate filed with the Trustee or (ii) if no Deficiency Certificate shall have been filed with the Trustee in connection with a Funding Event, an amount equal to one twelfth of the amount by which the CFC Stabilization Fund Minimum Requirement exceeded the amount on deposit in the CFC Stabilization Fund upon the occurrence of such Funding Event.

Monthly Debt Service Reserve Fund Requirement shall mean, for each month, (i) the amount specified by the Department in a Deficiency Certificate filed with the Trustee or (ii) if no Deficiency Certificate shall have been filed with the Trustee in connection with a Funding Event, an amount equal to one twelfth of the amount by which the DSRF Requirement exceeded the amount on deposit in the Debt Service Reserve Fund upon the occurrence of such Funding Event.

Monthly Rolling Coverage Fund Requirement shall mean, for each month, (i) the amount specified by the Department in a Deficiency Certificate filed with the Trustee or (ii) if no Deficiency Certificate shall have been filed with the Trustee in connection with a Funding Event, an amount equal to one twelfth of the amount by which the Rolling Coverage Fund Requirement exceeded the amount on deposit in the Rolling Coverage Fund upon the occurrence of such Funding Event.

Moody's means Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, its successors and assigns.

Motor Vehicle means any rental motor vehicle within the meaning of Section 251-1 of the Hawaii Revised Statutes § 251-2(a)(2012).

Off-Airport RAC or RACs means a rental car company that (i) is a party to a valid permit with the State, and (ii) picks up its Customers at part of a CRCF or related improvements within the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System.

On-Airport Rental Car Company means a rental car company that (i) is a party to a valid Agreement with the State, and (ii) is operating its on-airport rental car concession at part of the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System.

Operating Expenses means the costs and expenses incurred in connection with operating and maintaining the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System, including CUTS Expenses, which are eligible to be paid from CFCs.

Operation and Maintenance Fund means the fund established pursuant to the Indenture.

Operation and Maintenance Fund Requirement means, for each month during a Fiscal Year, an amount equal to the amount determined by the Department and shown in the annual budget for annual operation and maintenance expenses of the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which being the number of months which have passed since the beginning of such Fiscal Year and the denominator of which being twelve, minus all disbursements made from the Operation and Maintenance Fund during such Fiscal Year.

Operation and Maintenance Request means any valid request for reimbursement of Operating Expenses submitted to the State in accordance with the RAC Agreements.

Participant means, with respect to DTC or another Securities Depository, a member of or participant in DTC or such other Securities Depository, respectively.

Payment Commencement Date means, for each Agreement, the earlier of: (i) the day on which the applicable RAC commences operation of its Concession at the Premises, or (ii) six (6) months following the date on which the State authorizes the RAC to access the Facility for the purpose of commencing construction of the RAC Improvements; provided, however, that the such date shall not be prior to the date on which the Facility is reasonably available for the commencement of construction of the RAC Improvements.

Payment Date means each Interest Payment Date, Maturity Date, Principal Payment Date or any other date on which any principal of, premium, if any, or interest on any Bond is due and payable for any reason, including without limitation upon any redemption or prepayment of Bonds.

Person means a corporation, association, partnership, limited liability company, joint venture, trust, organization, business, individual or government or any governmental agency or political subdivision thereof.

Pledged Receipts means all CFCs received or receivable by the Trustee for the account of the Department, all Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments payable by the RACs, all casualty insurance proceeds and condemnation awards required to be applied pursuant to the Indenture, all moneys, investments and proceeds on deposit in the Project Fund, the Debt Service Fund, the Reserve Funds, the Subordinate Debt Service Fund, the Subordinate Reserve Fund, the Operation and Maintenance Fund, the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund, the Unreimbursed Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments and Operation and Maintenance Requests Fund, the CFC Stabilization Fund and the Discretionary Fund and interest and investment earnings thereon, subject to the provisions of the Indenture regarding moneys for the benefit of the holders of particular Bonds, and any other moneys collected from Off-Airport RACs or from users of the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System other than the RACs and designated by an Authorized Officer of the Department as Pledged Receipts. The Pledged Receipts shall not include moneys, investments and proceeds in the Rebate Fund and shall not include the Unassigned Rights.

Principal Payment Date means, for each Series of Bonds the dates for the payment of principal as set forth in the Supplemental Indenture providing for the issuance thereof.

Project Fund means the fund established pursuant to the Indenture.

Qualified Collateral means:

(a) Federal Obligations;

(b) direct and general obligations of any State of the United States of America or any political subdivision of the State which are rated in one of the two highest rating categories by any two Rating Agencies without regard to any refinement or gradation of rating category by numerical modifier or otherwise; and

(c) public housing bonds issued by public housing authorities and fully secured as to the payment of both principal and interest by a pledge of annual contributions under an annual contributions contract or contracts with the United States of America, or project notes issued by public housing authorities, or project notes issued by local public agencies, in each case fully secured as to the payment of both principal and interest by a requisition or payment agreement with the United States of America.

Qualified Investments means:

- (a) Federal Obligations;
- (b) pre-refunded municipal obligations meeting the following conditions:

(i) the municipal obligations are not subject to redemption prior to maturity, or the trustee therefor has been given irrevocable instructions concerning their calling and redemption and the issuer thereof has covenanted not to redeem such obligations other than as set forth in such instructions;

(ii) the municipal obligations are secured by cash and/or Federal Obligations, which Federal Obligations may be applied only to interest, principal and premium payments of such municipal obligations;

(iii) the principal of and interest on the Federal Obligations (plus any cash in the escrow fund) are sufficient to meet the liabilities of the municipal obligations;

(iv) the Federal Obligations serving as security for the municipal obligations are held by an escrow agent or trustee;

(v) the Federal Obligations are not available to satisfy any other claims, including those against the trustee or escrow agent; and

(vi) the municipal obligations are rated in the highest rating category by any two Rating Agencies without regard to any refinement or gradation of rating category by numerical modifier or otherwise;

(c) deposits or certificates of deposit or similar arrangements issued by any bank or national banking association, including the Trustee, which deposits, to the extent not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, shall be secured by Qualified Collateral having a current market value (exclusive of accrued interest) at least equal to the amount of such deposits, marked to market monthly, and which Qualified Collateral shall have been deposited in trust by such bank or national banking association with the trust department of the Trustee or with a Federal Reserve Bank or branch or, with the written approval of the

Department and the Trustee, with another bank, trust company or national banking association for the benefit of the Department and the appropriate Fund or Account as collateral security for such deposits;

(d) direct and general obligations of any state of the United States of America or any political subdivision of the State of Hawaii which are rated in one of the two highest rating categories by any two Rating Agencies without regard to any refinement or gradation of rating category by numerical modifier or otherwise;

(e) obligations issued by any of the following agencies: Banks for Cooperatives, Federal Intermediate Credit Banks, Federal Home Loan Banks System, Federal Land Banks, Export Import Bank, Tennessee Valley Authority, Government National Mortgage Association, Farmers Home Administration, United States Postal Service, Fannie Mae, Student Loan Marketing Association, Federal Farm Credit Bureau, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, Federal Housing Administration, any agency or instrumentality of the United States of America and any corporation controlled and supervised by, and acting as an agency or instrumentality of, the United States of America;

(f) any repurchase agreements collateralized by securities described in clauses (a) or (e) above with any registered broker/dealer subject to the Securities Investors' Protection Corporation jurisdiction or any commercial bank, if such broker/dealer or bank or parent holding company providing a guaranty has an uninsured, unsecured and unguaranteed rating in one of the three highest rating categories by any two Rating Agencies without regard to any refinement or gradation of rating category by numerical modifier or otherwise, provided;

(i) a specific written agreement governs the transaction;

(ii) the securities are held by a depository acting solely as agent for the Trustee, and such third party is (x) a Federal Reserve Bank, or (y) a bank which is a member of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and with combined capital, surplus and undivided profits of not less than \$25,000,000, and the Trustee shall have received written confirmation from such third party that it holds such securities;

(iii) a perfected first security interest under the Uniform Commercial Code, or book entry procedures prescribed at 1 C.F.R 306.1 et seq. or 31 C.F.R 350.0 et seq. in such securities is created for the benefit of the Trustee;

(iv) the repurchase agreement has a term of one year or less, or the collateral securities will be valued no less frequently than monthly and will be liquidated if any deficiency in the required collateral percentage is not restored within two business days of such valuation;

(v) the repurchase agreement matures at least 10 days (or other appropriate liquidation period) prior to a Payment Date; and

(vi) the fair market value of the securities in relation to the amount of the repurchase obligations, including principal and interest, is equal to at least 100 percent;

(g) shares of an investment company, organized under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, which invests its assets exclusively in obligations of the type described in clauses (a) to (e), including such entities for which the Trustee or an affiliate provides investment advice or other services;

(h) investment agreements which represent the unconditional obligation of one or more banks, insurance companies or other financial institutions, or are guaranteed by a financial institution, in either case that has an unsecured rating, or which agreement is itself rated, as of the date of execution thereof, in one of the three highest rating categories by any two Rating Agencies without regard to any refinement or gradation of rating category by numerical modifier or otherwise; (i) long-term or medium-term corporate debt instruments issued or guaranteed by any corporation that is rated in the highest rating category by any two Rating Agencies without regard to any refinement or gradation of rating category by numerical modifier or otherwise;

(j) prime commercial paper of a United States corporation, finance company or banking institution rated in the highest short-term rating category by any two Rating Agencies maintaining a rating on such paper; and

(k) any other type of investment in which the Department directs the Trustee in writing to invest, provided that there is delivered to the Trustee a certificate of an Authorized Officer of the Department stating that each Rating Agency has been informed of the proposal to invest in such investment and each Rating Agency has confirmed that such investment will not adversely affect the rating then assigned by such Rating Agency to any Bonds.

RAC means a Person that operates a rental car business serving Customers under terms of a RAC Agreement with the Department and who leases space within a CRCF.

RAC Agreement means a Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities Concession Agreement and Facility Lease, between a RAC and the State, by and through its Director of Transportation, as the same shall from time to time be in effect.

RAC Consortium means all On-Airport Rental Car Companies operating and occupying a Concession from the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System from time to time pursuant to valid Agreements.

Rating Agency means, as of any date, each of Fitch, Moody's and S&P, and any other Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO") designated by the Department by notice to the Trustee; provided, however, that the Department may substitute any NRSRO for any of Fitch, Moody's or S&P by notice to the Trustee.

Rating Category means a generic securities rating category, without regard, in the case of a long-term rating category, to any refinement or gradation of such long-term rating category by a numerical modifier or otherwise.

Record Date means, with respect to the Series 2017 Bonds, June 15 and December 15 of each year.

Required Reserve Amounts means, respectively, the Rolling Coverage Fund Requirement and the DSRF Requirement for each Series of Bonds.

Reserve Funds means, collectively, the Rolling Coverage Fund, CFC Stabilization Fund and the Debt Service Reserve Fund, and no other Funds.

Revenues means CFCs, Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments and any other sums paid to the Trustee for deposit in the CFC Revenue Fund.

Rolling Coverage Fund means the fund of such name established pursuant to Indenture.

Rolling Coverage Fund Requirement means twenty-five percent (25%) of the Maximum Annual Debt Service on the Bonds (expressly excluding Subordinate Bonds and EB-5 Bonds) then outstanding.

Securities Act means the federal Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and any successor thereto.

Securities Depository or DTC means The Depository Trust Company and its successors and assigns or any other securities depository selected by the Department which agrees to follow the procedures required to be followed by such securities depository in connection with the Bonds.

S&P means S&P Global Ratings, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, its successors and assigns.

State means the State of Hawaii.

Supplemental Indenture means each supplement to the Indenture or each other financing document entered into providing for the issuance of a Series of Additional Bonds or Subordinate Bonds or a supplement entered into for the purposes and in the manner set forth therein.

Tax-Exempt Bonds means any Series of Bonds the interest on which is excludable from the gross income of the recipient thereof for federal income tax purposes.

Tax-Exempt Facilities means facilities financed with the proceeds of Tax-Exempt Bonds and subject to the related restrictions of the Code.

Trustee means MUFG Union Bank, N.A. with it successors and, where the context may require, any separate Trustee or Co-Trustee appointed by the Trustee pursuant to the provisions of the Indenture.

Unassigned Rights means the rights of the Department under each RAC Agreement, except, as long as any Bonds remain Outstanding, the right to receive and collect CFCs and Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments.

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

APPENDIX D

Summary of Certain Provisions of the Indenture

This Appendix D contains a summary of certain provisions of the Indenture of Trust (the "Indenture") dated as of August 1, 2014 between the State of Hawaii (the "State") acting through the Department of Transportation (the "Department") and MUFG Union Bank N.A., as Trustee (the "Trustee") and Second Supplemental and First Amendatory Indenture of Trust (the "Second Supplemental Indenture"). The summaries contained herein do not purport to be complete or to follow the exact language of the Indenture or Second Supplemental Indenture. Reference is made to full text of the Indenture and Second Supplemental Indenture for the precise wording and the complete provisions thereof. The Indenture and Second Supplemental Indenture are available upon request from the Department.

SUMMARY OF THE INDENTURE OF TRUST

Pledge and Assignment.

In order to secure the due payment of principal of and premium, if any, and interest on the Bonds and compliance by the Department with its agreements contained in the Indenture, the Department has granted, pledged and assigned to the Trustee for the benefit of the Bondholders all of its right, title and interest in and to the Pledged Receipts. The pledge and the provisions, covenants and agreements under the Indenture set forth to be performed by or on behalf of the Department with respect to the Bonds (other than the Subordinate Bonds) shall be for the equal benefit, protection and security of the holders of any and all Bonds (other than the Subordinate Bonds), and the pledge and the provisions, covenants and agreements under the Indenture set forth to be performed by or on behalf of the Department with respect to the Subordinate Bonds (other than the Subordinate Bonds), and the pledge and the provisions, covenants and agreements under the Indenture set forth to be performed by or on behalf of the Department with respect to the Subordinate Bonds (other than the Subordinate Bonds), and the pledge and the provisions, covenants and agreements under the Indenture set forth to be performed by or on behalf of the Department with respect to the Subordinate Bonds shall be for the equal benefit, protection and security of the holders of any and all Subordinate Bonds. Bonds (other than Subordinate Bonds) or Subordinate Bonds, respectively, regardless of the time or times of their respective issue or maturity, shall be of equal rank with the other Bonds (other than the Subordinate Bonds), or Subordinate Bonds, as the case may be, without preference, priority or distinction over any other thereof except as expressly provided in the Indenture.

In each of the RAC Agreements, the RACs shall acknowledge that the CFCs collected by the RACs prior to remittance to the Department or the Trustee, on behalf of the Department, shall be subject at all times to a first lien for the repayment of the Bonds and that the RACs shall not grant to any third party (other than the Department) any liens or encumbrances on CFCs, and that any lien or encumbrance on CFCs granted by a RAC to a third party or otherwise purported to be obtained by a third party shall be null, void and of no force or effect. In each of the RAC Agreements, the RACs shall agree that all CFCs collected by the RACs are not income, revenue or any other asset of the RACs, that the RACs have no legal or equitable ownership or property interest in the CFCs, and the RACs waive any claim to a possessory or legal or equitable ownership interest in the CFCs. Prior to remittance to the Department or the Trustee, on behalf of the Department, in each of the RAC Agreements, the RACs shall acknowledge that CFCs shall be held by the RACs as funds in trust for the benefit of the Department, and the Department (or the Trustee on its behalf) shall have complete possessory and legal and equitable ownership rights to the CFCs.

Defeasance of Lien.

When the Department has paid or has been deemed to have paid, to the holders of all of the Bonds the principal and interest and premium, if any, due or to become due thereon at the times and in the manner stipulated under the Indenture, and all other obligations owing to the Trustee under the Indenture have been paid or provided for, the lien of the Indenture on the Trust Estate shall terminate, except that, notwithstanding termination of the lien thereof, the obligations to make all payments required under the Indenture and to take any other action under the Indenture shall continue until all such obligations and actions have been paid and performed in full. Upon the written request of the Department, the Trustee shall, upon the termination of the lien thereof, promptly execute and deliver to the Department an appropriate discharge thereof except that, subject to the provisions of the Indenture, the Trustee shall continue to hold in trust amounts held pursuant to the Indenture, if any, for the payment of the principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Bonds and moneys held for rebate to the United States of America under section 148(f) of the Code.

Authorization of Additional Bonds.

(a) One or more Series of Additional Bonds may be issued on a parity with all Outstanding Bonds (other than Subordinate Bonds) as may be requested by the Department; provided, that the issuance of any Series of Additional Bonds shall be conditioned upon the Trustee's receipt of, among other items, the following:

(i) a written order from an Authorized Officer of the Department stating that such Series of Additional Bonds has been authorized by applicable Law;

(ii) a certificate of the Department requesting the issuance of such Additional Bonds, stating that no default exists with respect to the obligations to be performed by the Department under the Indenture and that all conditions precedent provided for in this Indenture relating to the authentication and delivery of such Additional Bonds have been complied with;

(iii) an opinion of Bond Counsel addressed to the Department and the Trustee stating that all conditions precedent provided for in the Indenture relating to the authentication and delivery of such Additional Bonds have been complied with; and

(iv) in the case of Additional Bonds which do not constitute Refunding Bonds, either:

(1) a report of a Consultant to the effect that for each of the three Fiscal Years following the date of issuance of such Additional Bonds or the date of final expenditure of capitalized interest funded from such Additional Bonds, whichever is later, (i) the CFCs, at the then current level and taking into account any other level as has been approved and will be imposed during the forecast period, projected to be remitted to the Trustee (together with investment earnings on the Funds, excluding the Project Fund, held under this Indenture) are expected, as of the end of each such Fiscal Year, to be at least equal to 1.25 times the Maximum Annual Debt Service on all Bonds Outstanding (including such Additional Bonds), other than Subordinate Bonds, (ii) the Rate Covenant is expected to be satisfied, and (iii) the CFCs are projected to be sufficient to meet the Annual CFC Target; or

(2) a certificate of the Department to the effect that for any consecutive 12 months out of the immediately preceding 18 months (i) the CFCs received by the Trustee (together with investment earnings on the Funds, excluding the Project Fund, held under this Indenture) were at least equal to 1.25 times the Maximum Annual Debt Service due on all Bonds Outstanding (including such Additional Bonds), other than Subordinate Bonds, (ii) the Rate Covenant was satisfied, and (iii) the CFCs met the Annual CFC Target.

(b) Refunding Bonds may be issued and authenticated following the receipt by the Trustee of, among other items, a certificate of the Department substantially to the effect that one of the following conditions has been satisfied:

(i) after the issuance of the proposed Refunding Bonds, the Aggregate Debt Service on all Outstanding Bonds (including the proposed Refunding Bonds), assuming that Refunding Bonds bearing interest at a Variable Rate will bear interest at a fixed rate determined by an investment banker selected by the Department on the basis of then-current market conditions for long-term debt of similar tenor as the Outstanding Bonds and with a term substantially similar to the maturity dates of the Outstanding Bonds, will be less than that for each Bond Year within which any of the refunded Bonds would have been Outstanding but for their having been refunded;

(ii) that the refunding will reduce the total debt service payments on the refunded Bonds on a present value basis; or, alternatively, Refunding Bonds may be issued by complying with the provisions of the Indenture summarized under (a)(iv) above or, if such Refunding Bonds are Subordinate Bonds, by delivery by the Department of the certificate certifying the satisfaction of the relevant requirements of the Indenture; or

(iii) such series of Refunding Bonds are being issued to refund an EB-5 Bond.

Terms of Bonds.

Bonds of each Series shall be dated, shall bear interest until their maturity at such rate or rates, determined in such manner and payable on such date or dates, shall be in such form and shall have such other terms and conditions not inconsistent with the terms of the Indenture as shall be provided for in the Supplemental Indenture authorizing the issuance of such Series. All EB-5 Bonds, Initial Bonds and Additional Bonds shall be payable and secured equally and ratably and on a parity with the EB-5 Bonds, Initial Bonds and any Additional Bonds theretofore or thereafter issued and shall be entitled to the same benefits and security of the Indenture. Except as may be otherwise provided in the Supplemental Indenture providing for the issuance of a Series of Subordinate Bonds, Subordinate Bonds shall be payable from funds deposited to the Subordinate Debt Service Fund as provided in the Indenture and amounts, if any, deposited in one or more Accounts within a Subordinate Reserve Fund established for the benefit of such Subordinate Bonds by the Supplemental Indenture or other financing document providing for the issuance of such Subordinate Bonds.

Bonds Limited Obligations.

The Bonds shall not constitute an indebtedness of the Department or the State or a loan of credit thereof within the meaning of any constitutional or statutory limitation, the Bonds are not a general or moral obligation of the Department, the State or any political subdivision thereof and neither the faith and credit nor the taxing power of the Department, the State or any political subdivision thereof is pledged to the payment of the principal of, premium, if any, or the interest on the Bonds or other costs incident thereto. The principal of and premium, if any, and interest on the Bonds is a limited obligation payable solely from the Pledged Receipts. NOTHING IN THE INDENTURE SHALL BE CONSTRUED AS REQUIRING THE DEPARTMENT TO USE ANY FUNDS OR REVENUES FROM ANY SOURCE OTHER THAN AS DESCRIBED THEREIN.

Procedure for Redemption; Notice of Redemption.

Unless otherwise specified in the Supplemental Indenture relating thereto, if the Department (a) wishes to call any Bonds for redemption, it shall give notice to the Trustee of its election (which notice shall contain the information required under the Indenture) at least 35 days prior to the redemption date. In the event any of the Bonds are called for redemption, the Trustee shall give notice, in the name of the Department, of the redemption of such Bonds, which notice shall (i) specify the Bonds to be redeemed, the redemption date, the redemption price, and the place or places where amounts due upon such redemption will be payable (which shall be the principal corporate trust office of the Trustee) and, if less than all of the Bonds are to be redeemed, the numbers of the Bonds, and the portions of the Bonds, so to be redeemed, (ii) state any condition to such redemption, and (iii) state that on the redemption date, and upon the satisfaction of any such condition, the Bonds to be redeemed shall cease to bear interest. If available, CUSIP number identification shall accompany all redemption notices. Such notice may set forth any additional information relating to such redemption. Unless otherwise specified in the Supplemental Indenture relating thereto, such notice shall be given by mail, postage prepaid, at least 30 days but not more than 60 days prior to the date fixed for redemption to each holder of Bonds to be redeemed at its address shown on the Registration Books (if Bonds are held in book entry form with DTC, notice shall be provided in accordance with DTC procedures); provided, however, that failure to give such notice to any Bondholder or any defect in such notice shall not affect the validity of the proceedings for the redemption of any of the other Bonds. The Trustee shall send a second notice of redemption by certified mail return receipt requested to any registered holder who has not submitted Bonds called for redemption 30 days after the redemption date, provided, however, that the failure to give any second notice by mailing, or any defect in such notice, shall not affect the validity of any proceedings for the redemption of any of the Bonds and the Trustee shall not be liable for any failure by the Trustee to send any second notice.

(b) Any Bonds and portions of Bonds which have been duly selected for redemption and which are paid in accordance under the Indenture shall cease to bear interest on the specified redemption date.

Establishment of Funds.

(a) The Department has established the following Funds: (1) Project Fund; (2) CFC Revenue Fund; (3)
 Debt Service Fund; (4) Rolling Coverage Fund; (5) Debt Service Reserve Fund; (6) Subordinate Debt Service Fund;
 (7) Subordinate Reserve Fund; (8) Rebate Fund; (9) Administrative Expense Fund; (10) Capital Improvements,
 Repair and Replacement Fund; (11) Operation and Maintenance Fund; (12) CFC Stabilization Fund; (13)

Unreimbursed Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments and Operation and Maintenance Requests Fund; and (14) Discretionary Fund.

(b) All such Funds shall be maintained and accounted for in accordance with the Indenture for so long as any Bonds remain Outstanding. The CFC Revenue Fund, the Project Fund, the Debt Service Fund, the Rolling Coverage Fund, the Debt Service Reserve Fund, the Subordinate Debt Service Fund, the Subordinate Reserve Fund, the Administrative Expense Fund, the Operation and Maintenance Fund, the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund, the Unreimbursed Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments and Operation and Maintenance Requests Fund, the CFC Stabilization Fund and the Discretionary Fund shall constitute trust funds which shall be established by the Trustee, when needed, for the benefit of the Owners of the Bonds and are part of the Trust Estate. The Rebate Fund, if any, shall be established pursuant to a Supplemental Indenture entered into in connection with the issuance of a series of Tax-Exempt Bonds and shall not constitute a trust fund held for the benefit of the Owners of the Bonds and will not be part of the Trust Estate. To the extent that the Rebate Fund shall be held by the same institution serving as the Trustee, such Fund shall be held by it as a Depositary for the Department and not as Trustee for the benefit of the Bondholders.

(c) The Department and the Trustee reserve the right to establish additional Funds, sub-funds, Accounts and subaccounts from time to time under Supplemental Indentures; and any such Supplemental Indenture may provide that amounts on deposit in such Funds, sub-funds, Accounts and subaccounts shall be held by the Trustee for the sole and exclusive benefit of a particular Series of Bonds as may be specifically designated in such Supplemental Indenture.

Project Fund; Costs of Issuance Account.

(a) In connection with the issuance of the Initial Bonds and any Additional Bonds, unless otherwise specified in the Supplemental Indenture relating thereto, there shall be established an Account relating to such Series within the Project Fund (each a "**Project Account**") and, as determined by the Department, there may be established a cost of issuance account (each a "**Cost of Issuance Account**").

The Trustee shall disburse funds on deposit in a Project Account only upon receipt of a Requisition (b) Certificate executed by an Authorized Officer of the Department. Such amounts may be applied to pay CRCF Project Costs, including, without limitation, to reimburse advances made by the Department or the RACs for such costs. Following the delivery of the Completion Certificate for the CRCF relating to a Project Account, any amounts remaining in such Project Account shall be transferred to the related account of the Debt Service Fund; provided, however, that any amounts certified to the Trustee by the Department shall be retained within the Project Fund for payment of related CRCF Project Costs not yet due and payable. Any such retained funds remaining after full payment of all such costs shall likewise be transferred to the related account of the Debt Service Fund. In respect to disbursements for work done in connection with the construction, acquisition and installation of a CRCF, such requisition, signed by an Authorized Officer of the Department, shall be accompanied by a certificate signed by a Consulting Engineer certifying that the obligations in the stated amounts have been incurred by the Department, and that each item thereof is a proper charge against the applicable Project Account, has not been included in any prior requisition which has been paid, and insofar as any such obligation was incurred for work, materials, equipment or supplies, such work was actually performed in the furtherance of the construction, acquisition and installation of the related CRCF or such materials, equipment or supplies were delivered at the site(s) of the such CRCF for those purposes, or delivered for storage or fabrication at a place or places approved by a Consulting Engineer and under the control of the Department. Notwithstanding the foregoing, no certificate of a Consulting Engineer shall be required with respect to disbursements for Costs of Issuance or other costs that the Authorized Officer of the Department shall have certified as being costs that are not directly related to the actual construction, acquisition and installation of the CRCFs. The Trustee shall have no obligation to determine if a Consulting Engineer's certificate is required.

(c) In the event that any proceeds of casualty insurance policies or condemnation awards are delivered to the Trustee pursuant to the Indenture, for the purpose of financing the repair, reconstruction, restoration or replacement of the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System or any portion thereof, the Trustee shall deposit such funds into a separate Account within the Project Fund and shall disburse such funds as provided in the Indenture. Any amounts remaining after the completion of any such restoration and provision for all costs thereof (as the same are certified by the Department to the Trustee) shall be deposited in the applicable Account or Accounts within the Debt Service Fund and applied to the payment of principal of or interest on the Bonds next coming due.

CFC Revenue Fund; Flow of Funds.

(a) All Revenues received by the Trustee shall be deposited upon receipt to the CFC Revenue Fund.

(b) On or before the Draw Down Date each month, the Trustee shall transfer moneys then on deposit in the CFC Revenue Fund in the following order of priority, but as to each Fund, only within the limitation herein below indicated with respect thereto and only after and to the extent that maximum payment within such limitation into every Fund previously mentioned has been satisfied, in accordance with the written statement of the Department, delivered on or before the Draw Down Date:

(1)First, the Trustee shall transfer to each Account within the Debt Service Fund established for a Series of Bonds (other than Subordinate Bonds) (i) amounts sufficient to pay one-sixth of the interest due on Bonds of such Series on the next succeeding Interest Payment Date if such Series bears interest at a Fixed Rate, or an amount specified in the applicable Supplemental Indenture if such Series bears interest at a Variable Rate, as applicable, net of interest earnings on deposit in such Account, provided that payments prior to the first Interest Payment Date after the issuance of a Series of Bonds shall be adjusted to the extent necessary so that the total amount of interest due on such Bonds on that Interest Payment Date will have been paid into the applicable Debt Service Account in equal installments prior to that Interest Payment Date, and (ii) (A) with respect to the Bonds (excluding the EB-5 Bonds) amounts sufficient to pay the principal amount of the Bonds of such Series coming due on the next succeeding Principal Payment Date multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which shall be 1 and the denominator of which shall be the number of months between the immediately preceding Principal Payment Date and the next succeeding Principal Payment Date (including sinking fund installments), net of interest earnings on deposit in such Account, provided that payments prior to the first Principal Payment Date after the issuance of a Series of Bonds shall be adjusted to the extent necessary so that the total amount of principal due on such Bonds on that Principal Payment Date will have been paid into the applicable Debt Service Account in equal installments prior to that Principal Payment Date and (B) with respect to the EB-5 Bonds, amounts sufficient to pay the principal amount coming due in such month.

(2) Second, the Trustee shall transfer remaining moneys on deposit in the CFC Revenue Fund, if any, up to the amount of the Monthly Rolling Coverage Fund Requirement to the Rolling Coverage Fund.

(3) Third, the Trustee shall transfer remaining moneys on deposit in the CFC Revenue Fund, if any, up to the amount of the Monthly Debt Service Reserve Fund Requirement to the Debt Service Reserve Fund.

Fourth, the Trustee shall transfer remaining moneys on deposit in the CFC Revenue Fund, (4)if any, after taking into account any amounts representing capitalized interest therein, to each Account within the Subordinate Debt Service Fund established for a Series of Subordinate Bonds, (i) amounts sufficient to pay one-sixth of the interest due on Subordinate Bonds of such Series on the next succeeding Interest Payment Date if such Series bears interest at a Fixed Rate, or an amount specified in the applicable Supplemental Indenture if such Series bears interest at a Variable Rate, as applicable, provided that payments prior to the first Interest Payment Date after the issuance of a Series of Subordinate Bonds shall be adjusted to the extent necessary so that the total amount of interest due on such Subordinate Bonds on that Interest Payment Date will have been paid into the applicable Subordinate Debt Service Account in equal installments prior to that Interest Payment Date, and (ii) amounts sufficient to pay the principal amount of the Subordinate Bonds of such Series coming due on the next succeeding Principal Payment Date multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which shall be 1 and the denominator of which shall be the number of months between the immediately preceding Principal Payment Date and the next succeeding Principal Payment Date, provided that payments prior to the first Principal Payment Date after the issuance of a Series of Subordinate Bonds shall be adjusted to the extent necessary so that the total amount of principal due on such Subordinate Bonds on that Principal Payment Date will have been paid into the applicable Subordinate Debt Service Account in equal installments prior to that Principal Payment Date.

(5) Fifth, if and to the extent required by a Supplemental Indenture or other financing document providing for the issuance of one or more Series of Subordinate Bonds, the Trustee shall transfer remaining moneys on deposit in the CFC Revenue Fund, if any, in substantially equal monthly installments

over a period determined by the Department of up to twelve (12) months to the applicable Accounts within the Subordinate Reserve Fund, if any.

(6) Sixth, with respect to any Series of Tax-Exempt Bonds, the Trustee shall transfer remaining moneys on deposit in the CFC Revenue Fund, if any, to the Rebate Fund for such Series of Tax-Exempt Bonds the amounts calculated to be due to the Internal Revenue Service as arbitrage rebate for such Series of Tax-Exempt Bonds in accordance with any arbitrage rebate calculation provided to the Trustee with respect to a Series of Tax-Exempt Bonds, to the extent that funds are not already on deposit therein.

(7) Seventh, the Trustee shall transfer remaining moneys on deposit in the CFC Revenue Fund, if any, to the Administrative Expense Fund amounts necessary to cause the amount on deposit therein to equal the Administrative Expense Fund Requirement.

(8) Eighth, the Trustee shall transfer remaining moneys on deposit in the CFC Revenue Fund, if any to the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund amounts necessary to cause the amount on deposit therein to equal the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund Requirement.

(9) Ninth, the Trustee shall transfer remaining moneys on deposit in the CFC Revenue Fund, if any, to the Operation and Maintenance Fund amounts necessary to cause the amount on deposit therein to equal the Operation and Maintenance Fund Requirement.

(10) Tenth, the Trustee shall transfer remaining moneys on deposit in the CFC Revenue Fund, if any, up to the amount of the Monthly CFC Stabilization Fund Minimum Requirement to the CFC Stabilization Fund.

(11) Eleventh, the Trustee shall transfer remaining moneys on deposit in the CFC Revenue Fund, if any, to the Unreimbursed Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments and Operation and Maintenance Requests Fund amounts necessary to cause the amount on deposit therein to equal the Unreimbursed Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments and Operation and Maintenance Requests Fund Requirement, if any.

(12) Twelfth, the Trustee shall transfer all remaining moneys on deposit in the CFC Revenue Fund, if any, to the Discretionary Fund.

(c) If on any Draw Down Date, the Revenues in the CFC Revenue Fund are insufficient to make the required deposit to any Fund (including any applicable Account therein but excluding the Operation and Maintenance Fund, Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund, Unreimbursed Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments and Operation and Maintenance Requests Fund and the Discretionary Fund) pursuant to the Indenture the Trustee shall transfer to for deposit in such Fund or such Account any and all moneys in the CFC Stabilization Fund up to the amount of such shortfall, notwithstanding the CFC Stabilization Fund Minimum Requirement, to the extent available.

(d) If, two Business Days before any Payment Date, the amounts on deposit in the Debt Service Account established for any Bonds (other than Subordinate Bonds) are insufficient to pay the interest or the principal or redemption price payable on such Bonds as the same shall become due, moneys held in the following Funds or Accounts shall be transferred to or by the Trustee from said Funds or Accounts in the following order to each such Debt Service Account in order to satisfy said deficiency therein:

(1) First, the Trustee shall transfer for deposit in the applicable Account within the Debt Service Fund any and all moneys in the CFC Stabilization Fund up to the amount of such shortfall, notwithstanding the CFC Stabilization Fund Minimum Requirement;

(2) Second, if available moneys in the CFC Stabilization Fund are insufficient to satisfy the deficiency, the Trustee shall transfer to the applicable Account within the Debt Service Fund moneys in the Rolling Coverage Fund; and
(3) Third, if available moneys in the CFC Stabilization Fund and Rolling Coverage Fund are insufficient to satisfy the deficiency, the Trustee shall transfer to the applicable Account within the Debt Service Fund moneys in the Debt Service Reserve Fund.

Debt Service Fund.

(a) Unless otherwise specified in the Supplemental Indenture relating thereto, in connection with the issuance of each Series of Bonds there shall be established an Account relating to such Series within the Debt Service Fund (each a "Debt Service Account").

(b) On each Principal Payment Date, funds on deposit in each such Debt Service Account shall be applied to pay principal or interest of the related Bonds then due, as applicable.

(c) If, two (2) Business Days prior to any Payment Date, the amount on deposit in any Account within the Debt Service Fund is insufficient to pay the principal, redemption price of or interest due on the applicable Series of Bonds for which such Account has been established, which is due on such Payment Date, the Trustee shall provide notice to the Department of such shortfall and, to the extent that funds in the CFC Stabilization Fund are insufficient to fund such shortfall, the Trustee shall proceed to draw upon the other Funds and Accounts held under the Indenture as provided.

(d) Notwithstanding any provision under the Indenture to the contrary, on the date that the funds on deposit in the Reserve Funds, plus the amounts if any, on deposit in the Debt Service Fund, are sufficient to pay the remaining principal of, premium, if any, and interest on all Outstanding Bonds as and when due, the Department may direct the Trustee to transfer the funds on deposit in the Reserve Funds to the Debt Service Fund and apply the same to the payment of the final maturities of principal of such Bonds, premium, if any, and interest thereon as and when due on the remaining Payment Dates.

Rolling Coverage Fund.

(a) As a condition precedent to the issuance of any Series of Bonds under the Indenture, provision must be made so that the Rolling Coverage Fund shall be funded to the Rolling Coverage Fund Requirement immediately after such issuance. Funds on deposit in the Rolling Coverage Fund shall be transferred and applied by the Trustee as provided under the Indenture to pay principal of and interest on the Bonds (other than Subordinate Bonds) in the event that the amount on deposit in the Debt Service Fund and available amounts from the CFC Stabilization Fund on any Payment Date are insufficient to pay the principal of or interest then due on any Series of Bonds (other than Subordinate Bonds).

(b) On each Principal Payment Date, following payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds due on such Payment Date, if the amount on deposit in the Rolling Coverage Fund is in excess of the Rolling Coverage Fund Requirement as calculated on such Payment Date, the difference between the amount on deposit in such Fund and the Rolling Coverage Fund Requirement shall be withdrawn from the Rolling Coverage Fund and deposited to the CFC Revenue Fund.

Debt Service Reserve Fund.

(a) As a condition precedent to the issuance of any Series of Bonds under the Indenture, provision must be made so that the Debt Service Reserve Fund shall be funded to the DSRF Requirement immediately after such issuance. Funds on deposit in the Debt Service Reserve Fund shall be transferred and applied by the Trustee as provided under the Indenture to pay principal of and interest on the Bonds (other than Subordinate Bonds) in the event that the amount on deposit in the Debt Service Fund and available amounts from the CFC Stabilization Fund and the Rolling Coverage Fund on any Payment Date are insufficient to pay the principal of or interest then due on any Series of Bonds (other than Subordinate Bonds).

(b) On each Principal Payment Date, following payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds due on such Payment Date, if the amount on deposit in the Debt Service Reserve Fund is in excess of the DSRF Requirement as calculated on such Payment Date, the difference between the amount on deposit in such Fund and the DSRF Requirement shall be withdrawn from the Debt Service Reserve Fund and deposited to the Debt Service Fund.

Rebate Fund.

In connection with the issuance of any Tax-Exempt Bonds, the Department has covenanted that it shall take all action necessary to comply with section 148 of the Code, including the payments when due of all amounts payable to the United States of America thereunder, and shall refrain from taking any action contrary to section 148 of the Code. For this purpose, a Rebate Fund will be established if required under section 148 of the Code pursuant to the Indenture and the Supplemental Indenture entered into in connection with the issuance of such Tax-Exempt Bonds.

Administrative Expense Fund.

Any interest earned on moneys and investments held within the Administrative Expense Fund shall be credited to such Fund so long as the amount on deposit in such Fund does not exceed the Administrative Expense Fund Requirement, and, on the first day of each month, shall be transferred by the Trustee into the CFC Revenue Fund in the event and to the extent that the amount on deposit in the Administrative Expense Fund exceeds the Administrative Expense Fund Requirement. The Administrative Expense Fund shall be disbursed by the Trustee to the Department upon receipt of a certificate of an Authorized Officer of the Department requesting a disbursement therefrom for use to pay Administrative Expenses.

Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund.

Any interest earned on moneys and investments held within the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund shall be credited to such Fund so long as the amount on deposit under the Indenture does not exceed the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund Requirement, and, on the first day of each month, shall be transferred by the Trustee into the CFC Revenue Fund in the event and to the extent that the amount then on deposit in the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund exceeds the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund exceeds the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund Requirement. The Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund shall be disbursed by the Trustee to the Department's upon receipt of a certificate of an Authorized Officer of the Department requesting a disbursement therefrom for use to pay for capital improvements, repairs or replacements for the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System in accordance with the RAC Agreements.

Operation and Maintenance Fund.

Any interest earned on moneys and investments held within the Operation and Maintenance Fund shall be credited to such Fund so long as the amount on deposit under the Indenture does not exceed the Operation and Maintenance Fund Requirement, and, on the first day of each month, shall be transferred by the Trustee into the CFC Revenue Fund in the event and to the extent that the amount then on deposit in the Operation and Maintenance Fund exceeds the Operation and Maintenance Fund Requirement. To the extent available under the Indenture, funds in the Operation and Maintenance Fund shall be disbursed by the Trustee to the RAC Consortium for valid Operation and Maintenance Requests upon delivery of a RAC Disbursement Certificate.

CFC Stabilization Fund.

Any interest earned on moneys and investments held within the CFC Stabilization Fund shall be credited to such Fund so long as the amount on deposit therein does not exceed the CFC Stabilization Fund Minimum Requirement, and, on the first day of each month, shall be transferred by the Trustee into the CFC Revenue Fund in the event and to the extent that the amount then on deposit in the CFC Stabilization Fund exceeds the CFC Stabilization Fund Requirement. Amounts on deposit in the CFC Stabilization Fund on any Draw Down Date shall be applied by pursuant to under the Indenture to make up deficiencies in amounts required to be on deposit in any Funds, notwithstanding the CFC Stabilization Fund Minimum Requirement. The Trustee, at the direction of the Department, may create additional Accounts within the CFC Stabilization Fund to assist in the administration of the application of funds for such purposes.

Unreimbursed Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments and Operation and Maintenance Requests Fund.

Any interest earned on moneys and investments held within the Unreimbursed Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments and Operation and Maintenance Requests Fund shall be credited to such Fund so long as the amount on deposit therein does not exceed the Unreimbursed Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments and Operation and Maintenance Requests Fund Requirement, and, on the first day of each month, shall be transferred by the Trustee into the CFC Revenue Fund in the event and to the extent that the amount then on deposit exceeds the Unreimbursed Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments and Operation and Maintenance Requests Fund Requirement. To the extent available thereunder, funds in the Unreimbursed Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments and Operation and Maintenance requests Fund shall be disbursed by the Trustee to the RAC Consortium upon delivery of a RAC Disbursement Certificate.

Discretionary Fund.

Any interest earned on moneys and investments held within the Discretionary Fund shall be credited to such Fund. Amounts in the Discretionary Fund shall be disbursed by the Trustee to the Department or at its order upon receipt of written instruction of the Department and used FIRST to repay any Shortfall Qualifying Amount then due and owing under the EB-5 Loan Agreement and SECOND for the enhancement, renovation, operation, and maintenance of existing rental motor vehicle customer facilities and the development of new rental motor vehicle customer facilities and related services at the Airports, including: (1) acquisition and maintenance of a unified shuttle bus system to and from passenger terminals and the rental motor vehicle customer facilities; (3) consultant fees; (4) management, operation, and maintenance fees for rental motor vehicle customer facilities; and (5) conceptual plans, plans, design, construction, operation, and maintenance of, or allocable to, the approved rental motor vehicle customer facilities and related services.

Investment of Moneys in Funds.

The Trustee shall invest moneys in the Project Fund, the Debt Service Fund, the Rolling Coverage Fund, the Debt Service Reserve Fund, the Subordinate Debt Service Fund, the Subordinate Reserve Fund, the Rebate Fund, the Administrative Expense Fund, the Operation and Maintenance Fund, the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund, the Unreimbursed Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments and Operation and Maintenance Requests Fund, the CFC Stabilization Fund and the Discretionary Fund in any Qualified Investments and shall sell or liquidate any such investment, in each case upon the written direction of the Department, subject in each case to the restrictions on investments set forth under the Indenture.

Payment of Bonds.

The Department agrees that it will promptly pay or cause to be paid the principal of and premium, if any, and interest on all Bonds as provided under the Indenture from the Pledged Receipts. The Department agrees that, except as provided therein, it will not mortgage, encumber or alienate any part of the Pledged Receipts. All agreements of the Department under this section and elsewhere in the Indenture and the Bonds and each other agreement or instrument to which the Department may be or hereafter become a party in connection with the Indenture or the Bonds are subject to the limitation described under the Indenture.

Rights of Department as Lessor; Enforcement of RAC Agreements.

(a) The Bonds and any other agreement or instrument to which the Department may be or hereafter become a party in connection with the Indenture or the Bonds are without prejudice to and shall not prohibit, restrict or derogate in any way from the Department's exercise of any of the Unassigned Rights of the Department as lessor under the RAC Agreements or from any other rights of the Department as operator of the Airports and the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System. Notwithstanding any provision thereof to the contrary, the Department is under no obligation, express or implied, to the Trustee, the Bondholders or any other Person to exercise or to refrain from exercising any right, remedy or responsibility which the Department may have under any RAC Agreement or from exercise upon the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System, regardless of the effect of such exercise or non-exercise upon the rights and interests of the Trustee, the Bondholders or any other Person under the Indenture, the Bonds or any other such agreement or instrument.

(b) Notwithstanding the provisions summarized in subsection (a) above, the Department covenants that, to the full extent permitted by applicable law, so long as any of the Bonds remain Outstanding, it will require all RACs to collect and remit CFCs and make Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments, and the

Department will take all actions legally permitted to enforce compliance by the RACs with the RAC Agreements and of their obligations thereunder, including specifically seeking specific performance by each of the RACs, to charge, collect and remit CFCs and make required Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments directly to the Trustee for the benefit of the Department. The Department covenants that so long as any of the Bonds remain Outstanding it will not enter into any RAC Agreement or consent to any amendment to a RAC Agreement which would permit direct access to the Terminals by any courtesy vehicle of a RAC or Off-Airport RAC after the related CRCF is open for business, or consent to any amendment to a RAC Agreement which would otherwise materially adversely affect the rights of Beneficial Owners without consent of a Majority of the Bondholders.

Collection of Customer Facility Charges; Rate Covenant.

(a) As long as any Bond remains Outstanding, to the full extent permitted by law, the Department shall require each RAC to charge, collect and remit directly to the Trustee for the benefit of the Department, CFCs for each Contract Day that a Motor Vehicle is rented by a Customer, and to pay directly to the Trustee for the benefit of the Department, Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments, as summarized in paragraph (c) below, and the RAC Agreements shall require the RACs to segregate such CFCs as trust funds for the benefit of the Department, and not as revenues of the RACs. In the event that any CFCs are paid to the Department and not the Trustee, the Department shall, to the extent allowed under applicable law, transfer such funds to the Trustee for deposit into the CFC Revenue Fund as soon as reasonably practicable.

The Department shall, prior to the commencement of each Fiscal Year as long as any Bond is (b) Outstanding, review and may adjust the level of the CFC, to the extent permitted by law, the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency or both based upon factors including the projected Aggregate Debt Service for the coming Fiscal Year, amounts necessary to fund the other accounts provided for in the Indenture, any shortfalls in CFC revenue and the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency compared to the Annual CFC Target that may have occurred in the then-current Fiscal Year, projections of the level of demand for rental car services at the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System in the next Fiscal Year, and such other factors as the Department may determine in its sole discretion. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Department may make unscheduled adjustments to the level of the CFC, to the extent permitted by law. As long as any Bonds remain Outstanding, the Department shall set the amount of the CFC, to the extent permitted by law, plus projected Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments at an annual level estimated to be sufficient to provide funds (i) to pay principal of and interest on the Bonds due in such Fiscal Year, (ii) to reimburse the Rolling Coverage Fund, the Debt Service Reserve Fund or the Subordinate Reserve Fund for any drawings upon such Funds over a period not to exceed twelve (12) months, as determined by the Department, (iii) to provide funds necessary to pay any "yield reduction payments" or rebate amounts due to the United States for which funds in the Rebate Fund or the CFC Stabilization Fund are not otherwise available, (iv) to maintain the balance of the CFC Stabilization Fund in an amount of no less than the CFC Stabilization Fund Minimum Requirement and to reimburse any drawings below the CFC Stabilization Fund Minimum Requirement over a period not to exceed twelve (12) months, as determined by the Department and (v) to maintain the balance of the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund in an amount of no less than the Capital Improvements, Repair and Replacement Fund Requirement (collectively, the sum of the amounts required by (i) through (v) above, the "Annual CFC Target").

(c) The Department shall require each RAC to pay directly to the Trustee, for the benefit of the Department, Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments, in the aggregate, that the Department projects to be sufficient, together with CFCs projected to be collected in such Fiscal Year or portion thereof, to provide sufficient funds to meet the Annual CFC Target for such Fiscal Year and to provide additional funds equal to the difference between the CFCs and Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments (if any) received in the prior Fiscal Year and the Minimum Annual Requirement for such prior Fiscal Year.

(d) As long as any of the Bonds remain Outstanding, the aggregate amount of CFCs and Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments paid by the RACs in each Fiscal Year plus the amount on deposit in the Rolling Coverage Fund (up to an amount not to exceed 25% of the Aggregate Debt Service on the Bonds (expressly excluding Subordinate Bonds) in such Fiscal Year) to provide no less than 1.40 times the Aggregate Debt Service on the Bonds (expressly excluding Subordinate Bonds) (the "**Rate Covenant**"), and, in the event that the Rate Covenant is not satisfied in any Fiscal Year, the Department shall increase the CFC, to the extent permitted by law, the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency or both for the next succeeding Fiscal Year to no less than an amount, in the aggregate, that the Department projects to be sufficient to satisfy the Rate Covenant.

Insurance.

The Department shall maintain, or cause to be maintained, insurance with respect to the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System against such casualties and contingencies and in such amounts not less than is reasonably prudent for owners of comparable facilities. Such policies of insurance shall name the Department and the Trustee as additional insureds as their interests may appear. Any premiums for such policies of insurance shall be paid by the RACs or by the Department.

Casualty and Condemnation.

In the event that the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System or any portion thereof is damaged, taken or condemned, the net proceeds of insurance (including without limitation self-insurance) or condemnation award allocable to that portion of the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System financed with proceeds of Bonds shall be applied as set forth under the Indenture to restore such damaged or condemned portion of the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System to substantially the same condition as before such damage or condemnation occurred; provided, if the Department reasonably determines that such damaged or condemned portion of the Statewide shall be deposited to the Debt Service Fund and applied to the principal of and interest on the Bonds next coming due, pro rata. In the event the Department so determines that such damage or condemnation cannot be so restored, the Department shall provide the Trustee with written notice of such determination not less than 60 days following the date of such damage or condemnation. Nothing under the Indenture shall limit the Department's power of eminent domain.

Events of Default; Defaults.

The occurrence of any of the following events shall constitute an "Event of Default" under the Indenture:

(a) Failure to pay interest on any Bond when due and payable.

(b) Failure to pay any principal of, or premium on, any Bond when due and payable, whether at stated maturity or pursuant to any redemption or purchase requirement under the Indenture or under any Supplemental Indenture.

(c) Failure by the Department to observe or perform any other covenant, condition or agreement on its part to be observed or performed in the Indenture or the Bonds for a period of 60 days after written notice of such failure shall have been given to the Department by the Trustee; provided, however, that if such observance or performance requires work to be done, actions to be taken or conditions to be remedied which by its or their nature cannot reasonably be done, taken or remedied, as the case may be, within such 60-day period, no Event of Default under this subsection (c) shall be deemed to have occurred or to exist if and so long as the Department shall have commenced such work, action or remediation within such 60-day period and provided written notice thereof to the Trustee and shall diligently and continuously prosecute the same to completion; provided, however, that, except as described under subsection (a) or (b) above, any failure to satisfy a Fund Requirement shall not constitute an Event of Default.

Within five days after actual knowledge by an Authorized Officer of the Trustee of an Event of Default under subsection (a) or (b) above, the Trustee shall give written notice to the Department and all of the Bondholders.

Remedies; Rights of Bondholders.

(a) Upon the continuance of an Event of Default, if so requested by a Majority of the Bondholders, and if satisfactory indemnity has been furnished to it, the Trustee shall exercise such of the rights and powers conferred by the Indenture as the Trustee, being advised by counsel, shall deem most effective to enforce and protect the interests of the Bondholders.

(b) No remedy under the Indenture is intended to be exclusive, and to the extent permitted by law each remedy shall be cumulative and in addition to any other remedy thereunder or now or hereafter existing.

(c) No delay or omission to exercise any right or power shall impair such right or power or constitute a waiver of any Default or Event of Default or acquiescence therein; and each such right and power pay be exercised as often as deemed expedient.

(d) No waiver by the Trustee or the Bondholders of any Default or Event of Default shall extend to any subsequent Default or Event of Default.

Right of Bondholders to Direct Proceedings.

A Majority of the Bondholders shall have the right at any time, by an instrument or instruments in writing executed and delivered to the Trustee, to direct the method and place of conducting all proceedings to be taken in connection with the enforcement of the terms and conditions of the Indenture or for the appointment of a receiver or any other proceedings thereunder; provided that such direction shall be in accordance with applicable Law and the Indenture and provided further that the Trustee shall be indemnified to its satisfaction.

Application of Moneys After Event of Default.

Upon the occurrence of an Event of Default, there shall be deposited in the CFC Revenue Fund all moneys and proceeds held or received by the Trustee or any receiver pursuant to the Indenture or any related document or the exercise of any rights granted under the Indenture, except amounts in the Rebate Fund (to the extent applicable), which shall be held and applied in accordance with the Indenture, and all moneys in the CFC Revenue Fund (except funds for which provision has been made under the Indenture) shall be applied after first paying all Costs of Collection incurred by the Trustee or any receiver and any fees, compensation and reimbursement of expenses then owing to the Trustee under the Indenture: (i) FIRST to the payment of interest then due on the Bonds (other than Subordinate Bonds) without regard to when such interest became due, (ii) SECOND to the payment of principal and premium, if any, then due on the Bonds (other than Subordinate Bonds), without regard to when such principal or premium, if any, became due, (iii) THIRD to the payment of interest then due on the Subordinate Bonds without regard to when such interest became due, and (iv) FOURTH to the payment of principal and premium, if any, then due on the Subordinate Bonds, without regard to when such principal or premium, if any, became due; or in such other order as may be determined by the Trustee with the written consent of all the Bondholders; provided, however, that funds collected from any Account of the Project Fund shall be applied solely to the payment of principal of and interest on the Series of Bonds secured by such Account. Payments shall be made ratably, according to the amounts due respectively for interest and principal and premium, if any, among Bondholders entitled to receive the payment being made.

Rights and Remedies of Bondholders.

No Bondholder shall have any right to institute any proceedings for the enforcement of the Indenture or any right or remedy granted under the Indenture unless (i) an Event of Default is continuing, (ii) an Authorized Officer of the Trustee is deemed to have notice or knowledge thereof or has been notified as provided under the Indenture, (iii) a Majority of the Bondholders shall have made written request to the Trustee and shall have afforded the Trustee reasonable opportunity to exercise its powers or to institute such proceeding in its own name, and have offered to the Trustee indemnity satisfactory to it, and (iv) the Trustee shall have failed or refused to exercise its power or to institute such proceeding for a period of no less than 60 days after receipt of such written request. Such notice, request and offer of indemnity shall at the option of the Trustee be conditions precedent to the execution of the powers and trusts of the Indenture, and to any action for the enforcement of the Bonds shall have no right to affect or prejudice the lien of the Indenture by their action or to enforce any right under the Indenture except in the manner provided and that proceedings shall be instituted and maintained in the manner provided and for the benefit of the holders of all Bonds then outstanding. Notwithstanding the foregoing, each Bondholder shall have a right of action to enforce the payment of the principal of an premium, if any, and interest on any Bond held by it at and after the maturity thereof, from the sources and in the manner expressed in such Bond.

Waivers of Events of Default.

The Trustee shall waive (in advance or otherwise) any Event of Default and its consequences and rescind any declaration of maturity of principal upon the written request of a Majority of the Bondholders or, in the case of an Event of Default whose waiver would constitute a violation of the provisions of the Indenture summarized under the heading "Supplemental Indenture Requiring Consent of Bondholders" below, the Bondholder or Bondholders whose consent is required by such provision, but no such waiver (except as specifically provided therein) or rescission shall extend to any subsequent or other Event of Default.

Resignation by Trustee; Removal.

The Trustee may at any time resign from the trusts created by the Indenture by giving 30 days' written notice to the Department and to each Bondholder, but such resignation will not take effect until the appointment of a successor Trustee, acceptance by the successor Trustee of such trusts and assignment to such successor Trustee of the rights of the predecessor Trustee under the Indenture. The Trustee may be removed at any time by an instrument or concurrent instruments in writing delivered to the Trustee by a Majority of the Bondholders, but such removal shall not take effect until the appointment of a successor Trustee and acceptance by the successor Trustee of such trusts. The Trustee may also be removed at any time for any breach of trust, or for acting or proceeding in violation of, or for failing to act or proceeding in accordance with, any provision of the Indenture with respect to the duties and obligations of the Trustee, by any court of competent jurisdiction upon the application of the holders of not less than 25% in aggregate principal amount of the Bonds, excluding any Bonds held by or for the account of the Department. The Department may remove the Trustee at any time, except during the existence of an Event of Default, for such cause as shall be determined in the sole discretion of the Department by filing with the Trustee an instrument signed by an Authorized Officer and by mailing notice thereof to the Bondholders at their addresses shown on the registration books kept by the Trustee. Any removal of the Trustee will take effect upon the appointment of a successor Trustee.

The Department and the Trustee may, without the consent of, or notice to, any of the Bondholders enter into indentures of trust supplemental to the Indenture and financing statements or other instruments evidencing the existence of a lien as shall not, in their opinion, be inconsistent with the terms and provisions hereof or thereof for any one or more of the following purposes: (a) to cure any ambiguity, inconsistency or formal defect or omission in the Indenture; (b) to grant to or confer upon the Trustee for the benefit of the Bondholders any additional rights, remedies, powers, or authority that may lawfully be granted to or conferred upon the Bondholders or the Trustee; (c) to subject to the lien and pledge of the Indenture additional revenues or collateral; (d) to evidence any succession to the Department and the assumption by such successor of the agreements of the Department contained in the Indenture and the Bonds; (e) to the extent required by law, to permit registration of the Bonds under the Securities Act, the Trust Indenture Act, or any applicable state securities law, and to permit qualification of the Indenture under the Trust Indenture Act; (f) to revise the provisions of the Indenture or any related document or certificate relating to rebate of arbitrage profits to the United States, provided the Trustee shall have received an opinion of Bond Counsel that such revision does not adversely affect the exclusion from gross income of interest on the Tax-Exempt Bonds for federal income tax purposes; (g) to effect any other change in the Indenture which, in the judgment of the Trustee, is not to the prejudice of the holders of the Bonds; (h) to provide for the issuance of Bonds, including without limitation to provide for the establishment of additional Accounts in the various Funds as necessary to reflect the parity or subordinate status of such Series of Bonds; and (i) to modify the definition of Qualified Investments as directed by the Department, provided that the Department shall have provided evidence to the Trustee that the details of such modification have been provided in writing to each Rating Agency then assigning a rating to an Outstanding Bond and that each such Rating Agency has either (i) confirmed in writing that such modification will not adversely affect such ratings or (ii) issued a rating on a Series of Bonds to be issued which is not lower than the rating assigned by such Rating Agency to Outstanding Bonds prior to such modification, or any other evidence satisfactory to the Trustee that modification will not adversely affect the then current ratings, if any, assigned to the Bonds by any Rating Agency.

Supplemental Indenture Requiring Consent of Bondholders.

In addition to Supplemental Indentures described in the preceding section, a Majority of the Bondholders shall have the right, from time to time, to consent to and approve the execution by the parties to the Indenture or other indentures of trust supplemental hereto or thereto for the purpose of modifying, altering, amending, adding to or rescinding, in any particular, any of the terms or provisions contained in the Indenture or in any supplemental indenture of trust; provided, however, that nothing in the provisions of the Indenture summarized in this section shall permit (i) an extension of the stated maturity of the principal of or the interest on any Bond without the consent of the holder of such Bond; (ii) a reduction in the principal amount of any Bond, the rate of interest thereon or the premium, if applicable, to be paid upon the redemption thereof prior to maturity without the consent of the holder of such Bond; (iii) an extension of the date for making any scheduled mandatory redemption without the consent of all

of the Bondholders of the affected Series; (iv) the establishment of a privilege or priority of any Bond or Bonds over any other Bond or Bonds (other than Subordinate Bonds) without the consent of all the Bondholders; (v) a reduction in the percentage of the aggregate principal amount of Bonds the holders of which are required to consent to any such supplemental indenture of trust without the consent of the holders of all the Bonds at the time outstanding which would be affected by the action to be taken; (vi) a release of collateral granted under the Indenture without the consent of all of the Bondholders, except as expressly provided therein; or (vii) a modification of the rights, duties or immunities of the Department or the Trustee without the written consent of the affected party.

If at any time the Department shall request the Trustee to enter into any supplemental indenture of trust pursuant to the provisions of the Indenture summarized under this heading, the Trustee shall, upon being satisfactorily indemnified with respect to expenses, cause notice of the proposed execution to be made in the manner summarized under "**Procedure for Redemption; Notice of Redemption**" above; provided, however, that failure to give such notice, or any defect therein, shall not affect the validity of the proceedings.

Such notice shall briefly set forth the nature of the proposed supplemental indenture of trust and shall state that copies thereof are on file at the principal corporate trust office of the Trustee for inspection by all Bondholders. Except as otherwise provided in the Indenture, if, within 60 days or such longer period (not to exceed two years) as shall be prescribed by the Department following the final mailing of such notice, not less than a Majority of the Bondholders at the time of the execution of any such supplemental indenture of trust shall have consented to and approved the execution thereof, no holder of any Bond shall have any right to object to any of the terms and provisions contained therein, or the operation thereof, or in any manner to question the propriety of the execution thereof, or to enjoin or restrain the Trustee or the Department from executing the same or from taking any action pursuant to the provisions thereof. Upon the execution of any such supplemental indenture of trust as in this Section permitted and provided, the Indenture shall be and be deemed to be modified and amended in accordance therewith.

For the purposes of the Indenture, the purchasers of the Bonds of a Series, whether purchasing as underwriters, for resale or otherwise, upon such purchase, may approve a supplemental indenture and may consent to a modification or amendment of the Indenture or any supplemental indenture and other modifications permitted in the manner provided in the Indenture, except that no proof of ownership shall be required, and with the same effect as a consent given by the holder of such Bonds.

Amendments to RAC Agreements.

The provisions of the foregoing section providing for Bondholder consent to certain Supplemental Indentures shall also apply to the modification provisions of the RAC Agreements and to the definitions of terms used therein as so used in a manner that could materially and adversely affect the Bondholders, but shall not apply to any other provisions of the RAC Agreements, including without limitation the Unassigned Rights; and with respect to the modification or waiver of such other provisions of the RAC Agreements, the consent of the Bondholders shall not be required.

Modification by Unanimous Consent.

Notwithstanding anything contained elsewhere in the Indenture, the rights and obligations of the Department, the Trustee and the holders of the Bonds, and the terms and provisions of the Bonds and the Indenture, or any supplemental indenture of trust may be modified or altered in any respect with the consent of the Department, the Trustee and the holders of all of the Bonds then outstanding.

SUMMARY OF THE SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL INDENTURE

Payment on the Series 2017A Bonds.

Interest on the Series 2017A Bonds shall be payable on each applicable Interest Payment Date. The Series 2017A Bonds shall bear interest from the date thereof or from and including the most recent Interest Payment Date with respect to which interest has been paid or duly provided for. The principal of, premium, if any, and the interest on the Series 2017A Bonds shall be payable in lawful money of the United States of America. Principal of and redemption premium, if any, on all Series 2017A Bonds shall be payable at the principal corporate trust office of the Trustee upon the presentation and surrender of the Series 2017A Bonds as the same become due and payable. Interest on the Series 2017A Bonds shall be paid by check drawn upon the Trustee and mailed to the persons in

whose names the Series 2017A Bonds are registered at the address of each such person as it appears on the registration books maintained by the Registrar for the Bonds at the close of business on the Record Date next preceding each Interest Payment Date or at such other address as is furnished in writing by such Bondholder to the Registrar for the Bonds. Interest on the Series 2017A Bonds shall be paid by wire transfer to any Bondholder who at the close of business on such Record Date has given written notice of its wire transfer address in the continental United States to the Registrar for the Bonds prior to such Record Date (which notice may provide that it will remain in effect until revoked), *provided* that each such wire transfer shall be made only with respect to a Bondholder of \$1,000,000 or more in aggregate original principal amount of the Series 2017A Bonds as of the close of business on such Record Date.

Book-Entry-Only System.

The Series 2017A Bonds will be issued initially as one fully-registered bond for each maturity in the name of Cede & Co, as nominee of the Depository Trust Company, New York, New York, and deposited in the custody of DTC. The Beneficial Owners will not receive physical delivery of such Series 2017A Bonds. Individual purchases of such Bonds may be made in book-entry form only in principal amounts equal to Authorized Denominations thereof. Payments of principal of and premium, if any, and interest on such Bonds will be made to DTC or its nominee as Bondholder.

DTC shall pay interest to the Beneficial Owners of record through its Participants as of the close of business on the Record Date. DTC shall pay the redemption price of such Series 2017A Bonds called for redemption to the Beneficial Owners of record through its Participants in accordance with its customary procedures. The Trustee shall notify DTC, to the extent possible, of any notice required to be given pursuant to the Indenture not less than 15 days prior to the date upon which such notice is required to be given.

Transfer of ownership interests in the Series 2017A Bonds shall be made by DTC and its Participants, acting as nominees of the Beneficial Owners, in accordance with rules specified by DTC and its Participants.

Bond certificates will be issued directly to owners of such Series 2017A Bonds other than DTC, or its nominee, upon the occurrence of the following events (subject to the provisions summarized below):

(i) DTC determines not to continue to act as securities depository for such Series 2017A Bonds; or

(ii) the Department with the consent of the Trustee has advised DTC of its determination that DTC is incapable of discharging its duties; or

(iii) the Department with the consent of the Trustee has determined that it is in the best interest of the Bondholders not to continue the Book-Entry-Only System of transfer or that interests of the Beneficial Owners of such Bonds might be adversely affected if the Book-Entry-Only System of transfer is continued.

Upon occurrence of the event described in (i) or (ii) above the Department shall attempt to locate another qualified Securities Depository. If the Department fails to locate another qualified Securities Depository to replace DTC, the Trustee shall authenticate and deliver such Series 2017A Bonds in certificated form. In the event the Department makes the determination noted in (ii) or (iii) above (or occurrence of any events that would permit the Department to make any such determination), and has made provisions to notify the Beneficial Owners of such Bonds of the availability of Bond certificates by mailing an appropriate notice to DTC, the Department shall cause the Trustee to authenticate and deliver Series 2017A Bonds in certificated form. Principal of and interest on such Series 2017A Bonds shall be payable, and such Series 2017A Bond shall be transferrable, as otherwise provided in the Indenture.

Redemption Dates and Prices.

The Series 2017A Bonds shall be subject to redemption prior to maturity in the amounts, at the times and in the manner provided in the Second Supplemental and First Amendatory Indenture.

(a) *Optional Redemption.* The Series 2017A Bonds maturing on or after July 1, 2028 will be subject to redemption at the option of the State, in the order of maturity as directed by the State, on or after July 1, 2027 in

whole or in part on any date, by lot within any single maturity, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount to be redeemed, together with accrued interest to the purchase or redemption date.

(b) *Make-Whole Optional Redemption*. In addition to the foregoing, the Series 2017A Bonds are subject to redemption prior to July 1, 2027, at the option of the Department, in whole or in part (and if in part on a pro rata basis), on any date, at a redemption price (the "**Make-Whole Premium**") equal to the greater of:

(1) 100% of the principal amount of the Series 2017A Bonds to be redeemed; or

(2) the sum of the present value of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest to the maturity date of such Series 2017A Bonds to be redeemed, not including any portion of those payments of interest accrued and unpaid as of the date on which such Series 2017A Bonds are to be redeemed on a semi-annual basis, assuming a 360-day year consisting of twelve 30-day months, at the Comparable Treasury Yield (defined below) plus 25 basis points with respect to the Series 2017A Bonds;

(3) plus, in each case, accrued interest on such Series 2017A Bonds to be redeemed to the redemption date.

For purposes of calculating the Make Whole Premium with respect to the optional make-whole redemption of the Series 2017A Bonds, the following terms shall have the following meanings:

"Calculation Agent" means a commercial bank or an investment banking institution of national standing that is a primary dealer of United States government securities in the United States and designated by the State (which may be one of the institutions that served as an underwriter for the Series 2017A Bonds).

"Comparable Treasury Issue" means the United States Treasury security selected by the Calculation Agent as having a maturity comparable to the remaining term to maturity of the Series 2017A Bonds being redeemed that would be utilized, at the time of selection and in accordance with customary financial practice, in pricing new issues of corporate debt securities of comparable maturity to the remaining term to maturity of the Series 2017A Bonds being redeemed.

"Comparable Treasury Price" means, with respect to any date on which a Series 2017A Bond or portion thereof is being redeemed, either: (a) the average of five Reference Treasury Dealer quotations for the date fixed for redemption, after excluding the highest and lowest such quotations or (b) if the Calculation Agent is unable to obtain five such quotations, the average of the quotations that are obtained. The quotations will be the average, as determined by the Calculation Agent, of the bid and asked prices for the Comparable Treasury Issue (expressed in each case as a percentage of principal amount) quoted in writing to the Calculation Agent, at 5:00 p.m. New York City time at least three business days but no more than 20 business days preceding the date fixed for redemption.

"Comparable Treasury Yield" means the yield that represents the weekly average yield to maturity for the preceding week appearing in the most recently published statistical release designated "H.15(519) Selected Interest Rates" under the heading "Treasury Constant Maturities," or any successor publication selected by the Calculation Agent that is published weekly by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and that establishes yields on actively traded United States Treasury securities adjusted to constant maturity, for the maturity corresponding to the remaining term to maturity of the Series 2017A Bond being redeemed. The Comparable Treasury Yield will be determined at least three business days but no more than 20 business days preceding the date fixed for redemption. If the H.15(519) statistical release sets forth a weekly average yield for United States Treasury securities that have a constant maturity that is the same as the remaining term to maturity of the Series 2017A Bonds being redeemed, then the Comparable Treasury Yield will be equal to such weekly average yield. In all other cases, the Comparable Treasury Yield will be calculated by interpolation on a straight-line basis, between the weekly average yields on the United States Treasury securities that have a constant maturity: (i) closest to and greater than the remaining term to maturity of the Series 2017A Bonds being redeemed; and (ii) closest to and less than the remaining term to maturity of the Series 2017A Bonds being redeemed. Any weekly average yields calculated by interpolation will be rounded to the nearest 1/100th of 1%, with any figure of 1/200th of 1% or above being rounded upward. If, and only if, weekly average yields for United States Treasury securities for the preceding week are not available in the H.15(519) statistical release or any successor publication, then the Comparable Treasury Yield will be the rate of interest per annum equal to the semiannual equivalent yield to maturity of the Comparable Treasury Issue (expressed as a percentage of its principal amount) equal to the Comparable Treasury Price as of the date fixed for redemption.

"Reference Treasury Dealer" means a primary dealer of United States Government securities in the United States (which may be one of the institutions that served as underwriters for the Taxable Bonds) appointed by the State and reasonably acceptable to the Calculation Agent.

(c) *Mandatory Sinking Fund Redemption.* The Series 2017A Bonds maturing on July 1, 2037 and July 1, 2042 (the "**Term Bonds**") are subject to mandatory redemption, in part by lot as provided in the Indenture from mandatory Sinking Fund Payments, on July 1 in each of the years and in the respective principal amounts set forth below, at a redemption price equal to the principal amount thereof to be redeemed:

\$42,540,000 Series 2017A Bonds Maturing July 1, 2037*

Year	Principal Amount	
2033	\$ 7,860,000	
2034	8,170,000	
2035	8,495,000	
2036	8,830,000	
2037	9,185,000	
	\$42,540,000	

\$116,015,000 Series 2017A Bonds Maturing July 1, 2047*

Year	Principal Amount	
2038	\$ 9,560,000	
2039	9,965,000	
2040	10,385,000	
2041	10,825,000	
2042	11,285,000	
2043	11,760,000	
2044	12,260,000	
2045	12,775,000	
2046	13,320,000	
2047 13,880,000		
	\$116,015,000	
•		

*Stated maturity.

If the Department redeems Term Bonds pursuant to optional redemption or purchases Bonds subject to mandatory redemption and cancels the same, then an amount equal to the principal amount of Bonds of such maturity so redeemed or purchased shall be deducted from the Principal Installments as provided for such Term Bonds of such maturity in such order as the Director shall determine.

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

APPENDIX E

Summary of Certain Provisions of the ConRAC Leases

This Appendix E contains a summary of certain provisions of the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities Concession Agreements and Facilities Leases between the State of Hawaii (the "State") acting through the Department of Transportation (the "Department") and the rental car operators (the "RACs") (each an "Agreement"). These summaries have been created from the template Agreement offered by the Department to RACs but, other than the restrictions summarized under the heading "SUMMARY OF THE INDENTURE OF TRUST – Amendments to RAC Agreements" in APPENDIX D, the actual executed Agreements may contain modified terms and are subject to further revisions over time in accordance with the terms thereof. The summaries contained herein do not purport to be complete or to follow the exact language of each of the Agreements. Reference is made to full text of the Agreements for the precise wording and the complete provisions thereof. The Agreements are available upon request from the Department.

Term.

Each Agreement will run until the (a) the later to occur of (i) the thirtieth (30th) anniversary of the Payment Commencement Date or (ii) the retirement of all Bonds or (b) an earlier termination as provided in the applicable Agreement; provided, however, that in no event shall the Expiration Date be later than the sixty fifth (65th) anniversary of the Effective Date of any Agreement. In the event that the Concession is not extended after a rebid, the State will have the right to terminate the Agreement upon not less than thirty (30) days' written notice to the applicable RAC.

The State may, but will not be obligated to, permit a RAC to holdover on or at the Premises beyond the expiration of the applicable Agreement, subject to the terms and conditions set forth therein.

On the Expiration Date or upon any earlier termination of the Agreement or RAC's right to possession of the Premises under the Agreement, fee simple title to all RAC Improvements therein, to the extent not theretofore vested in the State pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, shall revert to the State without the necessity of any further action by either party thereunder; provided, however, that upon the State's request, RAC shall execute and deliver to the State (in recordable form) all documents necessary to evidence such conveyance, including, without limitation, a bill of sale. RAC shall deliver to the State executed counterparts of any service and maintenance contracts that are in RAC's possession and are then affecting the Premises and RAC Improvements, true and complete maintenance records for the Premises and RAC Improvements, all original licenses and permits then pertaining to the Premises and RAC Improvements, permanent certificates of occupancy then in effect for the Premises and RAC Improvements, together with a duly executed assignment of any of the foregoing to the State (but as to any service and maintenance contracts, only to the extent the State requests assignment), and all financial reports, documents, books, and records whatsoever relating to the maintenance or condition of the Premises and RAC Improvements.

The State shall have the right and option, to be exercised in its sole and absolute discretion, to require the re-bidding of any Agreement as to the Concession rights granted thereunder once every ten (10) years during the Term thereof, and, in such event, RAC may be required to re-bid for the rights and license granted under such Agreement as part of the State's then applicable public bidding process (and, in the event that RAC elects not to re-bid as aforesaid, the State shall be entitled in such instance to terminate the Agreement). In the event that a RAC is outbid in connection with any such periodic re-bidding for the Facility, the State shall have the right to terminate the applicable Agreement upon not less than thirty (30) days' written notice to RAC. In the event that RAC is a successful bidder in any such periodic re-bidding, RAC and the State shall execute a written amendment to the applicable Agreement, confirming the modified terms and provisions applicable thereto.

RAC Payments.

A. Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency.

1. For each Agreement Year, as soon as reasonably possible, the State shall provide each RAC and the other On-Airport Rental Car Companies with a statement of the estimated monthly installments of Minimum

Annual Requirement Deficiency (the "**Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Estimate**"). Such Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Estimate shall set forth the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency which is intended to be the amount projected to be sufficient, together with the estimated or forecasted CFC Revenues and funds available therefore within the CFC Revenue Fund for such Agreement Year, to satisfy the Minimum Annual Requirement. RAC shall pay to the Trustee or the State, as appropriate, concurrently with its monthly payment of Ground Rent, its Proportionate Share of the estimated Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency, if any, as set forth in such Minimum Annual Requirement Estimate. Such Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency payment shall be paid by RAC as and when the same becomes due and payable under the Agreement without setoff, deduction, credit, or discount.

2. As soon as reasonably practicable following the end of each Agreement Year, the State shall prepare a statement comparing the actual amount of the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency to the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Estimate (the "Minimum Annual Requirement Settlement Statement"). If the actual Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency exceeds the estimated Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency, RAC shall pay to the Trustee or the State, as the case may be, within sixty (60) calendar days, its Proportionate Share of the amount of such shortfall (the "Additional Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency"). Any such Additional Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency which remains unpaid from and after such sixty (60) day period shall bear interest at the Default Rate under the Agreement from the date due until paid. If such Minimum Annual Requirement Settlement Statement indicates that the total amount of the Minimum Annual Requirement for such Agreement Year is less than the total amount of CFC Revenues attributable to such Agreement Year, the State may apply such excess CFC Revenues, at the State's discretion, first to other Eligible Costs and then as otherwise permitted under the terms and provisions of the CFC Statute or the Bond Documents, as the case may be. The CFCs generally and CFC Revenues specifically shall be governed by the provisions under the Agreement and the CFC Statute.

3. At the end of each Bond Year, commencing with the conclusion of the first Bond Year after the Payment Commencement Date, RAC, through the RAC Consortium, shall have the right to request reimbursement from CFC Revenues by submitting a written reimbursement request to the State for Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency payments made in connection with the terms of the applicable Agreement summarized under this subheading for which there has been no prior reimbursement. Subject to the terms and provisions of the Agreement and of the Indenture, the State agrees to reimburse the RAC Consortium as provided above to the extent that funds are available for such purpose under the Unreimbursed Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments and Operation and Maintenance Requests Fund held under the Indenture. The State will process invoices within thirty (30) days after receipt thereof, and will also instruct such parties as may be necessary to remit payment to the RAC Consortium within sixty (60) days after receipt of a properly completed reimbursement request. The Operating Agreement shall provide for the means and priority of distribution of any such reimbursement and any reimbursement pursuant to the Agreement among the RAC and the other On-Airport Rental Car Companies.

B. CFC Collections.

1. Each RAC shall be required to collect CFCs upon execution of the applicable Agreement or earlier if so required by the terms and provisions of the CFC Statute, the Bond Documents or such Agreement. RAC shall promptly remit to the Trustee (or as otherwise directed by the State) the CFCs required to be charged, and at the times required, under the CFC Statute, the Bond Documents, and the Agreement, as the case may be (regardless of whether such amounts are actually collected), and shall provide written confirmation thereof to the State.

2. On or before the twentieth (20th) day of each calendar month during the Term (provided, however, with respect to the month in which the Commencement Date falls, RAC may furnish such report at the time its next monthly report is due), and on or before the twentieth (20th) day of the calendar month immediately following the expiration or other termination of the related Agreement, RAC shall submit to the State, in form and substance approved by and acceptable to the State from time to time, a written report (the "CFC Report") specifying for the prior calendar month (i) the total number of Automobile rental contracts entered into by RAC with Customers at each Airport, (ii) the total number of Contract Days thereunder at each Airport, and (iii) the total amount of CFCs payable by RAC in connection with such contracts. RAC shall remit to the Trustee (or as otherwise directed by the State) concurrently with such CFC Report the total amount of CFCs due and payable for such calendar month. Any such CFCs which are not paid on such date shall bear interest at the Default Rate

from the date due until paid. In the event RAC shall fail to timely furnish to the State any CFC Report, the State shall have the right (but shall not be obligated) to conduct an audit of RAC's books and records (which books and records shall be prepared and maintained in accordance with, and shall include all of the information required under the agreement) and to prepare such reports at RAC's expense. Moreover, in the event that RAC fails to timely furnish any such CFC Report or fails to make available its books and records, the State shall have the right to estimate the CFCs due and payable under the related Agreement. The State shall furnish to RAC from time to time on a monthly basis a report showing, in the aggregate, the total number of Contract Days reported for each Airport and the total amount of CFCs payable in connection with such Contract Days under the related Agreement at each Airport.

3. The audit rights set forth in the Agreement shall apply and shall be available to the State with respect to the CFCs and collections thereof; provided, (i) if any such audit with respect to CFCs shall disclose that RAC's CFC Report(s) understated CFC collections to the extent of one percent (1%) or more, RAC shall promptly pay to the State the cost of said audit in addition to the deficiency (together with interest on such deficiency at the Default Rate from the date due until paid), which deficiency shall be payable in any event, or (ii) if any such audit with respect to CFCs and collections thereof shall disclose that RAC's CFC report(s) understated CFC collections by less than one percent (1%), RAC shall promptly pay to the State one half (1/2) the cost of said audit in addition to the deficiency (together with interest on such deficiency at the Default Rate from the deficiency (together with interest on such deficiency at the Default with respect to CFCs and collections thereof shall disclose that RAC's CFC report(s) understated CFC collections by less than one percent (1%), RAC shall promptly pay to the State one half (1/2) the cost of said audit in addition to the deficiency (together with interest on such deficiency at the Default Rate from the date due until paid), which deficiency shall be payable in any event. If the State requires or performs more than one (1) audit during any calendar year during the Term of an Agreement, the cost of any audit during such calendar year following the initial audit for such calendar year shall be paid by the State (except to the extent that (i) the initial or prior audit for such calendar year revealed a deficiency of one percent (1%) or more or (ii) such audit reveals a deficiency of one percent (1%) or more, in which case the aforementioned provisions shall apply).

4. The State is authorized to mandate the manner in which RAC identifies the CFC on Automobile rental contracts from time to time, and RAC shall so identify the CFC within thirty (30) days following notification thereof from the State. RAC shall charge its Customers the total amount of the CFC due under each Automobile rental contract at the time the final number of Contract Days is determined thereunder, and shall remit such total amount of CFCs to the Trustee pursuant to the terms and provisions of the agreement (and any failure of RAC to so charge or collect such CFCs shall not relieve RAC for its responsibility to remit the full amount of such CFCs to the Trustee or the State under the applicable Agreement).

5. RAC shall not intentionally divert Automobile rentals to other locations to avoid the imposition or collection of CFCs. All CFCs which would otherwise have been imposed upon and collected from such intentionally diverted rentals may, at the option of the State, be charged to and due and payable by RAC as additional CFCs under the applicable Agreement.

6. Each RAC covenants and agrees that it will not be entitled to any rights to offset or other reduction in the requirements of the applicable Agreement and shall be required to remit to the Trustee (or as otherwise directed by the State) all CFCs imposed and payable regardless of any amounts that may be owed or due to RAC by the State. Any and all CFCs collected by RAC prior to remittance to the Trustee or the State, as the case may be, shall be subject at all times to a first lien for the repayment of the Bonds. In no event shall RAC grant to any third party (other than the State) any liens or encumbrances on CFCs, and any and all liens or encumbrances on CFCs so granted by RAC to any third party, or otherwise purported to be obtained by any third party, shall be null, void, and of no force or effect. In no event shall any CFCs collected by RAC constitute, or be deemed to constitute, income, revenue, or any other asset of RAC, it being acknowledged, understood, and agreed that (i) RAC shall have no legal or equitable ownership or property interest in or to the CFCs, and (ii) RAC has waived any and all claims to a possessory or legal or equitable ownership interest in or to the CFCs. Prior to remittance to the Trustee or the State, as the case may be, CFCs shall be held by RAC as funds in trust for the benefit of the Trustee (or as otherwise directed by the State) and the Trustee or the State, as the case may be, shall have full and complete possessory and legal and equitable ownership rights in and to the CFCs. RAC shall not be entitled to any compensation for collection and remittance of the CFCs, but RAC may retain any interest earned on the CFCs between the time of collection and remittance to the Trustee or the State, as the case may be.

Construction, Maintenance, Repair and Operating Expenses.

A. Facility Improvements.

1. Construction of RAC Improvements. Each RAC covenants and agrees and it is an express condition of the applicable Agreement that RAC shall, with due diligence and at RAC's sole cost and expense commence the construction within the Premises of the improvements described under such Agreement.

a. RAC may not commence construction of the RAC Improvements in the Premises without the State's prior written approval of the plans and specifications relating thereto (the "**RAC Improvement Plans**"), which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned, or delayed, and receipt of all necessary State of Hawaii, county, city and other governmental approvals, licenses, and permits in connection therewith.

b. RAC shall deliver to and for the benefit of the State, no later than thirty (30) days prior to commencement of construction of RAC Improvements, dual performance and payment bonds. Performance and payment bond or bonds are required by the State and shall comply with the provisions of applicable State of Hawaii statutes.

c. Once commenced, RAC shall diligently prosecute construction, and RAC shall substantially complete the RAC Improvements within the time required by the applicable Agreement. For purposes of the RAC Improvements, "**RAC Improvement Substantial Completion**" shall mean the completion, in accordance with the RAC Improvement Plans and applicable laws, of all RAC Improvements, other than minor punch list items, and shall include issuance of a certificate of substantial completion by the architect and engineer in a customary form reasonably required by the State. If any work does not comply with the provisions of the applicable Agreement, the State may, by notice to RAC, require that RAC stop the work and take steps necessary to cause corrections to be made.

d. RAC shall pay all costs of the construction incurred by RAC when due, and shall require all contractors to deliver sworn statements of persons furnishing materials and labor before any payment is made and waivers of lien for all work for which payment is made, in order to prevent attachment of mechanic's liens or other liens by reason of work, labor, services, or materials furnished with respect to the Premises.

e. During the course of the construction, the State, and its architects, engineers, agents, and employees on behalf of the State with responsibilities relating to the Premises may enter upon and inspect the Premises for the purpose of verifying that the RAC Improvements are proceeding in accordance with the requirements of the applicable Agreement.

f. Any work performed at the direction of RAC or any On-Airport Rental Car Company, even though performed by contractors, shall be the responsibility of RAC or the On-Airport Rental Car Company directing such work. During any construction by RAC or any other On-Airport Rental Car Company, RAC or such On-Airport Rental Car Company, as the case may be, shall be solely responsible for the support, maintenance, safety, and protection of the facilities of the State resulting from such construction activities, and for the safety and protection of all persons or employees and of all property therein. All work shall be performed in accordance with (and all RAC Improvements, when completed, shall comply with) the RAC Improvement Plans and other documents submitted to and approved by the State, with such design standards as the State shall have in effect from time to time, Airport and construction conditions in effect at the time of construction, and any other applicable federal, state, or local laws.

2. Maintenance, Repair and Operating Expenses.

a. RAC shall, at all times during the Term of its Agreement, at its sole cost and expense, operate and keep the Premises and (together with the RAC Consortium) the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System in good condition and repair, in a safe, secure, clean and sanitary condition, and in full compliance with any and all applicable laws and such rules, regulations and standards as the State shall maintain in effect from time to time, including, without limitation, the State's Operation and Maintenance Standards and made a part thereof. RAC shall be responsible for all maintenance, repair and replacements of and to the Premises and the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System, of any kind or nature whatsoever (except to the extent that the same are the express responsibility of the State as provided under the applicable Agreement). RAC's obligations shall include, without limitation, the following: except for

damage caused by, or replacement or repairs required as a result of, any act or omission of the State, or any of its respective officers, agents, employees, contractors, guests, invitees, or licensees, and subject to inclusion of the costs thereof as part of Operating Expenses from time to time (except as thereinafter provided), RAC, together with the RAC Consortium, as appropriate, will (i) perform general maintenance, repair, and replacement of the common areas of the Consolidated Rental Car Facilities, including, without limitation, the parking facilities or areas, access roads, driveways, truck ways, sidewalks, and passageways associated therewith, as may be reasonably required from time to time; (ii) maintain the Premises and make all repairs to all equipment thereon, including, without limitation, all engines, boilers, machinery, pipes, ducts, conduits, plumbing, heating, ventilating and air conditioning systems and installations, wiring, gas, steam and electrical fittings, ASTs, and all other equipment of every nature whatsoever; (iii) maintain, replace, and repair the interior portions of the Premises, including all fixtures, appurtenances, plate glass, windows, window glass, walls, floors, docks, ceilings, and doors; (iv) maintain and repair the movable and removable fixtures of RAC located in or appurtenant to the Premises, including, without limitation, any RAC Improvements installed; (v) keep the Premises and the Facility Property free from filth, overloading, danger of fire or any pest or nuisance, and repair and/or replace any damage or breakage done by RAC, or any of its respective officers, agents, employees, contractors, guests, invitees, or licensees, including, without limitation, damage done to the Premises or the Facility Property by equipment installations of RAC; and (vi) perform general maintenance, repair, and replacement of the CUTS as may be reasonably required from time to time. Except for items which are the State's responsibility under an Agreement, if any portion of the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System or any system or equipment in the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System which RAC or the RAC Consortium is obligated to maintain or repair cannot be fully repaired or restored, RAC or the RAC Consortium, as appropriate, will promptly replace such portion of the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System or such system or equipment. In the event RAC or the RAC Consortium fails to perform any of their obligations under the Agreements, and fails to cure or commence to cure such failure within fifteen (15) calendar days after written notice from the State, or to thereafter diligently proceed to complete such cure, the State may (but shall not be obligated) to enter such portion of the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System, inclusive of the Premises, at any time to undertake any maintenance, repairs, alterations, improvements or additions as the State shall direct or deem necessary for the maintenance, repair, safety, protection, preservation, or improvement of the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System, or as the State may be required to do by any governmental department or agency, or by the order or decree of any court or by any other proper authority. Any and all costs and expenses of such repairs, alterations, improvements or additions made by the State shall be due and payable by RAC or the RAC Consortium to the State within thirty (30) days following the State's invoice therefor, and if not paid within such 30 day period, shall bear interest at the Default Rate until paid.

b. RAC and the other On-Airport Rental Car Companies, through the RAC Consortium, shall pay all Operating Expenses and Impositions incurred for or in connection with the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System as the same become due and payable.

Ninety (90) days prior to the commencement of each Bond Year, RAC, through the RAC c. Consortium, shall deliver to the State a detailed budget for Operating Expenses for the upcoming Bond Year for approval by the State. The State shall be entitled to object by written notice delivered to the RAC Consortium within fifteen (15) days following the date on which the RAC Consortium submits the detailed Operating Expenses budget (failing which the State shall be deemed to have accepted and agreed to such budget for purposes of the Agreement and the Indenture). In the event that the State objects to such budget, RAC and the State agree to negotiate in good faith for a period not to exceed thirty (30) days in an attempt to reach agreement on such matter. In the event that the State and the RAC Consortium have failed to reach agreement on such matter upon the expiration of such 30 day period, such disputed matter shall be submitted to an independent third party consultant who is reasonably acceptable to each of the State and RAC and who (i) does not regularly represent and is not otherwise affiliated with either the State or any On-Airport Rental Car Company, (ii) is duly licensed and in good standing, (iii) is familiar with current industry practices and standards governing operating expenses in the context of commercial properties comparable to the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System, and (iv) has at least ten (10) years' experience within the previous fifteen (15) years as a consultant working in the greater Honolulu metropolitan area. Within thirty (30) days following such selection, such consultant shall make a recommendation as to what modifications, if any, should be made to such Operating Expenses budget, which recommendation shall be made in accordance prevailing industry practices, as the case may be.

d. Commencing with the first calendar month after the Payment Commencement Date, RAC, through the RAC Consortium, shall have the right to request reimbursement from CFC Revenues by submitting a written reimbursement request to the State for Operating Expenses incurred and paid by RAC or the RAC Consortium for the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System for the preceding calendar month. Subject to the terms and provisions of the Agreement and of the Indenture, the State agrees to reimburse the RAC Consortium as provided above to the extent that funds are available for such purpose under the Operation and Maintenance Fund held under the Indenture. The State retains the right to reject any request for reimbursement, or portion thereof, that contains unauthorized, improper, or insufficiently documented costs and/or charges, including costs and/or charges that require prior written approval from the State in order to be considered for reimbursement where such approval was not first obtained. The State will process invoices within thirty (30) days after receipt thereof, and will also instruct such parties as may be necessary to remit payment to the RAC Consortium within sixty (60) days after receipt of a properly completed reimbursement request.

At the end of each Bond Year, commencing with the conclusion of the first Bond Year after the Payment Commencement Date, RAC, through the RAC Consortium, shall have the right to request reimbursement from CFC Revenues by submitting a written reimbursement request to the State for any Operating Expenses which were not reimbursed pursuant to the forgoing provisions and for which there has been no prior reimbursement. Subject to the terms and provisions hereof and of the Indenture, the State agrees to reimburse the RAC Consortium as provided above to the extent that funds are available for such purpose under the Unreimbursed Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Payments and Operation and Maintenance Requests Fund held under the Indenture. The State will process invoices within thirty (30) days after receipt thereof, and will also instruct such parties as may be necessary to remit payment to the RAC Consortium within sixty (60) days after receipt of a properly completed reimbursement request.

The Operating Agreement shall provide for the means and priority of distribution of any such reimbursement and any reimbursement among the RAC and the other On-Airport Rental Car Companies.

3. Covenant Against Liens. No party, including RAC, shall have any right to file any liens against the Premises, the Facility, or any other property of the State of Hawaii, and RAC shall keep the Premises and the Facility Improvements free and clear of liens or claims of liens in any way arising out of the construction, improvement, or use thereof by RAC. RAC shall promptly take such steps as are necessary to release any claim for lien or attempted claim for lien from the Premises arising out of the construction, improvement, or use thereof by RAC. RAC shall not be deemed to be in default of the applicable Agreement in the event any lien shall attach or shall exist which is prohibited by or which is contrary to or in violation of the provisions of such Agreement, (a) if such lien shall arise as a matter of law, but the amount of said lien be not yet due and payable, or (b) if any such lien shall arise and RAC shall continuously, diligently, and in good faith contest the same, or the validity thereof, by appropriate legal proceedings which shall operate to prevent the foreclosure of any such lien, provided that RAC shall give advance written notification to the State that it is the intent of RAC to contest the validity or collection thereof and RAC shall also comply with the further following provisions under the Agreement. In the event RAC contests any such lien, RAC shall give a satisfactory indemnity to the State or deposit with the State a letter of credit, cash, or security reasonably satisfactory to the State in an amount equal to the amount of the claim or lien, plus such interest and penalties, court costs, or other charges as the State, any fee mortgagee, or title insurer may reasonably estimate to be payable by RAC at the conclusion of such litigation or is required to provide insurance over any potential lien. In the event such letter of credit, cash or securities shall be so deposited, the same shall be held until such claim or other imposition shall have been released and discharged and shall thereupon be returned to RAC, less any amounts expended by the State to procure such release or discharge, or any loss, cost, damage, reasonable attorneys' fees or expense incurred by the State by virtue of the contest of such lien.

4. Ownership of Improvements. The State shall own all Facility Improvements and RAC Improvements now existing or thereafter constructed (excluding the trade fixtures, trade equipment, supplies and personal property of RAC).

5. Alterations. RAC shall have the right from time to time after the completion of the initial RAC Improvements in accordance with the under the Agreement, and at RAC's sole cost and expense, to make alterations and changes ("Alterations") in or to the Premises (except as thereinafter provided), provided RAC shall not then be in default in the performance of any of RAC's covenants or agreements in the Agreement; and

further provided that Substantial Alterations (as defined in the Agreement) may be made only with the written consent of the State, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld or delayed.

Insurance.

RAC shall procure, at its sole cost and expense, and keep in effect at all times during the term of the Agreement, the types and amounts of insurance coverages specified therein. All insurance required to be furnished by RAC shall be pursuant to policies in form and substance satisfactory to State and issued by companies of sound and adequate financial responsibility, who are licensed and authorized to do business in the State of Hawaii, all to the satisfaction of State. The State may, upon reasonable notice and reasonable grounds, increase or change the insurance required under the Agreements, in which event each RAC shall obtain such additional or modified insurance.

Upon failure of the applicable RAC to provide and maintain the insurance required under an Agreement after a ten (10) day prior written notice to comply from State, State may, but shall not be required to, procure such insurance at such RAC's sole cost and expense and RAC agrees to immediately reimburse State for any and all costs thereof plus fifteen percent (15%) for administrative overhead. Any lapse in, or failure by RAC to procure, maintain, and keep in full force and effect, such insurance coverage as is required under the applicable Agreement, at any time during and throughout the term thereof, shall be a violation of such Agreement and shall give State the right to assess additional rent and charges and/or terminate such Agreement.

The RAC shall provide proof of all specified insurance and related requirements to State either by production of the actual insurance policies, by use of State's own endorsement forms, by broker's letter acceptable to State in both form and content, or by other written evidence of insurance acceptable to State, together with appropriate written evidence, satisfactory to State, that the insurance premiums thereon have been paid.

The RAC agrees that the insurance limits specified by State shall be reviewed for adequacy annually throughout the term of the applicable Agreement by State, which may, thereafter, require RAC to adjust the amounts of insurance coverage to whatever amounts State deems to be adequate.

Damage and Destruction.

1. State's right to terminate. If a Facility is totally destroyed by storm, fire or other casualty, or damaged to the extent that, in the State's reasonable opinion, the damage cannot be restored, or if the damage is not covered by standard "all risks" property insurance (or such other property insurance as may be maintained by the State from time to time), the State shall have the right to terminate the applicable Agreements effective as of the date of such destruction or damage by written notice delivered to RAC on or before thirty (30) days following the State's notice described in the next sentence, and rent and fees shall be accounted for as between the State and RAC as of that date. The State shall provide RAC with written notice no later than sixty (60) days following the date of such damage of the estimated time needed to restore and whether the State elects to restore.

2. State restoration. If a Facility is damaged by any such casualty but the State does not elect to terminate the Agreement, such Agreement shall remain in full force and effect. The State shall notify RAC in writing no later than sixty (60) days after the date of such damage that such damage will be restored (and will include the State's good faith estimate of the date the restoration will be complete), and the State shall promptly commence to diligently restore the shell and core portions of the Facility (including the Facility Improvements, as the same may be modified to conform to applicable laws then in effect, but excluding any RAC Work Items, as defined under the Agreement) to substantially the same condition as before such damage occurred (any such activity being a "**State Restoration**") as soon as reasonably practicable, subject to force majeure events and delays attributable to the acts or omissions of RAC. RAC may not terminate the Agreement (and shall otherwise remain liable for the performance of all of its obligations thereunder in accordance with the terms and provisions thereof). RAC's Proportionate Share of Operating Expenses and Impositions (but specifically excluding any Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency obligations) shall be reduced or abated on a pro rata basis during the period of such State Restoration to the extent that the Premises is not habitable as a result of such casualty.

3. RAC restoration. In the event of damage to, or destruction of, any RAC Improvements, Alterations, or other RAC work, or of the fixtures and equipment within the Premises (collectively, the "**RAC**

Work Items"), by fire or other casualty, RAC shall promptly, at its expense, repair, restore, or rebuild such RAC Work Items to the condition existing prior to the happening of such fire or other casualty (any such activity being a "**RAC Restoration**"). Rent shall not be reduced or abated during the period of such RAC Restoration even if the Premises is not habitable and the RAC Work Items are not usable, and RAC may not terminate the Agreement, except as expressly provided in the Agreement.

4. Insurance proceeds, additional security. Provided that the insurer does not deny liability as to the insureds, and provided RAC is not then in default, all sums arising by reason of loss under the property insurance required under the Agreement shall be available to RAC for the work. All proceeds shall be payable to the State which may disburse proceeds through an escrow on satisfaction of conditions established by the State (which may include retention requirements, waivers of lien and sworn statements, architect's certificates, and other evidence of satisfactory completion and payment for work) or payable directly to RAC or contractors, at the State's option. RAC shall deposit with the State any excess cost of the RAC Restoration over the amount held by the State as proceeds of the insurance within thirty (30) days from the date of the determination of the cost of the RAC Restoration; but in no event later than commencement of work. At all times the undisbursed balance remaining in the hands of the State shall be at least sufficient to pay for the cost of completion of the work free and clear of liens; any deficiency shall be paid to the State by RAC. RAC shall diligently pursue the repair or rebuilding of the RAC Work Items (but in any event within the time period in which RAC was required to complete the RAC Improvements under the Agreement. If RAC does not repair or rebuild the RAC Work Items or proceed diligently to repair or restore the RAC Work Items and fails to cure or correct any such default after notice and expiration of applicable cure periods under the Agreement, or there are insurance proceeds remaining after repair or rebuilding, all insurance proceeds shall belong to and be payable to the State, and RAC shall assign all such proceeds to the State.

5. Damage in last year of Term. In case of damage or destruction of all or any material portion of the Premises which occurs during the last twelve (12) months of the applicable Term, either RAC or the State shall have the option of terminating the Agreement as of the date of such damage or destruction by notice in writing given to the other party within thirty (30) days after the occurrence of such damage or destruction. In such event, the State shall be entitled to the proceeds of any RAC insurance covering any part of the Premises on account of such damage or destruction (excluding any insurance coverage for the RAC Work Items), and RAC shall assign all such insurance proceeds to the State.

6. Indenture governs. Notwithstanding anything in the Agreement to the contrary, while the Bonds remain outstanding, the terms and provisions of the Indenture shall govern and control in the event of damage or destruction to the Premises.

Concession Operation.

A. Quality and Price Control. RAC shall be required to provide late model Automobiles (not more than three (3) years old at any time), which Automobiles shall be maintained by RAC in first class operating and mechanical condition and repair and in a clean and attractive condition.

RAC shall furnish all services authorized under the Agreement to its customers and patrons upon a fair and equal, and nondiscriminatory basis; and charge fair, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory prices; provided, however, that RAC may make or give such reasonable and nondiscriminatory discounts, rebates, or other similar types of price reductions as RAC may desire to RAC's employees or volume purchasers.

B. Type of Operation.

1. First class. RAC shall maintain and operate the Concession in an orderly, proper, and first class manner, which, in the sole judgment of the State, does not annoy or disturb, or is not offensive to others at the Airports.

2. Hours of operation. The Concession shall be maintained and operated during hours approved by the State, seven (7) days per week (unless a different schedule for any part of the Concession operation shall be first approved in writing by the State). The State may require that the Concession be maintained and operated in excess of the hours designated by the State per day as is necessary or desirable to adequately serve the demands of air travelers for the Concession services.

3. No Diversion. RAC shall not intentionally divert Automobile rentals to other locations. Intentional diversion shall include, without limitation, RAC advising, directing, or otherwise suggesting to a customer or prospective customer arriving at the Airports that such customer or prospective customer rent an Automobile at any off Airport location, whether from RAC or some other rental car provider, regardless of the basis or reason for such advice, direction, or suggestion. All such intentionally diverted revenues shall be included in Gross Receipts.

C. Personnel. RAC shall retain an active, qualified, competent, experienced manager or other similarly authorized representative at the Airports to supervise all Concession and Premises operations. RAC's manager shall be authorized to represent and act for and on behalf of RAC at all times. In the absence of the designated manager, one or more responsible subordinates shall be on duty at the Airports to perform and act on behalf of RAC. RAC shall provide and continuously inform the State of the names and contact numbers (telephone, facsimile, cellular/pager, and e mail) of the manager and responsible subordinate(s).

D. Prompt payment of Taxes. On the Effective Date and throughout the term of the Agreement, RAC must not be in arrears in the payment of taxes, rents, or other obligations owing the State or any county of the State.

E. Common Use Transportation System. RAC agrees that, commencing on the Payment Commencement Date (or such other date as may be directed by the State) and continuing for the remainder of the Term under the applicable Agreement, RAC shall transport all Customers of RAC between the Facility and the passenger terminals exclusively by use of a CUTS, which system shall be operated by the RAC Consortium, unless otherwise agreed by the State, for each CRCF, and which CUTS shall use the common buses or other vehicle designated by the State from time to time to serve the CRCF and the passenger terminals (provided, however, that RAC shall be permitted to provide direct transportation solely with respect to Customers that have bona fide and verifiable physical disabilities which reasonably preclude use of the CUTS).

Compliance with Laws.

RAC shall, at all times during the term of the Agreement, comply with all applicable laws, statutes, rules, regulations, orders, and ordinances of all governmental authorities, including, without limitation, the United States of America, State of Hawaii, the county and the city, and any political subdivision or agency, authority, or commission thereof, which may have jurisdiction to pass laws, statutes, or ordinances or make and enforce orders, rules, and regulations with respect to the Concession, the Premises, or the Airports. RAC shall take out and keep current all licenses and permits required by any governmental authority for RAC's conduct of the Concession at or on the Premises and the Airports, and pay promptly when due all fees. RAC shall comply with any and all of the performance requirements covering the Airports of the State and all applicable performance access procedures, rules, or regulations prescribed by the State and/or the TSA.

Termination or Transfer by State.

A. Events of Breach or Violation. RAC shall be in breach or violation of the Agreement, and the State will have the right to terminate the Agreement, if any one or more of the following events (each an "**Event of Default**") occur:

1. Transfer of interest. When, without the prior written approval or consent of the State, any interest of RAC under the Agreement is transferred or assigned, whether voluntarily or involuntarily, by reason of assignment, sublease of Premises or otherwise, stock transfer, operation of law, or death, to any other individual, limited or general partnership, joint venture, firm, company, corporation, limited liability company, or any other entity; or

2. Ownership change. When the ownership of RAC, without the prior written approval or consent of the State, is changed by *inter vivos* stock transfer to one or more individuals or entities who are not stockholders at the inception of the Agreement, or if RAC is a partnership, whether limited or general, by the introduction of a new partner or partners, whether limited or general, who was not a partner or who were not partners at the inception of the Agreement, or if RAC is a limited liability company, by the introduction of a new member or manager who was not or who were not a member or a manager partners at the inception of the Agreement; or

3. Partnership dissolution. If RAC is a partnership of any type and the partnership is dissolved as a result of any act or omission of its partners, or any one of them, or by operation of law, or the order or decree of any court having jurisdiction, or for any other reason whatsoever; or

4. Receivership. When, by or pursuant to, or under authority of any legislative act, resolution, or rule, or any order or decree of any court or governmental board, agency, or officer having jurisdiction, a receiver, trustee, or liquidator takes possession of all or substantially all of the property of RAC, and such possession or control continues in effect for a period of at least fifteen (15) days without being contested by RAC in good faith by proper legal proceedings within said 15 day period; or

5. Abandonment. When RAC voluntarily abandons, deserts, or vacates the Premises or a significant portion of the Premises, or discontinues its operation of the Concession on or at the Premises; or

6. Prevented from use. After exhausting or abandoning any right of further appeal, RAC is prevented for a period of at least ninety (90) days by the action of any governmental agency from using the Premises, regardless of the fault of RAC; or

7. Suspension. The happening of any act which results in the suspension or revocation of the rights, powers, licenses, permits, or authorities necessary for the conduct and operation of the Concession authorized under the Agreement for a period of more than thirty (30) days; or

8. Successor corporation. RAC becomes, without the prior written approval of the State, a successor or merged corporation in a merger, a constituent corporation in a consolidation, or a corporation in dissolution; or

9. Attachment. When any attachment, judgment, lien, or encumbrance is filed against RAC's interest in the Premises because of any act or omission of RAC, and said attachment, judgment, lien, or encumbrance is not discharged or contested by RAC in good faith by proper legal proceedings within thirty (30) days; or

10. Failure to pay fees. When RAC fails to duly and punctually pay the fees and charges required under the Agreement, including any interest, service charges, or late fees, or to make any other payment required under the Agreement when due to the State upon the lapse of five (5) business days after RAC's receipt of a written notice from the State demanding such payment or payments; or

11. Failure to pay taxes. When RAC fails to duly and punctually make payments due to any agency of the State of Hawaii or any political subdivision (county) of the State of Hawaii, including, but not limited to, payments for any permit, license, or the Agreement, general excise taxes, workers' compensation payments, unemployment taxes, real property taxes, etc., and such payments are not made within thirty (30) days of their due dates; or

12. Poor quality control. When RAC fails to provide, maintain, and upgrade, as necessary, the quality of Concession merchandise or services to the satisfaction of the State, as required by under the Agreement, within fifteen (15) days from and after receipt of written notice from the State to correct or cure the condition objected to; or

13. Failure to perform. When RAC fails to keep, perform, and observe each and every other agreement, promise, covenant, term, and condition set forth in the applicable Agreement, on its part to be kept, performed, or observed, and such failure shall continue for a period of more than thirty (30) days after RAC's receipt of a written notice from the State of such breach or violation by personal service or registered mail or certified mail to RAC, except where fulfillment of RAC's obligation requires activity over a period of time, and RAC begins to perform whatever may be required for fulfillment within ten (10) days after receipt of said written notice and continues such performance, showing improvement or correction, without interruption except for causes beyond RAC's control; or

14. General assignment. RAC makes a general assignment for the benefit of creditors, or files a petition or answer seeking an arrangement for its reorganization, or the readjustment of its indebtedness under any law or statute of the United States, or of any State of Hawaii law, or consents to the appointment of a

receiver, trustee, or liquidator of all or substantially all of its property or its property located within the Premises; or

15. Lien. Any lien is filed against or affecting the Premises or any portion thereof, because of any act or omission of RAC and such lien is not removed or enjoined or a bond for satisfaction of such lien is not posted within thirty (30) days; or

16. Other contract. When RAC fails to cure or remedy any breach or violation of any promise, covenant, term, and condition in the Agreement or any other permit, contract, lease, or other agreement entered into between the State and RAC during the term of the Agreement; or

17. Default under other agreement(s). If RAC is in default under any other agreement which RAC has with the State including, but not limited to, any other concession or lease agreement with the State.

B. Default and Termination. In the event of any breach or violation due to the occurrence of any of the events enumerated in the Agreement, the State may, after the giving of a written notice of default in accordance with Section 171 20, HRS, pursue any available remedy, legal or equitable, it may have against RAC.

1. Failure to correct violation. If RAC fails to correct the violation(s) contained in the notice of default to the satisfaction of the State, the State may, without prejudice to any other remedy, elect to:

a. Additional charge. Assess a charge of \$250.00 per day; and

b. Termination letter. Concurrent with or subsequent to the assessment of such additional charge, subject to Section 171 21, HRS, proceed to terminate the Agreement by providing a written letter of termination and notice to vacate to RAC.

2. Prohibition from bidding. In the event that the Agreement is terminated by the State because of a breach or violation as set forth in the Agreement, RAC will not be allowed to bid on or enter into any other concession agreement, facility lease, or other contract or lease offered by the State, for a period of five (5) years following the date of termination as prescribed and set forth under Section 171 13, HRS.

C. Right of Re-entry. The State shall have, as an additional remedy upon the giving of a written letter of termination and notice to vacate, as provided under the Agreement, the right to re-enter the Premises and upon the effective date of termination without further notice of any kind, and may regain and resume possession either with or without the institution of summary or any other legal proceedings or otherwise. Such re-entry, or regaining or resumption of possession, however, shall not in any manner affect, alter, or diminish any of the obligations of RAC under the Agreement, and shall in no event constitute an acceptance of surrender.

D. RAC's Rights Cease. Upon such termination by the State, all rights, powers, and privileges of RAC granted under the Agreement shall cease. Unless otherwise stated therein, RAC shall immediately vacate the Premises and RAC shall have no claim of any kind whatsoever against the State, by reason of such termination, or by reason of any act by the State incidental or related thereto.

E. Survival of RAC's Obligations.

1. RAC's obligations remain. In the event that the Agreement is terminated by the State, all of the obligations of RAC under the Agreement shall survive and shall remain in full force and effect for the full term of the Agreement as if there had been no termination.

2. Rent and Fees remain due. Subject to the State's obligation to mitigate damages, the amount of the rent, fees and charges under the Agreement shall become due and payable to the State to the same extent, at the same time, and in the same manner as if no termination had taken place. The State may maintain separate actions to recover any monies then due, or at its option and at any time, may sue to recover the full deficiency.

3. Fee amount subsequent to termination. The amount of damages due to the State by RAC for the period of time subsequent to termination shall be subject to an offset for any fees and charges received by State

during the remaining term of the Agreement (as if no termination had taken place) from a succeeding operator of the Concession, and shall be determined as follows:

a. Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency. With respect to RAC's Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency obligation, the cumulative total thereof owed by RAC less the amount paid by RAC prior to the effective date of termination.

b. No effect on State's rights. The State and RAC agree that the damages specified above shall not affect or be construed to affect State's right to such damages in the event of termination where RAC has not received any actual Gross Receipts under the Agreement.

F. Additional Rights of State. The State, upon termination of an Agreement, may occupy the Premises and will have the right to permit any person, firm, corporation, or entity to enter upon the Premises and use the same. Such occupation by others may be of only a part of the Premises, or the whole thereof, or a part thereof, together with other space, and for a period of time the same as or different from the balance of the term remaining under the Agreement as if no termination, reentry, regaining, or resumption of possession had taken place, and on terms and conditions the same as or different from those set forth in the Agreement. The State will also have the right to repair or to make such structural or other changes in the Premises as are necessary in its judgment to maintain the suitability thereof for any uses and users including uses and purposes similar to those granted under the Agreement without affecting, altering, or diminishing the obligations of RAC thereunder.

G. Transfer by the State. To the extent permitted under the Indenture, the State shall have the right, at any time and at its sole option, to sell, transfer, or otherwise convey its right, title, and interest in and to the Agreement and/or all or any portion of the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System, the Facility or the Premises, other than to an On-Airport Rental Car Company, an Off Airport Rental Car Company, an affiliate of either an On-Airport Rental Car Company or an Off Airport Rental Car Company, or a direct competitor of any On-Airport Rental Car Company, and in the event of any such sale, transfer, or conveyance by the State, the same shall operate to release the State from any future obligations and any future liability for or under any of the covenants or conditions, express or implied, therein contained in favor of RAC, and in such event, and with respect to such obligations, covenants, and conditions, RAC agrees to look solely to the successor in interest of the State in and to the Agreement. The Agreement shall not be affected by any such sale, conveyance or transfer.

Condemnation.

A. Procedure. In the event that at any time during the Term of the Agreement, all or a portion of the Premises, or all access thereto, or RAC's entire leasehold interest in all or a portion of the Premises pursuant to the Agreement, is taken or damaged by the exercise of power of eminent domain by any condemning authority, or by the State in lieu of condemnation ("**Condemnation Proceedings**"), then (whether or not this Agreement terminates by operation of law upon the exercise of such power), the share of any award resulting to the State or RAC for the taking of their respective interests in and to the Premises or damages resulting to their respective interests by reason of the exercise of such power of eminent domain, shall be separately determined in accordance with applicable law.

Total Taking. In the event that: (a) all of the Premises are sought to be taken by the exercise of the Β. power of eminent domain; or (b) under the threat of condemnation, all of the Premises are conveyed to a condemning authority pursuant to an agreement between the State, RAC, and such condemning authority; or (c) a portion of the Premises are taken by eminent domain or conveyed as aforesaid under threat of condemnation and the remainder of the Premises are not capable of being restored to a condition as may be reasonably required to fulfill the intent and purpose of the Agreement; or (d) all of the Premises are taken by the exercise of the power of eminent domain for occupancy by a condemning authority for a temporary period and such temporary period extends beyond the date of the termination of the Agreement; the Agreement shall terminate effective upon the date that the condemning authority legally acquires the right of possession to the Premises; provided, however, that such termination shall not excuse the RAC from any obligation under the Agreement incurred or accrued through and including the date of such termination. In the event of termination of the Agreement as aforesaid, the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency, Impositions, and any other sum or sums of money and other charge whatsoever provided in the Agreement to be paid by RAC shall be paid by RAC up to the date of such termination. The amount of compensation and damages resulting to the State and RAC and respectively and to their respective interests in and to the Premises and in and to and in connection with this Agreement in the event of termination of this Agreement as aforesaid shall be determined in accordance with the provisions of the Agreement.

C. Partial Taking. In the event that less than the entire Premises and access thereto or RAC's leasehold interest in less than the entire Premises and access thereto is taken permanently by the exercise of the power of eminent domain, and if the remainder of the Premises are capable of being restored to a condition reasonably required to fulfill the intent and purpose of the Agreement, then in such event, the Agreement shall not terminate but shall remain in full force and effect and RAC shall continue to perform and observe all of the obligations of RAC thereunder, including the obligations to pay the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency, Operating Expenses and Impositions as provided under the Agreement, and shall restore the Premises to a condition required to fulfill the interest and purpose of the Agreement.

D. Temporary Takings. If the temporary use of the whole or any part of the Premises shall be taken by Condemnation Proceedings as hereinabove referred to for a period which does not extend beyond the Term of the Agreement, the Agreement shall not terminate by reason thereof and RAC shall continue to pay in full the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency, Impositions, and other charges provided to be paid or assumed or reimbursed by RAC under the applicable Agreement, and, except only to the extent that RAC is prevented from so doing by reason of any order of the condemning authority, RAC shall continue to perform and observe all of the covenants, conditions, and obligations thereof which are provided to be observed or performed by RAC under such Agreement, all to the same extent and with the same force and effect as if such temporary use or taking had not occurred. Any award for such temporary taking, whether paid or by way of damages, rent, or otherwise shall be received, held and disbursed in the manner following:

1. An amount equal to the sum of (x) the Ground Rent for the entire period of such temporary use or taking, plus (y) the estimated amount of the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency and Impositions for such period (computed on the basis of the most recently ascertainable information) shall be deposited with an escrow trustee acceptable to the State and shall be from time to time applied to the payment of Ground Rent, Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency, and Impositions as the same from time to time become due and payable;

2. The amount jointly agreed upon by the State and RAC as the estimated amount required to be expended upon the termination of such temporary use or occupancy to restore the Premises and RAC Improvements as nearly as may be reasonably possible to the condition in which same was immediately prior to such taking, shall be reserved and shall be used and available for use for such purposes (and if no agreement is reached, then the State may deduct and retain an amount reasonably estimated by the State); and

3. The remainder shall be paid over to and become the property of RAC; however, the amount of any fee or rent or other charges then owing by RAC to the State under the provisions of this Agreement, together with all unpaid Impositions, and the amount so deducted shall be paid to or upon the order of the State.

E. Taking Upon Possession. The Premises or any part thereof shall be deemed to be taken by Condemnation proceedings within the meaning of the foregoing provisions upon the transfer of possession thereof to the condemning authority; provided, however, any valuation of the State's or RAC's interests shall be as of the date of the filing of Condemnation proceedings.

F. No Restriction. Nothing in the Agreement or the existence of the Agreement shall be construed to restrict or in any way interfere with the exercise of eminent domain by the State. The State agrees that it shall not voluntarily commence or seek commencement of Condemnation proceedings against the Premises except to the extent that the State, in connection with such Condemnation proceedings (i) reimburses RAC for the then unamortized costs and expenses of any and all RAC Improvements constructed in the Premises, or any portion thereof, by RAC and each such On Airport Rental Car Company (with such RAC Improvements being amortized on a straight line basis over a period of thirty (30) years at a rate equivalent to the Discount Rate, and (ii) makes available to RAC reasonable alternate space for the provision of rental car services by RAC to Customers at the Airports.

G. Taking of RAC's Entire Leasehold Interest. In the event of a taking of RAC's entire leasehold interest, whether or not there is a taking of the underlying fee interest, the value of such leasehold interest shall be the difference between the fair cash rental value of the Premises as improved and the rent reserved under the Agreement (including Ground Rent and Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency), and including payment of Impositions, discounted for the present value at the Discount Rate.

Termination by RAC.

If any one of the following events shall occur, RAC may terminate the Agreement, in its entirety:

A. Abandonment. The permanent abandonment of the Airport at which the Facility is located as a terminal for the transport by air of persons, property, cargo, or mail.

B. Assumption. The lawful assumption by the United States government, or any authorized agency thereof, of the operation, control, or use of the Airport at which the Facility is located, or any substantial part or parts thereof, in such a manner as to substantially restrict RAC from operating the Concession thereon for a period of at least sixty (60) consecutive days.

C. Injunction. The issuance by any court of competent jurisdiction of an injunction in any way preventing or restraining the use of the Airport at which the Facility is located for the purposes authorized under the Agreement, and the injunction remaining in force for a period of at least sixty (60) consecutive days.

D. Breach. The breach by the State of, or its failure to perform, any of the material covenants or agreements contained in the Agreement, and either the failure of the State to remedy such breach for a period of sixty (60) days after receipt of a written notice of the existence of such breach, or, if fulfillment of the State's obligations requires activity over a period of time, the failure of the State within said sixty (60) day period in good faith to commence the required activity and to continue the same thereafter except for causes beyond the State's control.

E. Damage. The damage or destruction of the Premises of the nature and to the extent described in the Agreement which allows RAC to terminate the Agreement.

Subordination.

A. Joint Use. Each Agreement is and shall be subordinate in all respects to the provisions of any existing or future agreements between the State and the United States government, or any agency thereof, relative to the aircraft operating areas of the Airports, the execution of which has been or may be required as a condition precedent to the expenditure of federal funds for the development of the Airports. In the event of any such inconsistency between such agreement(s) and the operation of the Concession, the Agreement or the particular terms and conditions affected hereby shall be suspended or terminated without the State being liable for any damages.

Each Agreement shall be subordinate in all respects to the provisions of any existing or future Joint Use Agreement between the State and the United States Navy, the United States Army, or the United States Air Force. In the event of any such inconsistency described in the preceding paragraph between an Agreement and any existing or future Joint Use Agreement, the Agreement or the particular terms and conditions affected thereby shall be suspended or terminated without the State being liable for any damages.

B. National Emergency. During times of war, whether declared by Congress or not, or national emergency, the State will have the right to enter into any agreement with the United States government for any military use of part or all of the landing area, the publicly owned air navigation facilities, and all other areas and facilities of the Airports. In the event any such agreement is executed, the provisions of the Agreement, insofar as they are inconsistent with the provisions of the agreement with the United States government, shall be suspended without the State being liable for any damages.

C. Rights of RAC. Nothing in the Agreement shall detract from or limit, nor be construed to detract from or limit, the rights of RAC set forth in the Agreement to seek damages or compensation from other than the State in the event of the execution of any such agreement described above, the terms of which are or may be inconsistent with the rights of RAC under the Agreement.

Sublease and Assignment.

Except as otherwise permitted by the applicable Agreement, no RAC shall, without the prior written consent of the State and, if required by law, the State of Hawaii Board of Land and Natural Resources, in each instance: (a) assign, transfer, mortgage, pledge, hypothecate, or encumber, or subject to or permit to exist upon or be subjected to any lien or charge, the related Agreement or any interest under it (including any sublease or easement);

(b) allow to exist or occur any transfer of or lien upon the Premises, such Agreement, or RAC's interest therein by operation of law; (c) sublet the Premises or any part thereof; or (d) permit the use or occupancy of the Premises or any part thereof for any purpose not provided for in the Agreement or by anyone other than RAC. In no event shall any Agreement be assigned or assignable by voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy proceedings or otherwise, and in no event shall any Agreement or any rights or privileges thereunder be an asset of RAC under any bankruptcy, insolvency or reorganization proceedings. RAC shall not grant a leasehold mortgage without the State's prior written consent, which consent may be withheld or conditioned in the State's sole and absolute discretion.

Operating Agreement.

A. Execution. For each CRCF, no later than one (1) year prior to the Payment Commencement Date, RAC, and each other On-Airport Rental Car Companies operating and occupying a portion or portions of the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System from time to time pursuant to a valid Agreement will enter into or join, as applicable, an operating agreement (the "**Operating Agreement**") establishing a consortium of the On-Airport Rental Car Companies at each CRCF (the "**RAC Consortium**") which provides, among other things, for (i) the maintenance and repair of the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System; (ii) the operation, maintenance, repair, and replacement of the QTA pursuant to, and in accordance with, the terms and provisions of the Agreement and the other Agreements then (or to be) in effect; (iii) the hiring of a property manager, reasonably acceptable to the State, for each CRCF; (iv) the operation and maintenance of all CUTS; (v) disbursement mechanisms among the On-Airport Rental Car Companies for reimbursements received by the State or the Trustee pursuant to the provisions under the Agreement; and (vi) the allocation and assumption of liability for sums due and payable by RAC thereunder and sums due and payable by the other On-Airport Rental Car Companies then (or that will be) operating and occupying a portion or portions of the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System from time to time pursuant to valid Agreement; and each car companies of the Statewide Airport Rental Car Companies then (or that will be) operating and occupying a portion or portions of the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System from time to time pursuant to valid Agreements under such agreements, all as more specifically described in the Agreement.

Β. Acceptable to the State. Each Operating Agreement shall be reasonably acceptable to the State in form and substance and shall remain in full force and effect, and shall not dissolve or be terminated, during the Term of the Agreements relating thereto. The Operating Agreement shall provide for the circumstance when a new On-Airport Rental Car Company replaces an existing On-Airport Rental Car Company and admission of new On-Airport Rental Car Companies in connection with the construction of any additional CRCFs. Further, the Operating Agreement shall provide for the circumstance when, following a termination of an Agreement due to default by the On-Airport Rental Car Company thereunder, the State either replaces the On-Airport Rental Car Company with a new On-Airport Rental Car Company by entering into a new Agreement or, until replacement, permits the terminated On-Airport Rental Car Company's spaces and areas to be re allocated among the remaining On-Airport Rental Car Companies in the manner described under an Agreement. The Operating Agreement shall also provide for the circumstance where the State, at its sole option, may require the addition of another On-Airport Rental Car Company to the RAC Consortium from time to time. Once an On-Airport Rental Car Company's Agreement is terminated, the State shall not permit it to occupy any portion of a CRCF. The Operating Agreement must acknowledge that no removal or replacement of an On-Airport Rental Car Company shall serve to excuse such On-Airport Rental Car Company from liability for any Environmental Damages incurred by such On-Airport Rental Car Company. The Operating Agreement may include provisions providing that responsibility for Operating Expenses, Common Use Transportation Expenses, Impositions, costs arising from compliance and other expenses which relate to a single CRCF may be payable by the On-Airport Rental Car Companies which operate Concessions at such CRCF; provided, however, that such provisions must provide that in the event of non-payment of any such amounts when due by any such On-Airport Rental Car Company, such amount shall become the joint and several obligation of all of the On-Airport Rental Car Companies, payable to the State or such other third party in a commercially reasonable manner.

C. Assignment of right. As security for RAC's obligations with respect to the Premises, RAC hereby assigns to the State its right to receive amounts paid relating to occupancy, construction, maintenance, and operation of the Premises ("**Revenues**") from the On-Airport Rental Car Companies under the Operating Agreement, including all extensions, amendments, or replacements of the Operating Agreement, together with all rents, income, issues, and profits now due or which may thereafter become due under the Operating Agreement, and together with any guaranties of obligations relating to the Premises, or the Operating Agreement; provided, however, that the rents, income, issues, and profits are assigned only up to the amount of rent and other sums payable under the Agreement. RAC agrees it will not, without the State's prior written consent: transfer, assign, or grant a performance interest in the Revenues under the Operating Agreement (provided that the State may permit grant of a security interest in certain contract rights under the Operating Agreement to a trustee or any other person first approved by the State);

provide for any cross default between the Operating Agreement and any other agreement between RAC and On-Airport Rental Car Companies; permit a termination of the Operating Agreement, except as expressly provided in the Operating Agreement; collect Revenues more than one (1) month in advance (except for the initial investment in the Operating Agreement); evict or dispossess any On-Airport Rental Car Company under the Operating Agreement; waive, cancel, release, modify, excuse, discount, set off, compromise, or discharge the On-Airport Rental Car Company under the Operating Agreement from any obligations under the Operating Agreement; amend or extend the Operating Agreement; or enter into any collateral agreement with the On-Airport Rental Car Companies relating to the Premises which is not included in the Operating Agreement.

D. Consistent with Agreement. The Operating Agreement shall acknowledge each Agreement, be consistent with such Agreements, and require RAC and the other On-Airport Rental Car Companies to comply with the terms of the Agreements. The Operating Agreement shall require the On-Airport Rental Car Companies to give notice to the State of any default by any RAC thereunder and provide the State with the option to elect to cure any such default within a period commensurate with any cure period given to RAC under the Operating Agreement. In addition to the foregoing, the Operating Agreement shall prohibit the On-Airport Rental Car Companies from paying any amounts owed thereunder which have been assigned to the State more than thirty (30) days in advance and shall be expressly subordinated to the Agreement.

E. Prompt notification. The RAC Consortium shall promptly notify the State of any non-payment of Revenues (to the extent that the RAC Consortium has actual knowledge of any such non-payment of such Revenues) or other default by an On-Airport Rental Car Company under the Operating Agreement or of any notice of default received by the RAC Consortium under the Operating Agreement.

Litigation.

A. RAC Responsible. If State shall, without any fault, be made a party to any litigation initiated by or against RAC arising out of RAC's operation of this Concession, RAC shall indemnify, defend, and keep and hold harmless and if appropriate or necessary, insure the State and the State's officers, employees, and agents, from and against any all claims, demands, actions, suits, causes of action, judgments, injunctions, decisions, orders, liabilities, losses, damages, costs, and expenses arising out of or related to any such litigation, including, without limitation, paying any and all costs, charges, and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred or imposed on the State in connection with such litigation. In any action by the State for recovery of any sum due under the Agreement, or to enforce any of the terms, covenants, or conditions contained in the Agreement, State shall be entitled to recover all costs, fees, charges, and attorneys' fees incurred or imposed on the State in connection with such actions.

B. Attorneys' Fees. For purposes of the Agreement, reasonable attorneys' fees shall be based on the fees regularly charged by private attorneys who practice in the County, with the equivalent number of years of experience in the subject matter area of law for which the State's attorneys' services were rendered.

C. Prompt Notice. Each party shall give prompt written notice to the other party of any claim or suit instituted against it that may affect the other party.

D. Waiver of Claims. RAC waives any claim against the State and the State's agents for loss of revenue, loss of opportunity, and loss of anticipated profits caused by any suit or proceedings directly or indirectly attacking the validity of the Agreement or any part thereof, or by any judgment or award in any suit or proceedings declaring the Agreement null, void, or voidable, or delaying the same, or any part thereof, from being carried out.

Force Majeure.

A. State's Obligations. The State shall not be liable for any failure, delay, or interruption in performing its obligations under the Agreement due to causes or conditions beyond its control, including (but without limitation thereto) strikes, boycotts, picketing, slow downs, work stoppages, or labor troubles of any other type, whether affecting the State, its contractors, or subcontractors.

The State shall not be obligated to supply any service or services, if and to the extent, and during any period, that the supplying of any such service or services, or the use of any component necessary therefor, shall be prohibited by any federal, state, or municipal law, rule, regulation, requirement, order, or direction; provided, however, that even if such prohibition does not expressly apply to the State, the State may choose to comply with

such prohibition, in whole or in part, and in so choosing, the State shall not be obligated to supply any such service or services.

B. Fees Remain Payable. Unless and only to the extent otherwise specified in the Agreement, no abatement, diminution, or reduction of the fees, rents, or other charges payable by RAC shall be claimed by or allowed to RAC for any inconvenience, interruption, cessation, or loss of business or other loss caused, directly or indirectly, by any present or future laws, rules, requirements, orders, directions, ordinances, or regulations of the United States of America, or of the State of Hawaii, or any county or municipal governments, or of any other municipal, governmental, or lawful authority whatsoever; or by priorities, rationing, curtailment, or shortage of labor or materials, or by war, acts of terrorism, or any matter or thing resulting therefrom, or by strikes, boycotts, labor disputes, embargoes, acts of God, acts of the public enemy, acts of superior governmental authority, weather conditions, floods, riots, rebellion, or sabotage, or by any other cause or causes beyond the control of the State, nor shall the Agreement be affected by any such causes.

C. Non-economic Relief. Upon the occurrence of a force majeure event, as determined by the State, in the State's sole discretion, the State may, but is not obligated to, grant non-economic relief to RAC, the amount, extent, and duration of which shall be determined by the State, in the State's sole discretion. Examples of force majeure events include acts of God, federal or state laws, governmental regulations, orders, or restrictions, acts of superior government authority, war, war like conditions, hostilities, acts of terrorism, acts of the public enemy, sabotage, rebellion, riots, looting, military mobilization, blockades, embargoes, or other transportation delay, strikes, lockouts, or other labor disputes, shortages of labor, inability to secure fuel, materials, supplies, or power due to shortages thereof, epidemic, fire, or flood.

D. RAC Enforcement. Nothing in the Agreement shall preclude nor be construed to preclude the enforcement by RAC of any of its rights contained in the Agreement.

Accord and Satisfaction.

A. RAC's Instructions Void. Payment by RAC or receipt by the State of a lesser amount than stipulated in the Agreement may be, at the State's sole option, deemed to be on account of the earliest due of first (1st) any interest, service charges, and late fees and second (2nd) any stipulated amounts owing (beginning with earliest such amounts owing), notwithstanding any instruction by or on behalf of RAC to the contrary, which instructions shall be null and void, and no endorsement or statement on any check or any letter accompanying any such check or payment will be deemed an accord and satisfaction, and the State may accept such check or payment without prejudice to the State's right to recover the balance of such amounts owing or rent or payment or pursue any other remedy available in the Agreement or by law.

B. Acceptance Does Not Invalidate Notice. The State may accept any partial payment from RAC without invalidation of any contractual notice required to be given under the Agreement (to the extent such contractual notice is required) and without invalidation of any notice given or required to be given pursuant to applicable law.

Liability.

In the event that one or more On-Airport Rental Car Companies fails to make a required Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency payment within ten (10) calendar days of the time period required herein, and the amount of such failure exceeds the amount actually available to the State under the Concession Bond of such On-Airport Rental Car Companies, the State shall promptly provide a notice of such failure to the non-defaulting remaining On-Airport Rental Car Companies, including each RAC, (for purposes of this provision, the "Non-Defaulting Rental Car Companies") setting forth the amount of such shortfall in Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency (the "Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Shortfall"). Upon receipt of such notice, the Non-Defaulting Rental Car Companies shall be and remain liable, on a proportional basis based upon the pro-rata share of the rentable square footage allocated to all of the Non-Defaulting Rental Car Companies in the Statewide Airports Car Rental Facilities System, for the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Shortfall, which amount shall be due and payable ten (10) calendar days after such notice. The payment of such Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Shortfall by the Non-Defaulting Rental Car Companies shall by the Non-Defaulting Rental Car Companies shall not relieve the defaulting On-Airport Rental Car Company and/or RAC, as the case may be, of any of its obligations to the State, whether arising under its

Agreement or any other Agreement, as the case may be, and in the event that the State, or the Trustee on the State's behalf, thereafter actually receives all or any portion of such unpaid Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Shortfall from the defaulting On-Airport Rental Car Company or Companies, as the case may be, which the Non-Defaulting Rental Car Companies have theretofore paid to the State, or the Trustee on the State's behalf, hereunder, the State shall, as soon as reasonably practicable thereafter, provide such Non-Defaulting Rental Car Companies with a credit against their respective obligations for the Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency next coming due in an amount equal to such portion of the unpaid Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Shortfall so received by the State, or the Trustee on the State's behalf, hereunder. In addition, subject to any right of cure contained in a valid Agreement relating thereto, upon payment by the Non-Defaulting Rental Car Companies of all such unpaid Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency shortfall so received by the defaulting thereto, upon payment by the Non-Defaulting Rental Car Companies of all such unpaid Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Shortfall so received by the defaulting thereto, upon payment by the Non-Defaulting Rental Car Companies of all such unpaid Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency Shortfall pursuant to the foregoing, any counter space, back office space, ready/return parking spaces, Motor Vehicle storage spaces, QTA space and vehicle staging lanes then allocated to the defaulting On-Airport Rental Car Company or Companies shall be relocated in accordance with the applicable Agreements. The Operating Agreement shall expressly provide for and authorize the proportional liability and the other obligations summarized under this heading.

Estoppel Statements.

A. RAC Must Deliver. Within ten (10) days after request therefore by the State, RAC shall deliver, in recordable form, an estoppel statement certifying that the Agreement is in full force and effect, the date of RAC's most recent payment of any Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency and that RAC has no defenses or offsets outstanding, or stating those claimed, and any other information reasonably requested by the State.

B. Failure to Deliver. If RAC fails to deliver the requested estoppel statement to the State within the specified period, the following shall be deemed conclusive: (1) the Agreement is in full force and effect, without modification, except as may be represented by the State and (2) there are no uncured defaults in the State's performance and RAC has no right of offset, counterclaim, or deduction against any Minimum Annual Requirement Deficiency payable under the Agreement. Such conclusions shall be binding upon RAC. Notwithstanding these conclusions, RAC's failure to deliver the requested estoppel statement shall constitute a breach of the related Agreement.

APPENDIX F

Form of Bond Counsel Opinion

575 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022-2585 212.940.8800 tel 212.940.8776 fax www.kattenlaw.com

July 27, 2017

Ford N. Fuchigami Director of Transportation Department of Transportation State of Hawaii 869 Punchbowl Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

\$249,805,000 State of Hawaii Airports System Customer Facility Charge Revenue Bonds Series 2017A (Taxable)

Dear Mr. Fuchigami:

At the request of the State of Hawaii (the "State") acting through its Department of Transportation (the "Department"), we have acted as Bond Counsel in connection with the issuance of by the State of its \$249,805,000 aggregate principal amount of Airports System Customer Facility Charge Revenue Bonds, Series 2017A (Taxable) (the "Series 2017A Bonds").

The Series 2017A Bonds are dated July 27, 2017, are in the denomination of \$5,000 or any integral multiple thereof, and mature on July 1 in each of the years and in the respective principal amounts set forth below, with the Series 2017A Bonds maturing in a particular year bearing interest payable semiannually each January 1 and July 1, commencing January 1, 2018 at the rate per annum set opposite such year, as follows:

Maturity		Interest
(July 1)	Principal Amount	Rate
2018	\$ 5,030,000	1.701%
2019	5,120,000	1.951
2020	5,225,000	2.090
2021	5,345,000	2.329
2022	5,475,000	2.529
2023	5,620,000	2.741
2024	5,780,000	2.941
2025	5,955,000	3.025
2026	6,145,000	3.125
2027	6,340,000	3.225
2028	6,555,000	3.375
2029	6,785,000	3.475
2030	7,025,000	3.575
2031	7,285,000	3.675
2032	7,565,000	3.775
2037	42,540,000	3.894
2047	\$116,015,000	4.144

Department of Transportation July 27, 2017 Page 2

The Series 2017A Bonds are subject to optional and sinking fund redemption by the State prior to the stated maturities thereof as set forth in the Indenture (as defined herein). The Series 2017A Bonds are transferable and exchangeable upon the terms and conditions set forth therein and have been authorized and issued pursuant to the laws of the State of Hawaii. The Series 2017A Bonds are being issued for the purpose of funding the costs of certain consolidated rental motor vehicle facility facilities at the Airports System of the State. The Series 2017A Bonds are authorized to be issued and are issued under, pursuant to, and in full compliance with the Constitution and statutes of the State of Hawaii, including particularly, Part III of Chapter 39, Hawaii Revised Statutes, as amended, and under and pursuant to that certain Indenture of Trust dated as of August 1, 2014 between the State, acting through the Department, and MUFG Union Bank N.A., as Trustee (the "Trustee"), as amended and supplemented (the "Indenture"), duly authorized and delivered under the aforesaid Part III, and pursuant to that certain Second Supplemental and First Amendatory Indenture of Trust dated as of July 1, 2018 (the "Supplemental Indenture"), duly authorized and delivered under the aforesaid Part III and the Indenture. All capitalized terms used herein that are not herein otherwise defined shall have the meanings ascribed thereto in the Indenture.

The Series 2017A Bonds and any bonds heretofore or hereafter issued on a parity therewith under the Indenture are payable from the Pledged Receipts.

In rendering our opinions set forth herein, we have assumed the genuineness of all documents and signatures presented to us (whether as originals or as copies) and the due and legal execution and delivery thereof by, and validity against, any parties other than the State or the Department. We have assumed, without undertaking to verify, the accuracy of the factual matters represented, warranted or certified in the documents. Furthermore, we have assumed compliance with all covenants and agreements contained in the Indenture and the Supplemental Indenture.

The rights and obligations under the Series 2017A Bonds, the Indenture and the Supplemental Indenture and their enforceability may be subject to bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, arrangement, fraudulent conveyance, moratorium and other laws relating to or affecting creditors' rights, to the application of equitable principles, to the exercise of judicial discretion in appropriate cases and to the limitations on legal remedies against the State. We express no opinion with respect to any indemnification, contribution, penalty, choice of law, choice of forum or waiver provisions contained in the foregoing documents.

Based on and subject to the foregoing and existing law and in reliance thereon, as of the date hereof, we are of the following opinions:

(1) The Series 2017A Bonds have been duly authorized and issued by the State and, constitute valid special obligations of the State payable solely from and secured solely by a lien upon and pledge of Pledged Receipts, on a parity with all bonds which heretofore have been or hereafter may be issued under the Indenture, as set forth in the Indenture.

(2) The provisions of the Indenture and the Supplemental Indenture are valid in accordance with their terms.

(3) Interest on the Series 2017A Bonds is exempt from all taxation by the State and any county or any political subdivision thereof, except inheritance, transfer and estate taxes and except to the extent the franchise tax imposed by the laws of the State on banks and other financial institutions may be measured with respect to the Series 2017A Bonds or income therefrom.

Interest on the Series 2017A Bonds is not exempt from federal income taxes.

The opinions expressed herein are based on an analysis of existing laws, regulations, rulings and judicial decisions and cover certain matters not directly addressed by such authorities. We have not undertaken to determine, or to inform any person, as to any change in any existing law, regulation, ruling or judicial decision or the effect of any such change. Our engagement with respect to the Series 2017A Bonds concludes with their issuance, and we disclaim any obligation to update this letter after the date hereof.

Department of Transportation July 27, 2017 Page 3

Very truly yours,

CMS/MGM

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

APPENDIX G

Form of Continuing Disclosure Certificate

Dated July 27, 2017

\$249,805,000 State of Hawaii Airports System Customer Facility Charge Revenue Bonds Series 2017A (Taxable)

This Continuing Disclosure Certificate (this "Disclosure Certificate") is executed and delivered by the State of Hawaii (the "State") acting through the State Director of Transportation (the "Director of Transportation") on behalf of the Airports Division for the Airports System and the Rental Car Facilities System, in connection with the issuance of its \$249,805,000 State of Hawaii Airports System Customer Facility Charge Revenue Bonds Series 2017A (Taxable) (the "Bonds"). The Bonds are being issued pursuant to the authority of the Constitution and laws of the State, including, in particular, certain acts of the Legislature of the State, as implemented by that certain Indenture of Trust dated as of August 1, 2014 providing for the issuance of the Bonds (the "Bond Indenture") by and between the State, acting through the Department of Transportation, and MUFG Union Bank, N.A., as trustee. Pursuant to the Bond Indenture, the State covenants and agrees as follows:

Section 1. **Purpose of Disclosure Certificate**. This Disclosure Certificate is being executed and delivered by the State acting through the Director of Transportation for the benefit of the Holders and Beneficial Owners of the Bonds and in order to assist the Participating Underwriters in complying with Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12(b)(5).

Section 2. **Definitions**. In addition to the definitions set forth in the Bond Indenture, which apply to any capitalized term used in this Disclosure Certificate unless otherwise defined in this Section, the following capitalized terms shall have the following meanings:

"Annual Report" shall mean any Department of Transportation, Airports Division CFC Annual Report provided pursuant to, and as described in, Sections 3 and 4 of this Disclosure Certificate.

"Beneficial Owner" shall mean any person which (a) has the power, directly or indirectly, to vote or consent with respect to, or to dispose of ownership of, any Bonds (including persons holding Bonds through nominees, depositories or other intermediaries) or (b) is treated as the owner of any Bonds for federal income tax purposes.

"Dissemination Agent" shall mean the Director of Transportation or any successor Dissemination Agent designated in writing by the State acting through the Director of Transportation and which has filed with the State a written acceptance of such designation.

"Holder" shall mean the person in whose name any Bond shall be registered.

"Listed Events" shall mean any of the events listed in subsection 5(a) of this Disclosure Certificate.

"MSRB" shall mean the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board or any other entity designated or authorized by the Securities and Exchange Commission to receive reports pursuant to the Rule. Until otherwise designated by the MSRB or the Securities and Exchange Commission, filings with the MSRB are to be made through the Electronic Municipal Market Access ("EMMA") website of the MSRB, currently located at http://:emma.msrb.org.

"Participating Underwriters" shall mean any original underwriters of the Bonds required to comply with the Rule in connection with offering of the Bonds.

"Rule" shall mean Rule 15c2-12(b)(5) adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as the same may be amended from time to time.

Section 3. **Provision of Annual Reports**. (a) The State acting through the Director of Transportation shall, or shall cause the Dissemination Agent to, not later than nine months after the end of the State's fiscal year (presently June 30), commencing with the report for the Fiscal Year ending June 30, 2017, provide to the MSRB an Annual report which is consistent with the requirements of Section 4 of this Disclosure Certificate. The Annual Report must be submitted in electronic format, accompanied by such identifying information as is prescribed by the MSRB, and may cross-reference other information as provided in Section 4 of this Disclosure Certificate; provided, that the audited financial statements of the Department of Transportation, Airports Division may be submitted separately from the balance of the Annual Report and later than the date required above for the filing of the Annual Report if they are not available by that date. If the State's fiscal year changes, it shall give notice of such change in the same manner as for a Listed Event under Section 5(c). The Annual Report shall be submitted on a standard form in use by industry participants or other appropriate form and shall identify the Bonds by name and CUSIP number.

(b) Not later than 15 Business Days prior to said date, the State acting through the Director of Transportation shall provide the Annual Report to the Dissemination Agent (if other than the Director of Transportation). If the State acting through the Director of Transportation is unable to provide to the MSRB an Annual Report by the date required in Section 3 (a) above, the State acting through the Director of Transportation shall send a notice to the MSRB in substantially the form attached as Exhibit B.

(c) The Dissemination Agent shall (if the Dissemination Agent is other than the Director of Transportation), file a report with the State certifying that the Annual Report has been provided pursuant to this Disclosure Certificate, stating the date it was provided to the MSRB.

Section 4. **Contents of Annual Reports**. The Department of Transportation, Airports Division CFC Annual Report shall contain or include information of the type included in the final Official Statement (the "Official Statement") dated July 12, 2017, relating to the Bonds as set forth under the heading "CAR RENTAL FACILITIES CONCESSION AGREEMENTS AND FACILITY LEASES," including the following information:

(a) Receipts of Customer Facility Charge collections for the Airports System as a whole for each fiscal year:

(b) The Debt Service Coverage Ratio of receipts of Customer Facility Charge collections for the Airports System as a whole to debt service payments for the Bonds for such fiscal year.

(c) The audited financial statements of the Department of Transportation, Airports Division for the prior fiscal year, which financial statements shall be prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles as promulgated to apply to governmental entities from time to time by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. If the Department of Transportation, Airports Division audited financial statements are not available by the time the Annual Report is required to be filed pursuant to subsection 3(a), the Annual Report shall contain unaudited financial statements, and the audited financial statements shall be filed in the same manner as the Annual Report when they become available.

Any of such information may be included by specific reference to other documents, including official statements of debt issues of the State or related public entities, which have been available to the public on the MSRB's website. The State acting through the Department of Transportation shall clearly identify each such other document so included by reference.

Section 5. **Reporting of Significant Events**. (a) Pursuant to the provisions of this Section 5, the State acting through the Department of Transportation shall give, or cause to be given, notice of the occurrence of any of the following events with respect to the Bonds, if material:

- 1. principal and interest payment delinquencies;
- 2. non-payment related defaults, if material;
- 3. unscheduled draws on the debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties;
- 4. unscheduled draws on the credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties;
- 5. substitution of the credit or liquidity providers or their failure to perform;

6. adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB) or other material notices or determinations with respect to the tax status of the Series 2017 Bonds, or other material events affecting the tax status of the Series 2017 Bonds;

- 7. modifications to rights of bondholders, if material;
- 8. (A) bond calls, if material, and (B) tender offers;
- 9. defeasances;

10. release, substitution or sale of property securing repayment of the Series 2017 Bonds, if material;

11. rating changes;

12. the foregoing event, such event is considered to occur when any of the following occur: the appointment of a receiver, fiscal agent or similar officer for the State in a proceeding under the provisions of Title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq., as amended or supplemented from time to time, or any successor statute, and any and all rules and regulations issued or promulgated in connection therewith, or in any other proceeding under state or federal law in which a court or governmental authority has assumed jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the obligated person, or if such jurisdiction has been assumed by leaving the existing governing body and officials or officers in possession but subject to the supervision and orders of a court or governmental authority, or the entry of an order confirming a plan of reorganization, arrangement or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of business of the obligated person;

13. the consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving the State or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the State, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive material agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if material; or

14. appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of name of a trustee, if material.

(b) The Department shall in a timely manner, not in excess of ten (10) business days after the occurrence of a Listed Event, (i) where relevant pursuant to subsection (a) above, determine if such event would be material under applicable federal securities laws, and (ii) in all events, file notice of such occurrence with the MSRB in electronic format.

Section 6. **Termination of Reporting Obligation**. The State's obligations under this Disclosure Certificate shall terminate upon the legal defeasance or payment in full of all of the Bonds. If such termination occurs prior to the final maturity of the Bonds, the State shall give notice of such termination in the same manner as for a Listed Event under subsection 5(c).

Section 7. **Dissemination Agent**. The State acting through the Department of Transportation may, from time to time, appoint or engage a Dissemination Agent to assist it in carrying out its obligations under this Disclosure Certificate, and may discharge any such Agent, with or without appointing a successor Dissemination Agent. The Dissemination Agent shall not be responsible in any manner for the content of any notice or report prepared by the State acting through the Department of Transportation pursuant to this Disclosure Certificate.

Section 8. Amendment; Waiver. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Disclosure Certificate, the State acting through the Director of Transportation may amend this Disclosure Certificate, and any provision of this Disclosure Certificate may be waived, provided that the following conditions are satisfied:

(a) If the amendment or waiver relates to the provisions of subsection 3(a), Section 4 or subsection 5(a), it may only be made in connection with a change in circumstances that arises from a change in legal requirements, change in law, or change in the identity, nature or status of an obligated person with respect to the Bonds, or the type of business conducted;

(b) The undertaking, as amended or taking into account such waiver, would, in the opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel, have complied with the requirements of the Rule at the time of the original issuance of the Bonds, after taking into account any amendments or interpretations of the Rule, as well as any change in circumstances; and

The amendment or waiver either: (i) is approved by the Holders of the Bonds in the same manner as provided in the Bond Indenture for amendments to the Bond Indenture with the consent of Holders or (ii) does not, in the opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel, materially impair the interests of the Holders or Beneficial Owners of the Bonds.

In the event of any amendment or Waiver of a provision of this Disclosure Certificate, the State acting through the Director of Transportation shall describe such amendment in the next Annual Report, and shall include, as applicable, a narrative explanation of the reason for the amendment or waiver and its impact on the type (or in the case of a change of accounting principles, on the presentation) of financial information or operating data being presented by the State acting through the Director of Transportation. In addition, if the amendment relates to the accounting principles to be followed in preparing financial statements, (i) notice of such change shall be given in the same manner as for a Listed Event under subsection 5(c), and (ii) the Annual Report for the year in which the change is made should present a comparison (in narrative form and also, if feasible, in quantitative form) between the financial statements as prepared on the basis of the new accounting principles and those prepared on the basis of the former accounting principles.

Section 9. Additional Information. Nothing in this Disclosure Certificate shall be deemed to prevent the State acting through the Department of Transportation from disseminating any other information, using the means of dissemination set forth in this Disclosure Certificate or any other means of communication, or including any other information in any Annual Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event, in addition to that which is required by this Disclosure Certificate. If the State acting through the Department of Transportation chooses to include any information in any Annual Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event in addition to that which is specifically required by this Disclosure Certificate, the State acting through the Department of Transportation shall have no obligation under this Disclosure Certificate to update such information or include it in any future Annual Report or notice of a Listed Event.

Section 10. **Default**. In the event of a failure of the State acting through the Department of Transportation to comply with any provision of this Disclosure Certificate, any Holder or Beneficial Owner of the Bonds may take such actions as may be necessary and appropriate, including seeking mandate or specific performance by court order, to cause the State acting through the Department of Transportation to comply with its obligations under this Disclosure Certificate. A default under this Disclosure Certificate shall not be deemed an event of default under the Bond Indenture with respect to the Bonds, and the sole remedy under this Disclosure Certificate in the event of any failure of the State acting through the Department of Transportation to comply with this Disclosure Certificate shall be an action to comple performance.

Section 11. **Beneficiaries**. This Disclosure Certificate shall inure solely to the benefit of the State, the Dissemination Agent, the Participating Underwriters and Holders and Beneficial Owners from time to time of the Bonds and shall create no rights in any other person or entity.

Section 12. **Governing Law.** This Disclosure Certificate shall be construed and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the State of Hawaii, and any suits and actions arising out of this Disclosure Certificate shall be instituted in a court of competent jurisdiction in the State of Hawaii; provided, however, that to the extent

this Disclosure Certificate addresses matters of federal securities laws, including the Rule, this Agreement shall be construed in accordance with such federal securities laws and official interpretations thereof.

STATE OF HAWAII

By ______ Director of Transportation State of Hawaii

EXHIBIT A

FORM OF NOTICE TO THE MUNICIPAL SECURITIES RULEMAKING BOARD OF FAILURE TO FILE ANNUAL REPORT

Name of Issuer:	State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation
Names of Bond Issues:	State of Hawaii Airports System Customer Facility Charge Revenue Bonds, Series 2017A (Taxable)
Date of Issuance:	July 27, 2017
NOTICE IS HEREBY O	GIVEN that the State has not provided an Annual Report with respect to the above-named

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the State has not provided an Annual Report with respect to the above-named Bonds as required by its Continuing Disclosure Certificate dated July ____, 2017. [The State anticipates that the Annual Report will be filed by _____.]

Dates:

STATE OF HAWAII Acting through the Department of Transportation

By	
Name:	
Title:	

APPENDIX H

Book-Entry Only System

General. The Depository Trust Company ("DTC"), New York, NY, will act as securities depository for the Series 2017 Bonds. The Series 2017 Bonds will be issued as fully registered securities in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC's partnership nominee). One fully registered Series 2017 Bond will be issued for each maturity of each issue of the Series 2017 Bonds in the aggregate principal amount of such maturity, and will be deposited with DTC.

DTC and ITS Participants. DTC, the world's largest securities depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a "banking organization" within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a "clearing corporation" within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a "clearing agency" registered pursuant to the provisions of Section17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC's participants ("Direct Participants") deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized book-entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants' accounts. This arrangement eliminates the need for physical movement of the Series 2017 Bonds. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation ("DTCC"). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly ("Indirect Participants"). DTC has a Standard & Poor's rating of AA+. The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at www.dtcc.com. The rules applicable to DTC and its Direct Participants and Indirect Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Purchase of Ownership Interests. Purchases of Series 2017 Bonds under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive credit for the Series 2017 Bonds on DTC's records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each Bond (for the purposes of the discussion under "Book-Entry System," a "Beneficial Owner") is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participant records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase, but Beneficial Owners are expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Series 2017 Bonds are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive Series 2017 Bonds representing their ownership interests in Series 2017 Bonds, except in the event that use of the Book-Entry System for the Series 2017 Bonds is discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Series 2017 Bonds deposited by Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC's partnership nominee, Cede & Co. The deposit of Series 2017 Bonds with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. effect no change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Series 2017 Bonds; DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Series 2017 Bonds are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Notices and Other Communications. Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time.

Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. will consent or vote with respect to the Series 2017 Bonds. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the State as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.'s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts the Series 2017 Bonds are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

Principal and Interest Payments. Principal of and interest payments on the Series 2017 Bonds will be made to DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts on the payment date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records unless DTC has no reason to believe that it will not receive payment on the payment date. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC, or the State, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment of principal and interest to DTC is the responsibility of the State, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants shall be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners shall be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

Discontinuance of Book-Entry System. DTC may discontinue providing its services as securities depository with respect to the Series 2017 Bonds at any time by giving reasonable notice to the State. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor securities depository is not obtained, the Series 2017 Bonds are required to be printed and delivered.

Use of Certain Terms in Other Sections of the Official Statement. In reviewing this Official Statement it should be understood that while the Series 2017 Bonds are in the Book-Entry System, references in other Sections of this Official Statement to owners or holders should be read to include the person for whom the Participant acquires an interest in the Series 2017 Bonds, but (i) all rights of ownership must be exercised through DTC and the Book-Entry System and (ii) notices that are to be given to owners or holders by the State will be given only to DTC. DTC will forward (or cause to be forwarded) the notices of the Participants by its usual procedures so that such Participants may forward (or cause to be forwarded) such notices to the Beneficial Owners.

DTC and Book-Entry Information. Information concerning DTC and the Book-Entry System contained in this Official Statement has been obtained from DTC and other sources that the State and the Underwriters believe to be reliable, and is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness by, and is not to be construed as a representation by, the Underwriters or the State.

Neither the State nor the Underwriters will have any responsibility or obligation to Direct Participants, to Indirect Participants or to Beneficial Owners with respect to (i) the accuracy of any records maintained by the DTC, any Direct Participants or Indirect Participants, (ii) the payment by DTC, any Direct Participants or any Indirect Participants of any amount in respect of principal of or interest on the Series 2017 Bonds, (iii) any notice which is permitted or required to be given to owners (except such notice as is required to be given by the State to DTC), (iv) any consent given or other action taken by DTC as Owner of the Series 2017 Bonds or (v) any other event or purpose.

APPENDIX I

INFORMATION CONCERNING OFFERING RESTRICTIONS IN CERTAIN JURISDICTIONS OUTSIDE OF THE UNITED STATES

REFERENCES TO "BONDS" OR "SECURITIES" MEAN THE SERIES 2017 BONDS OFFERED BY THIS OFFICAL STATEMENT.

Minimum Unit Sales

THE BONDS WILL TRADE AND SETTLE ON A UNIT BASIS (ONE UNIT EQUALING ONE BOND OF \$5,000 PRINCIPAL AMOUNT).

Notice to Prospective Investors in the European Economic Area

THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT IS NOT A PROSPECTUS FOR THE PURPOSES OF EUROPEAN COMMISSION REGULATION 809/2004 OR EUROPEAN COMMISSION DIRECTIVE 2003/71/EC (AS AMENDED, INCLUDING BY EUROPEAN COMMISSION DIRECTIVE 2010/73/EU, AS APPLICABLE) (THE "PROSPECTUS DIRECTIVE"). IT HAS BEEN PREPARED ON THE BASIS THAT ALL OFFERS OF THE BONDS WILL BE MADE PURSUANT TO AN EXEMPTION UNDER ARTICLE 3 OF THE PROSPECTUS DIRECTIVE, AS IMPLEMENTED IN MEMBER STATES OF THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AREA, FROM THE REQUIREMENT TO PRODUCE A PROSPECTUS FOR SUCH OFFERS. THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT IS ONLY ADDRESSED TO AND DIRECTED AT PERSONS IN MEMBER STATES OF THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AREA WHO ARE "QUALIFIED INVESTORS" WITHIN THE MEANING OF ARTICLE 2(1)(E) OF THE PROSPECTUS DIRECTIVE AND ANY RELEVANT IMPLEMENTING MEASURE IN EACH MEMBER STATE OF THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AREA ("QUALIFIED INVESTORS"). THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT MUST NOT BE ACTED ON OR RELIED ON IN ANY SUCH MEMBER STATE OF THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AREA BY PERSONS WHO ARE NOT QUALIFIED INVESTORS. ANY INVESTMENT OR INVESTMENT ACTIVITY TO WHICH THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT RELATES IS AVAILABLE ONLY TO OUALIFIED INVESTORS IN ANY MEMBER STATE OF THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AREA AND WILL NOT BE ENGAGED IN WITH ANY OTHER PERSONS.

Notice to Prospective Investors in the United Kingdom

THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT HAS NOT BEEN APPROVED FOR THE PURPOSES OF SECTION 21 OF THE FINANCIAL SERVICES AND MARKETS ACT 2000 ("FSMA") AND DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN OFFER TO THE PUBLIC IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 85 OF THE FSMA. IT IS FOR DISTRIBUTION ONLY TO, AND IS DIRECTED SOLELY AT, PERSONS WHO (I) ARE OUTSIDE THE UNITED KINGDOM, (II) ARE INVESTMENT PROFESSIONALS, AS SUCH TERM IS DEFINED IN ARTICLE 19(5) OF THE FINANCIAL SERVICES AND MARKETS ACT 2000 (FINANCIAL PROMOTION) ORDER 2005, AS AMENDED (THE "FINANCIAL PROMOTION ORDER"), (III) ARE PERSONS FALLING WITHIN ARTICLE 49(2)(A) TO (D) OF THE FINANCIAL PROMOTION ORDER, OR (IV) ARE PERSONS TO WHOM AN INVITATION OR INDUCEMENT TO ENGAGE IN INVESTMENT ACTIVITY (WITHIN THE MEANING OF SECTION 21 OF THE FSMA) IN CONNECTION WITH THE ISSUE OR SALE OF ANY SECURITIES MAY OTHERWISE BE LAWFULLY COMMUNICATED OR CAUSED TO BE COMMUNICATED (ALL SUCH PERSONS TOGETHER BEING REFERRED TO AS "RELEVANT PERSONS"). THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT IS DIRECTED ONLY AT RELEVANT PERSONS AND MUST NOT BE ACTED ON OR RELIED ON BY PERSONS WHO ARE NOT RELEVANT PERSONS, INCLUDING IN CIRCUMSTANCES IN WHICH SECTION 21(1) OF THE FSMA APPLIES TO THE STATE. ANY INVESTMENT OR INVESTMENT ACTIVITY TO WHICH THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT RELATES IS AVAILABLE ONLY TO RELEVANT PERSONS AND WILL BE ENGAGED IN ONLY WITH RELEVANT PERSONS. ANY PERSON WHO IS NOT A RELEVANT PERSON SHOULD NOT ACT OR RELY ON THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT OR ANY OF ITS CONTENTS.

Notice to Prospective Investors in Canada

THE BONDS MAY BE SOLD IN CANADA ONLY TO PURCHASERS PURCHASING, OR DEEMED TO BE PURCHASING, AS PRINCIPAL THAT ARE ACCREDITED INVESTORS, AS DEFINED IN NATIONAL INSTRUMENT 45-106 PROSPECTUS EXEMPTIONS OR SUBSECTION 73.3(1) OF THE SECURITIES ACT (ONTARIO), AND ARE PERMITTED CLIENTS, AS DEFINED IN NATIONAL INSTRUMENT 31-103 REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS, EXEMPTIONS AND ONGOING REGISTRANT OBLIGATIONS. ANY RESALE OF THE BONDS MUST BE MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AN EXEMPTION FROM, OR IN A TRANSACTION NOT SUBJECT TO, THE PROSPECTUS REQUIREMENTS OF APPLICABLE SECURITIES LAWS.

SECURITIES LEGISLATION IN CERTAIN PROVINCES OR TERRITORIES OF CANADA MAY PROVIDE A PURCHASER WITH REMEDIES FOR RESCISSION OR DAMAGES IF THIS OFFERING MEMORANDUM (INCLUDING ANY AMENDMENT THERETO) CONTAINS A MISREPRESENTATION, PROVIDED THAT THE REMEDIES FOR RESCISSION OR DAMAGES ARE EXERCISED BY THE PURCHASER WITHIN THE TIME LIMIT PRESCRIBED BY THE SECURITIES LEGISLATION OF THE PURCHASER'S PROVINCE OR TERRITORY. THE PURCHASER SHOULD REFER TO ANY APPLICABLE PROVISIONS OF THE SECURITIES LEGISLATION OF THE PURCHASER'S PROVINCE OR TERRITORY FOR PARTICULARS OF THESE RIGHTS OR CONSULT WITH A LEGAL ADVISOR.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 3A.3 OF NATIONAL INSTRUMENT 33-105 UNDERWRITING CONFLICTS (NI 33-105), THE UNDERWRITER IS NOT REQUIRED TO COMPLY WITH THE DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS OF NI 33-105 REGARDING UNDERWRITER CONFLICTS OF INTEREST IN CONNECTION WITH THIS OFFERING.

Notice to Prospective Investors in Hong Kong

THE BONDS MAY NOT BE OFFERED OR SOLD IN HONG KONG BY MEANS OF ANY DOCUMENT OTHER THAN (I) IN CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH DO NOT CONSTITUTE AN OFFER TO THE PUBLIC WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE COMPANIES (WINDING UP AND MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS) ORDINANCE (CAP. 32 OF THE LAWS OF HONG KONG) ("COMPANIES (WINDING UP AND MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS) ORDINANCE") OR WHICH DO NOT CONSTITUTE AN INVITATION TO THE PUBLIC WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE SECURITIES AND FUTURES ORDINANCE (CAP. 571 OF THE LAWS OF HONG KONG) ("SECURITIES AND FUTURES ORDINANCE"), OR (II) TO "PROFESSIONAL INVESTORS" AS DEFINED IN THE SECURITIES AND FUTURES ORDINANCE AND ANY RULES MADE THEREUNDER, OR (III) IN OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH DO NOT RESULT IN THE DOCUMENT BEING A "PROSPECTUS" AS DEFINED IN THE COMPANIES (WINDING UP AND MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS) ORDINANCE, AND NO ADVERTISEMENT, INVITATION OR DOCUMENT RELATING TO THE BONDS MAY BE ISSUED OR MAY BE IN THE POSSESSION OF ANY PERSON FOR THE PURPOSE OF ISSUE (IN EACH CASE WHETHER IN HONG KONG OR ELSEWHERE), WHICH IS DIRECTED AT, OR THE CONTENTS OF WHICH ARE LIKELY TO BE ACCESSED OR READ BY. THE PUBLIC IN HONG KONG (EXCEPT IF PERMITTED TO DO SO UNDER THE SECURITIES LAWS OF HONG KONG) OTHER THAN WITH RESPECT TO BONDS WHICH ARE OR ARE INTENDED TO BE DISPOSED OF ONLY TO PERSONS OUTSIDE HONG KONG OR ONLY TO "PROFESSIONAL INVESTORS" IN HONG KONG AS DEFINED IN THE SECURITIES AND FUTURES ORDINANCE AND ANY RULES MADE THEREUNDER.

Notice to Prospective Investors in Japan

THE BONDS HAVE NOT BEEN AND WILL NOT BE REGISTERED UNDER THE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND EXCHANGE ACT OF JAPAN (NO. 25 OF 1948, AS AMENDED, THE "FIEA"). NEITHER THE BONDS NOR ANY INTEREST THEREIN MAY BE OFFERED OR SOLD, DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, IN JAPAN OR TO, OR FOR THE BENEFIT OF, ANY RESIDENT OF JAPAN (AS DEFINED UNDER ITEM 5, PARAGRAPH 1, ARTICLE G OF THE FOREIGN EXCHANGE AND FOREIGN TRADE ACT (ACT NO. 228 OF 1949, AS AMENDED)), OR TO OTHERS FOR RE-OFFERING OR RESALE, DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, IN JAPAN OR TO, OR FOR THE BENEFIT OF, ANY RESIDENT OF JAPAN, EXCEPT

PURSUANT TO AN EXEMPTION FROM THE REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS OF, AND OTHERWISE IN COMPLIANCE WITH, THE FIEA AND ANY OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS, REGULATIONS AND MINISTERIAL GUIDELINES OF JAPAN.

THE PRIMARY OFFERING OF THE BONDS AND THE SOLICITATION OF AN OFFER FOR ACQUISITION THEREOF HAVE NOT BEEN AND WILL NOT BE REGISTERED UNDER PARAGRAPH 1, ARTICLE 4 OF THE FIEA. AS IT IS A PRIMARY OFFERING, IN JAPAN, THE BONDS MAY ONLY BE OFFERED, SOLD, RESOLD OR OTHERWISE TRANSFERRED, DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY TO, OR FOR THE BENEFIT OF CERTAIN QUALIFIED INSTITUTIONAL INVESTORS AS DEFINED IN THE FIEA ("QIIS"). A QII WHO PURCHASED OR OTHERWISE OBTAINED THE BONDS CANNOT RESELL OR OTHERWISE TRANSFER THE BONDS IN JAPAN TO ANY PERSON EXCEPT ANOTHER QII.

Notice to Prospective Investors in Korea

THE BONDS HAVE NOT BEEN AND WILL NOT BE REGISTERED WITH THE FINANCIAL SERVICES COMMISSION OF KOREA FOR PUBLIC OFFERING IN KOREA UNDER THE FINANCIAL INVESTMENT SERVICES AND CAPITAL MARKETS ACT AND ITS SUBORDINATE DECREES AND REGULATIONS (COLLECTIVELY THE "FSCMA"). THE BONDS MAY NOT BE OFFERED, SOLD OR DELIVERED, DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, OR OFFERED OR SOLD TO ANY PERSON FOR REOFFERING OR RESALE, DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, IN KOREA OR TO ANY RESIDENT OF KOREA EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE PERMITTED UNDER THE APPLICABLE LAWS AND REGULATIONS OF KOREA, INCLUDING THE FSCMA AND THE FOREIGN EXCHANGE TRANSACTION LAW AND ITS SUBORDINATE DECREES AND REGULATIONS (COLLECTIVELY, THE "FETL"). WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO THE FOREGOING, THE NUMBER OF BONDS OFFERED IN KOREA OR TO A RESIDENT IN KOREA SHALL BE LESS THAN FIFTY AND FOR A PERIOD OF ONE YEAR FROM THE ISSUE DATE OF THE BONDS, NONE OF THE BONDS MAY BE DIVIDED RESULTING IN AN INCREASED NUMBER OF THE BONDS. FURTHERMORE, THE BONDS MAY NOT BE RESOLD TO KOREAN RESIDENTS UNLESS THE PURCHASER OF THE BONDS COMPLIES WITH ALL APPLICABLE REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS (INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO GOVERNMENT REPORTING REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE FETL) IN CONNECTION WITH THE PURCHASE OF THE BONDS.

Notice to Prospective Investors in Singapore

THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT HAS NOT BEEN AND WILL NOT BE REGISTERED AS AN OFFICIAL STATEMENT WITH THE MONETARY AUTHORITY OF SINGAPORE. ACCORDINGLY, THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT AND ANY OTHER DOCUMENT OR MATERIAL IN CONNECTION WITH THE OFFER OR SALE, OR INVITATION FOR SUBSCRIPTION OR PURCHASE, OF THE BONDS MAY NOT BE CIRCULATED OR DISTRIBUTED, NOR MAY THE BONDS BE OFFERED OR SOLD, OR BE MADE THE SUBJECT OF AN INVITATION FOR SUBSCRIPTION OR PURCHASE, WHETHER DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, TO PERSONS IN SINGAPORE OTHER THAN (I) TO AN INSTITUTIONAL INVESTOR UNDER SECTION 274 OF THE SECURITIES AND FUTURES ACT (CHAPTER 289) (THE "SFA"), (II) TO A RELEVANT PERSON, OR ANY PERSON PURSUANT TO SECTION 275(1A), AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CONDITIONS, SPECIFIED IN SECTION 275 OF THE SFA OR (III) OTHERWISE PURSUANT TO, AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CONDITIONS OF, ANY OTHER APPLICABLE PROVISION OF THE SFA. WHERE THE BONDS ARE SUBSCRIBED OR PURCHASED UNDER SECTION 275 BY A RELEVANT PERSON WHICH IS: (A) A CORPORATION (WHICH IS NOT AN ACCREDITED INVESTOR) THE SOLE BUSINESS OF WHICH IS TO HOLD INVESTMENTS AND THE ENTIRE SHARE CAPITAL OF WHICH IS OWNED BY ONE OR MORE INDIVIDUALS, EACH OF WHOM IS AN ACCREDITED INVESTOR; OR (B) A TRUST (WHERE THE TRUSTEE IS NOT AN ACCREDITED INVESTOR) WHOSE SOLE PURPOSE IS TO HOLD INVESTMENTS AND EACH BENEFICIARY IS AN ACCREDITED INVESTOR, THEN SECURITIES, DEBENTURES AND UNITS OF SECURITIES AND DEBENTURES OF THAT CORPORATION OR THE BENEFICIARIES' RIGHTS AND INTEREST IN THAT TRUST SHALL NOT BE TRANSFERABLE FOR 6 MONTHS AFTER THAT CORPORATION OR THAT TRUST HAS ACOUIRED THE SECURITIES UNDER SECTION 275 EXCEPT: (I) TO AN INSTITUTIONAL INVESTOR UNDER SECTION 274 OF THE SFA OR TO A RELEVANT PERSON, OR ANY PERSON PURSUANT TO SECTION

275(1A), AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CONDITIONS, SPECIFIED IN SECTION 275 OF THE SFA; (II) WHERE NO CONSIDERATION IS GIVEN FOR THE TRANSFER; OR (III) BY OPERATION OF LAW.

Notice to Prospective Investors in Taiwan

EACH UNDERWRITER HAS REPRESENTED AND AGREED THAT THE BONDS HAVE NOT BEEN AND WILL NOT BE REGISTERED WITH THE FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY COMMISSION OF TAIWAN PURSUANT TO RELEVANT SECURITIES LAWS AND REGULATIONS AND MAY NOT BE SOLD, ISSUED OR OFFERED WITHIN TAIWAN THROUGH A PUBLIC OFFERING OR IN CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH CONSTITUTES AN OFFER WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF TAIWAN THAT REQUIRES A REGISTRATION OR APPROVAL OF THE FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY COMMISSION OF TAIWAN. NO PERSON OR ENTITY IN TAIWAN HAS BEEN AUTHORIZED TO OFFER, SELL, GIVE ADVICE REGARDING OR OTHERWISE INTERMEDIATE THE OFFERING AND SALE OF THE BONDS IN TAIWAN.





Printed by: ImageMaster, LLC www.imagemaster.com