

Rating Update: Moody's revises outlook to negative and affirms Aa2 for University of Hawaii

Global Credit Research - 25 Aug 2014

\$609M rated debt

BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
Public Colleges & Universities
HI

Opinion

NEW YORK, August 25, 2014 --Moody's Investors Service affirms University of Hawaii's (UH) Aa2 issuer and revenue bond ratings and revises outlook to negative. The university also has \$0.7 million of reimbursable State-issued general obligation bonds which are rated Aa2 based on the State of Hawaii's rating, with the university reimbursing the state for debt service.

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE:

The negative outlook reflects University of Hawaii's deepening deficits with further operating pressure expected as it makes incremental other post-retirement benefits (OPEB) contributions beyond the earmarked state appropriations in fiscal year (FY) 2015 to meet the state's mandate to fully fund the OPEB Actuarially Required Contribution (ARC) liability by FY 2019.

The University of Hawaii's Aa2 rating reflects its essential role in the State of Hawaii (rated Aa2, stable) as the sole provider of public higher education with large enrollment at its two- and four-year campuses across the state, diversified revenues including strong state support for operations (including employee benefits and debt service) and capital, and good financial resources cushioning debt. Offsetting challenges are increasing deficits caused by expenses growing at a faster pace than revenues, which have stagnated due to slowed enrollment growth and flat state operating support in FY 2013. Other credit challenges include a rising non-cash OPEB liability that is substantially depressing net assets on a GAAP basis and Moody's calculated financial resources.

CHALLENGES

*Deepening deficit operations are eroding the university's financial resources. Cash flow declined to just 3.2% in FY 2013.

*The state's plan to fully fund the OPEB ARC liability by FY 2019 will further pressure the university's operations in the near term as the OPEB expense rises by 20% in FY 2015 and the university is required to pay additional contributions.

*Substantial and rising post-retirement health benefit (OPEB) liability of \$514 million in FY 2013 depresses financial resources as calculated by Moody's, with the liability representing the university's share of the Hawaii Employer-Union Health Benefits Trust Fund, an agent, multi-employer defined benefit plan providing healthcare benefits to governmental employees.

*The university has a large backlog of deferred maintenance (over \$400 million) which could result in additional debt and increased leverage over time.

STRENGTHS

*University of Hawaii plays a vital state role as the sole public higher education institution, with substantial enrollment of over 40,000 full time equivalent (FTE) students) across its 10 campus system.

*Total revenue growth is expected to resume as state operating support is increasing (3.3% and 12% for FYs 2014 and 2015, respectively), and the university increases tuition 7% for fall 2014 and 7% in fall 2015.

*Diverse revenue sources include a strategically important research enterprise in the US Pacific, reflected in relatively stable grants and contracts (almost one third of total revenue). Primary funding agencies are the National Science Foundation and the Departments of Defense, Commerce and Education.

*When adjusting for the OPEB liability, which the state primarily funds, the university's expendable financial coverage of debt and operations (1.2 and 0.46 times, respectively) is in line with the Aa2 public university medians of 1.17 times and 0.48 times coverage.

*Flexible reserves continue to provide adequate financial flexibility to manage through deficits, with \$494 million of monthly liquidity available at June 30, 2013, translating to a solid 128 monthly days cash on hand.

DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

We expect University of Hawaii to continue to face a challenging operating environment in the near term as it adapts to additional OPEB expenses while instituting expense control measures across the 10 campuses. Act 268 requires that each public employer's contribution to be equal to the full ARC amount by FY 2019. This reform will be a long term credit positive for the university by reducing the university's OPEB liability over time. However in the near to medium-term it will result in rapid expense growth with a scheduled five year phase-in of the contribution requirements of 20% increments in FYs 2015-2019. The required additional contribution in FY 2015 is approximately \$12 million. The state will continue to make the OPEB pay-go payments on behalf of the university.

University of Hawaii's operating results fluctuate with changes to state support due to a relatively higher 38% dependence on state and government appropriations for operating revenues, by Moody's calculation. The university is projecting continued deficit operations in FY 2014, but expects improved cash flow in the current 2015 fiscal year ending June 30, 2015 due to a 7% tuition increase and 12% increase to state appropriations.

Enrollment is expected to remain relatively stable, with some modest contraction due to non-traditional and community college students returning to the workforce as the economy improves.

The university has instituted some positive governance and management practices recently which should help it attain greater expense control. Beginning in FY 2015 the board is instituting productivity and efficiency metrics, and will be assigning goals to each. In addition, the board and senior management will do quarterly reconciliation of the operating budgets by campus, allowing it to measure expense control and revenue growth throughout the year.

DEBT STRUCTURE: The university's approximately \$635 million of debt (as of June 30, 2013) is all fixed rate with regular long-term amortization schedules. All university revenue bonds are secured by a first lien on the Network revenue, including System revenues consisting of various housing and auxiliary activities, a subordinate lien on Legislative Appropriations in special and revolving funds appropriated or allocated to the Board of Regents, the university, the System or the Network, to the extent permitted by law, which includes tuition and fees. FY 2013 system gross revenues of \$102 million provided debt service coverage of 2.9 times. The special and revolving fund balance is approximately \$200 million for FY 2013.

The lien on special and revolving funds is subordinate to payment on the Series 2006A University (School of Medicine) Bonds, as well as the reimbursement to the state for debt service on its general obligation bonds issued for university purposes.

Approximately 43% of the university's total bonds have debt service paid by state funds. The Series 2010A-1 and A-2 (Cancer Center) bonds are additionally secured by amounts on deposit in the Hawai'i Cancer Research Special Fund. As long as any Series 2010A-1 or A-2 bonds remain outstanding, the Legislative Appropriation is to be allocated to the University's Cancer Research Center to be used solely for repayment of debt service on these bonds and current expenses and capital expenditure of the Cancer Research Center. For FY 2013, the university received \$14.4 million of funds from the State for the center, compared to \$9.9 million in debt service, providing 145 times debt service coverage.

The Series 2006A University (School of Medicine) Bonds are additionally secured by tobacco settlement receipts. Under its Master Settlement Agreement with tobacco companies, the state has pledged to provide 28% of the state annual tobacco settlement receipts for the payment of debt service on the bonds. To date, coverage has remained consistent. In FY2013, the university received \$12.6 million of funds for debt service, providing 1.28 times debt service coverage.

OUTLOOK

The negative rating outlook reflects expectations of continued deficit operations in FY 2014 and some further

deterioration of flexible reserves. Failure to make expected progress toward improved operations in FY 2015 will result in negative rating action.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP

The university's rating could be upgraded with positive change to the State of Hawaii's credit profile, as well as sustained improvement to operations and substantial growth in financial resources. The outlook could return to stable with consistently improved operations, combined with stable to growing monthly liquidity, enrollment and net tuition revenue.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

Continued deficit operations or declines in flexible reserves would cause negative rating pressure, as would negative rating action to the State of Hawaii.

KEY INDICATORS (Fall 2013 enrollment, FY2013 Financial Results)

- *Total FTE Enrollment: 40,458 students
- *Total Financial Resources: \$445 million
- *Total Direct Debt: \$626 million
- *Total Operating Revenues: \$1.44 billion
- *Contribution to Revenue from Government Appropriations: 38%
- *Monthly days cash: 128 days
- *Operating Cash Flow Margin: 3.2%
- *Three Year Average Debt Service Coverage: 1.9 times
- *State of Hawaii General Obligation Rating: Aa2, stable outlook

METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in this rating was U.S. Not-for-Profit Private and Public Higher Education published in August 2011. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Analysts

Eva Bogaty
Lead Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Diane F. Viacava
Additional Contact
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
USA



© 2014 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATION") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL

INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.